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## Data

- From Sustrans, a charity that promotes sustainable transport in the UK
- Responsible for planning and delivering the National Cycle Network
- Counters count bikes!


## The Statistics of Cycling

## Counters



## The Statistics of Cycling

## Usage profiles

- What proportion of daily count per hour?


## The Statistics of Cycling

## Usage profiles



## The Statistics of Cycling

## Usage profiles

- What proportion of daily count per hour?
-What proportion of year count per month?


## The Statistics of Cycling

## Usage profiles



## The Statistics of Cycling

## Usage profiles

- What proportion of daily count per hour?
-What proportion of year count per month?
-What shape do these profiles take?
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## Clustering

- Try to find common shapes.
- How do we assess dissimilarity?
- Euclidean
$d(x, y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$

Manhattan
$d(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}-y_{i}\right|$

Minkowski

$$
d(x, y)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

- K-means clustering on daily profiles.
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## Result!

- 4 shapes!
- Schools
- Commuter
- Leisure
- Hybrid (shopping?)
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## Relate to explanatory variables

- Responses to Sustrans counter location information
- Try to fit a multinomial logit model (MLM) to "predict" classification.
- A multivariate generalised linear model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}=E\left[\mathbf{Y}_{i}\right]=\left(\pi_{1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right), \ldots, \pi_{J-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}\right)\right) \\
& \mathbf{g}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}+\mathbf{X}_{i} \boldsymbol{\beta} \\
& g_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{i}\right)=\log \frac{\mu_{i j}}{1-\left(\mu_{i 1}+\ldots+\mu_{i, J-1}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

## The Statistics of Cycling

## Relate to explanatory variables

- Specifically baseline category logit models
- Choose one category as a baseline - Modal category, or just the first/last one
- We compare other categories to the baseline
- Fit $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ using maximum likelihood estimation
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## Relate to explanatory variables

- Response probabilities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{j}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\exp \left(\alpha_{j}+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{j}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)}{1+\sum_{k=1}^{J-1} \exp \left(\alpha_{k}+\boldsymbol{\beta}_{k}^{T} \mathbf{x}\right)} \\
\alpha_{J}=0 \\
\boldsymbol{\beta}_{J}=0
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Relate to explanatory variables

- Fit the following model
classification ~ Trafficfreeroute + region
- Table of observed responses

| region | midlands | north | south |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trafficfreeroute | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| classification |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| commuter | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 5 |
| hybrid | 12 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| leisure | 0 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 6 |
| schools | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
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## Relate to explanatory variables

- Response probabilities, say we wanted to know how we might classify a counter in the North that is traffic free.

commuter hybrid leisure schools 0.166223100 .347724900 .438389720 .04766228
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## Problems

- If there is a zero in the table of observed responses, then parameter estimation sometimes breaks down.
- Limited data
- Schools result is not explained by any of the explanatory variables
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## Questions?

If you worried about falling off the bike, you'd never get on.
Lance Armstrong
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## Example of parameter estimation failing

- Route adjacent to road table

|  | commuter | hybrid | leisure | schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 17 | 28 | 32 | 4 |
| 1 | 10 | 15 | 0 | 1 |

- Traffic free route table

|  | commuter | hybrid | leisure | schools |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 14 | 16 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | 13 | 27 | 29 | 4 |
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## Example of parameter estimation failing

multinom(formula = classification ~ route, data $=$ newClassRoute)

Coefficients:

|  | (Intercept) | route |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| hybrid | 0.4989866 | -0.09345878 |
| leisure | 0.6324810 | -10.71041396 |
| schools | -1.4469457 | -0.85564786 |

Std. Errors:

$$
\begin{array}{lrr} 
& \text { (Intercept) } & \text { route } \\
\text { hybrid } & 0.3074673 & 0.5110844 \\
\text { leisure } & 0.3001218 & 48.8004645 \\
\text { schools } & 0.5557196 & 1.1869667
\end{array}
$$

multinom(formula = classification ~
Trafficfreeroute, data $=$ newClassAll)

Coefficients:
(Intercept) Trafficfreeroute
hybrid 0.1335310 0.5973803
leisure -1.5404378 2.3427939
schools -2.6390253 1.4603639

Std. Errors:
(Intercept) Trafficfreeroute
hybrid 0.3659628
0.4978849
leisure
0.6362076
0.7184476
schools
1.0350836
1.1825086

