

# Abelian functions as a $\mathcal{D}$ -module

by Atsushi Nakayashiki  
Kyushu University

in Methods of Integrable  
Systems in Geometry  
at University of Durham  
19th August, 2006

# 1. Theta function

$\omega_1, \omega_2, \eta_1, \eta_2 \cdots g \times g$  complex matrices

s.t.

- $\det(\omega_1) \neq 0$ ,
- ${}^t\tau = \tau, \operatorname{Im} \tau > 0$ , if  $\tau = \omega_1^{-1}\omega_2$ ,
- $M \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix} {}^tM = -\frac{\pi i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{pmatrix}$ , if  $M = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 & \omega_2 \\ \eta_1 & \eta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ .

Such matrices arise as period matrices of a compact Riemann surfaces of genus  $g$ .

Then (F.Klein 1888, Buchstaber-Enolski-Leykin 1997)

$$u = (u_1, \dots, u_g), \quad \delta = {}^t(\delta', \delta'') \in \mathbb{R}^{2g},$$

$\sigma[\delta](u)$ : a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}^g$ ,

s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma[\delta](u + \Omega(m_1, m_2)) &= e^{-\pi i {}^t m_1 m_2 + 2\pi i {}^t(\delta' m_1 - \delta'' m_2)} \\ &\quad \times e^{{}^t E(m_1, m_2)(u + \frac{1}{2}\Omega(m_1, m_2))} \sigma[\delta](u), \end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega(m_1, m_2) = 2\omega_1 m_1 + 2\omega_2 m_2,$$

$$E(m_1, m_2) = 2\eta_1 m_1 + 2\eta_2 m_2,$$

$$m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^g.$$

It is known that, for each  $\delta$ , the function  $\sigma[\delta](u)$  exists and is unique up to constant multiples. It is explicitly written using Riemann's theta function  $\theta[\delta](z, \tau)$  as

$$\sigma[\delta](u) = C \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} {}^t u \eta_1 \omega_1^{-1} u\right) \theta[\delta]\left((2\omega_1)^{-1} u, \tau\right).$$

The matrices  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  determine an abelian variety

$$X = \mathbb{C}^g / 2\omega_1 \mathbb{Z}^g + 2\omega_2 \mathbb{Z}^g$$

.

Fix a  $\delta$  and define the theta divisor as the zero set of the sigma function:

$$\Theta = (\sigma[\delta](u) = 0) \subset X$$

.

## 2. Abelian function

The function

$$\zeta_{ij}(u) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_i \partial u_j} \log \sigma[\delta](u)$$

satisfies

$$\zeta_{ij}(u + \Omega(m_1, m_2)) = \zeta_{ij}(u).$$

This is an example of an abelian function of order 2.

Introduce the sapce  $A$  as

$$A = \{ \text{meromorphic functions on } X \text{ which are regular} \\ \text{on } X - \Theta \}$$

$$= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ f(u) = \frac{F(u)}{\sigma[\delta](u)^n} \mid f(u + \Omega(m_1, m_2)) = f(u) \right\}$$

$$= \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n).$$

Notice that

$$a(u + \Omega(m_1, m_2)) = a(u) \implies \frac{\partial a}{\partial u_i}(u + \Omega(m_1, m_2)) = \frac{\partial a}{\partial u_i}(u).$$

If we set

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_{u_1}, \dots, \partial_{u_g}], \quad \partial_{u_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_i},$$

then

$A$  becomes a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module.

### 3. Example— $g = 1$ —

In this case we take  $\delta = {}^t(1/2, 1/2)$ . Then

$\sigma \cdots$  Weierstrass'  $\sigma$ -function

$$\wp(u) = -\zeta_{11}(u) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} \log \sigma(u),$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}[\partial_u], \quad \Theta = \{u = 0\} \subset X = \mathbb{C}/2\omega_1\mathbb{Z} + 2\omega_2\mathbb{Z}.$$

and

$$A(n) = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\wp(u) \oplus \mathbb{C}\wp'(u) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}\wp^{(n-2)}(u),$$

$$A = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}\wp(u) \oplus \mathbb{C}\wp'(u) \oplus \cdots,$$

$$= \mathcal{D}1 + \mathcal{D}\wp.$$

As a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module

- generators  $\cdots 1, \wp$
- relations  $\cdots \partial_u(1) = 0$ .

This structure can conveniently be described in a  $\mathcal{D}$ -free resolution:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^2 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Problem** Determine

1. generators  $\longrightarrow$  cohomologies
2. relations  $\longrightarrow$  a free resolution
3. a linear basis of  $A$ .

We study this problem for  $g = 3$  hyperelliptic Jacobians.

## 4. $g = 3$ hyperelliptic Jacobian

Consider the hyperelliptic curve

$$C : y^2 = 4x^7 + \lambda_2x^6 + \lambda_4x^5 + \cdots + \lambda_{14}.$$

Take a canonical homology basis  $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i\}$  and a canonical cohomology basis  $\{du_i, dv_j\}$  such that  $du_i = x^{3-i}dx/y$  and  $dv_i$  is a 2nd kind differential. Define

$$\omega_1 = \left( \int_{\alpha_j} du_i \right), \quad \omega_2 = \left( \int_{\beta_j} du_i \right), \quad \eta_1 = \left( \int_{\alpha_j} dv_i \right), \quad \eta_2 = \left( \int_{\beta_j} dv_i \right).$$

We specify  $\delta$  in the definition of  $\sigma$ -function as

$$\delta = {}^t(\delta', \delta''), \quad \delta' = {}^t\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \delta'' = {}^t\left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{2}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

This  $\delta$  corresponds to the Riemann constant for the base point  $\infty$  and some choice of canonical homology basis.

Set

$$\sigma(u) = \sigma[\delta](u),$$

$$u = (u_1, u_2, u_3) = (t_1, t_3, t_5),$$

$$\wp_{ij}(u) = -\partial_i \partial_j \log \sigma(u), \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}.$$

To study the  $\mathcal{D}$ -module structure of  $A$  it is important to introduce a filtration on  $A$  and to consider the associated graded module. We consider two filtrations on  $A$ .

## 5. Pole filtration

We have already defined an increasing filtration in defining  $A$ .

$$A = \cup_{n=0}^{\infty} A(n),$$

$$A(n) = \{f \in A \mid \text{the order of poles on } \Theta \leq n \},$$

The associated graded space is

$$\text{gr}^{pol} A = \oplus_n A(n)/A(n-1).$$

The relations

$$\partial_i A(n) \subset A(n+1),$$

imply that

$\text{gr}^{pol} A$  becomes a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module.

A minimal set of generators of  $\text{gr}^{pol} A$  is given by a basis of

$$\frac{\text{gr}^{pol} A}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \text{gr}^{pol} A} \simeq H^3(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet).$$

Arguments using the grading show that

$\dim H^3 < \infty \implies \text{gr}^{pol} A$  is finitely generated.

$\implies A$  is finitely generated.

## 6. KP filtration

In general set

$$\wp_{i_1 \dots i_n} = -\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_n} \log \sigma(u).$$

It is known that  $A$  is described as

$$A = \mathbb{C} [\wp_{i_1 \dots i_n} \mid n \geq 2, \quad i_j \in \{1, 3, 5\}].$$

Define a filtration  $\{A_n\}$  by specifying

$$\wp_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in A_n \quad \text{for any } n \geq i_1 + \cdots + i_k.$$

Then

$$A = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n,$$

$$A_0 = A_1 = \mathbb{C},$$

$$A_2 = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{11},$$

$$A_3 = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{11} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{111},$$

$$A_4 = \mathbb{C} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{11} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{111} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{1111} + \mathbb{C}\wp_{11}^2 + \mathbb{C}\wp_{13}.$$

etc.

The associated graded space is

$$\text{gr}^{kp} A = \bigoplus_n A_n / A_{n-1}.$$

Then

$$\partial_i A_n \subset A_{n+i} \implies \text{gr}^{kp} A \text{ becomes a } \mathcal{D}\text{-module.}$$

Generators are given by a basis of

$$\frac{\text{gr}^{kp} A}{\sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \text{gr}^{pol} A} \simeq H^3(\text{gr}^{kp} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet).$$

Arguments using the grading show that

$\dim H^3 < \infty \implies \text{gr}^{kp} A$  is finitely generated.

$\implies A$  is finitely generated.

## 7. Algebraic de Rham complex

Set

$$\Omega^k = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \mathbb{C} dt_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dt_{i_k}.$$

The operator

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^3 \partial_i \otimes dt_i : A \otimes \Omega^k \longrightarrow A \otimes \Omega^{k+1}$$

determines a complex, called algebraic de Rham complex,

$$(A \otimes \Omega^\bullet, d).$$

algebraic de Rham theorem

$$H^i(A \otimes \Omega^\bullet) \simeq H^i(X - \Theta, \mathbb{C}).$$

Similarly the following two complexes are defined.

$$(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet, d), \quad (\text{gr}^{kp} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet, d).$$

The highest cohomology group becomes

$$H^3(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet) = \frac{(\text{gr}^{pol} A) dt_1 \wedge dt_3 \wedge dt_5}{d(\sum_{i < j} (\text{gr}^{pol} A) dt_i \wedge dt_j)} \simeq \frac{\text{gr}^{pol} A}{\sum \partial_i (\text{gr}^{pol} A)}.$$

## 8. Predictions on Euler characteristic – pole filtration –

In general, for a graded vector space

$$V = \bigoplus_d V_d$$

define its character by

$$\text{ch } V = \sum_d q^d \dim V_d.$$

Now

$\deg dt_i = -1 \implies \text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^k$  is graded.

$$\implies \text{ch}(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^k) \text{ is defined.}$$

These definitions are straightforwardly generalized for  $g$ -dimensional case.

Using the well known formula

$$\dim \text{gr}_n^{pol} A = \dim A(n) - \dim A(n-1) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ 0 & n = 1 \\ n^g - (n-1)^g & n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(\text{gr}^{pol} A) &= (1-q) \left( 1 + \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^g \frac{1}{1-q} \right), \\ \text{ch} \Omega^k &= \binom{g}{k} q^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The  $q$ -Euler characteristic is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_q^{pol} &:= \sum_{i=0}^g (-1)^i \text{ch} (\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^i) \\
&= (-1)^g q^{-g} (1 - q)^{g+1} \left( 1 + \left( q \frac{d}{dq} \right)^g \frac{1}{1 - q} \right) \\
&\xrightarrow{q \rightarrow 1} (-1)^g g!.
\end{aligned}$$

The following is known (can be easily proved).

**Proposition**

Suppose that  $\Theta$  is non-singular. Then

$$\chi(X - \Theta) = (-1)^g g!.$$

This suggests that the pole filtration works well for generic abelian varieties. In fact we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem** (Cho-N, '06)

Suppose that  $\Theta$  is non-singular.

(1)  $H^i(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet) \simeq H^i(X - \Theta)$ . In particular it is finite dimensional.

(2) It is possible to construct a  $\mathcal{D}$ -free resolution of both  $\text{gr}^{pol} A$  and  $A$  explicitly.

Notice that

- the theta divisor of 3-dimensional hyperelliptic Jacobian is singular,
- $\chi(X - \Theta) = -5 \neq (-1)^3 3! = -6$ .

## 9. Predictions on Euler characteristic – KP filtration –

The  $q$ -Euler characteristic is already known (Smirnov-N, '01)

as

$$\chi_q^{KP} = -q^{-9} \frac{[\frac{1}{2}]_{q^2} [7]_{q^2}!}{[3]_{q^2}! [4]_{q^2}! [\frac{7}{2}]_{q^2}},$$

where

$$[x]_p = 1 - x^p,$$

$$[k]_p! = [k]_p [k-1]_p \cdots [1]_p,$$

We have

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \chi_q^{KP} = -5 = \chi(X - \Theta).$$

It seems that the KP-filtration works well for our case. In fact we can prove the following.

Proposition

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \dim H^i(\text{gr}^{kp} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet) &= \dim H^i(X - \Theta, \mathbb{C}) \\ &= \binom{6}{i} - \binom{6}{i-2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2) \dim H^3(\text{gr}^{pol} A \otimes \Omega^\bullet) = \infty.$$

This means that  $\text{gr}^{pol} A$  is not finitely generated but  $A$  is finitely generated.

Set

$$(i_1, \dots, i_k; j_1, \dots, j_k) = \det(\wp_{i_r j_s}).$$

Theorem

(1) As a  $\mathcal{D}$ -module  $A$  is generated by

$$1, \quad \wp_{ij}, \quad (i_1 i_2; j_1 j_2), \quad (123; 123).$$

(2) We have the explicitly described minimal  $\mathcal{D}$ -free resolution of  $A$  of the form

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes W^3 \longrightarrow 0.$$

**Remark** The theorem solves the conjecture in [Smirnov-N'01] for  $g = 3$  case.

## 10. The singularity of $\Theta$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta &= \{\sigma(u) = 0\} \\ \text{Sing}\Theta &= \{(0, 0, 0)\}.\end{aligned}$$

The function  $\sigma$  has the following expansion (H.F.Baker 1898, [BEL] 1999):

$$\sigma(u) = \sum a_\alpha(\lambda)t^\alpha, \quad t^\alpha = t_1^{\alpha_1}t_3^{\alpha_3}t_5^{\alpha_5}, \quad a_\alpha(\lambda) \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{14}]$$

$$\sigma(u)|_{\lambda_i \rightarrow 0} = s_{(3,2,1)}(t) \quad \dots \text{ Schur function}$$

$$= t_5t_1 - t_3^2 - \frac{1}{3}t_3t_1^3 + \frac{1}{45}t_1^6$$

$$= t_1(t_5 - \frac{1}{3}t_3t_1^2 + \frac{1}{45}t_1^5) - t_3^2$$

$$= xy - z^2 \quad \dots A_1 \text{ singularity.}$$

Similarly

$$\sigma(u) = XY - Z^2 \quad \text{near}(0, 0, 0).$$

### Example $g = 2$

$$u = (t_1, t_3)$$

$$1, \quad \wp_{ij}(u), \quad (13; 13) = \begin{vmatrix} \wp_{11} & \wp_{13} \\ \wp_{13} & \wp_{33} \end{vmatrix}.$$

$$\# = 1 + 3 + 1 = 5.$$

Example  $g = 3$  hyperelliptic  $y^2 = x^7 + \dots$

$$u = (t_1, t_3, t_5)$$

$$1, \quad \wp_{ij}(u), \quad (i_1 i_2; j_1 j_2), \quad (135; 135)$$

$$\# = 1 + 6 + 6 + 1 = 14.$$

Example  $g = 3$  non-hyperelliptic  $y^3 = x^4 + \dots$

$$u = (t_1, t_2, t_5)$$

$$1, \quad \wp_{ij}(u), \quad (i_1 i_2; j_1 j_2), \quad (125; 125)$$

$$v = \wp_{2222} - 6\wp_{22}^2.$$

$$\sharp = 14 + 1 = 15.$$