

# Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of Brownian bubbles

Robert C. Dalang

Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

Based on joint work with:

T. Mountford (EPF - Lausanne)

A **standard two-parameter Brownian sheet** is a centered Gaussian random field  $W = (W(t_1, t_2), (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , with continuous sample paths and covariance

$$E[W(s_1, s_2)W(t_1, t_2)] = \min(s_1, t_1) \min(s_2, t_2).$$

For fixed  $t_2$ ,  $t_1 \mapsto W(t_1, t_2)$  is a Brownian motion (with speed  $t_2$ ).

## References:

1970's: L. Pitt, S. Orey & W. Pruitt, R. Pyke, R.J. Adler

1980's: W. Kendall, J.B. Walsh, D. Nualart

1990's: D. & J.B. Walsh, J. Kuelbs & W. Li, M. Talagrand, D. Khoshnevisan & Z. Shi

2000's: G. Pete, D.-Khoshnevisan-Nualart-Wu-Xiao, D. & Mueller

**Two books:** R. Adler (1990), D. Khoshnevisan (2002)

**Issues:** Sample path properties, Markov properties, potential theory, level sets, small ball probabilities, hitting probabilities, multiple points.

A **standard two-parameter Brownian sheet** is a centered Gaussian random field  $W = (W(t_1, t_2), (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , with continuous sample paths and covariance

$$E[W(s_1, s_2)W(t_1, t_2)] = \min(s_1, t_1) \min(s_2, t_2).$$

For fixed  $t_2$ ,  $t_1 \mapsto W(t_1, t_2)$  is a Brownian motion (with speed  $t_2$ ).

## References:

1970's: L. Pitt, S. Orey & W. Pruitt, R. Pyke, R.J. Adler

1980's: W. Kendall, J.B. Walsh, D. Nualart

1990's: D. & J.B. Walsh, J. Kuelbs & W. Li, M. Talagrand, D. Khoshnevisan & Z. Shi

2000's: G. Pete, D.-Khoshnevisan-Nualart-Wu-Xiao, D. & Mueller

**Two books:** R. Adler (1990), D. Khoshnevisan (2002)

**Issues:** Sample path properties, Markov properties, potential theory, level sets, small ball probabilities, hitting probabilities, multiple points.

A **standard two-parameter Brownian sheet** is a centered Gaussian random field  $W = (W(t_1, t_2), (t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2)$  defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , with continuous sample paths and covariance

$$E[W(s_1, s_2)W(t_1, t_2)] = \min(s_1, t_1) \min(s_2, t_2).$$

For fixed  $t_2$ ,  $t_1 \mapsto W(t_1, t_2)$  is a Brownian motion (with speed  $t_2$ ).

## References:

1970's: L. Pitt, S. Orey & W. Pruitt, R. Pyke, R.J. Adler

1980's: W. Kendall, J.B. Walsh, D. Nualart

1990's: D. & J.B. Walsh, J. Kuelbs & W. Li, M. Talagrand, D. Khoshnevisan & Z. Shi

2000's: G. Pete, D.-Khoshnevisan-Nualart-Wu-Xiao, D. & Mueller

**Two books:** R. Adler (1990), D. Khoshnevisan (2002)

**Issues:** Sample path properties, Markov properties, potential theory, level sets, small ball probabilities, hitting probabilities, multiple points.

# Level sets and bubbles

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the level set of  $W$  at level  $x$  is the random **closed** set

$$L(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) = x\}.$$

The complement of the level set is the union of two random **open** sets

$$L_+(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) > x\},$$

$$L_-(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) < x\}.$$

**Definition.** A **Brownian bubble** is one connected component of  $L_+(x)$  or  $L_-(x)$ .

P1

(Recall that any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  is a countable disjoint union of connected components.)

# Level sets and bubbles

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the level set of  $W$  at level  $x$  is the random **closed** set

$$L(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) = x\}.$$

The complement of the level set is the union of two random **open** sets

$$L_+(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) > x\},$$

$$L_-(x) := \{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : W(t_1, t_2) < x\}.$$

**Definition.** A **Brownian bubble** is one connected component of  $L_+(x)$  or  $L_-(x)$ .

P1

(Recall that any open subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^2$  is a countable disjoint union of connected components.)

## Hausdorff dimension of level sets

## Theorem 1 (R.J. Adler, 1978)

*A.s., for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} L(x) = 1.5$*

## Theorem 2 (T. Mountford, 1993)

*Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A.s., the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of any Brownian bubble is:  $\geq 1.25$  and  $< 1.5$ .*

**Interpretation:** "Most of  $L(x)$  is not part of the boundary of any bubble."

**Comparison with standard Brownian motion:**

bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  excursions above/below level  $x$ ;  
 boundaries of bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  extremities of excursion intervals.

There are countably many extremities of excursion intervals (dimension 0), but the dimension of level sets of standard Brownian motion is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Question.** Do all bubble boundaries have the same dimension? If so, what is it?

## Hausdorff dimension of level sets

## Theorem 1 (R.J. Adler, 1978)

*A.s., for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} L(x) = 1.5$*

## Theorem 2 (T. Mountford, 1993)

*Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A.s., the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of any Brownian bubble is:  $\geq 1.25$  and  $< 1.5$ .*

**Interpretation:** "Most of  $L(x)$  is not part of the boundary of any bubble."

Comparison with standard Brownian motion:

bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  excursions above/below level  $x$ ;  
 boundaries of bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  extremities of excursion intervals.

There are countably many extremities of excursion intervals (dimension 0), but the dimension of level sets of standard Brownian motion is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Question.** Do all bubble boundaries have the same dimension? If so, what is it?



## Hausdorff dimension of level sets

## Theorem 1 (R.J. Adler, 1978)

*A.s., for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} L(x) = 1.5$*

## Theorem 2 (T. Mountford, 1993)

*Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A.s., the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of any Brownian bubble is:  $\geq 1.25$  and  $< 1.5$ .*

**Interpretation:** “Most of  $L(x)$  is not part of the boundary of any bubble.”

**Comparison with standard Brownian motion:**

bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  excursions above/below level  $x$ ;  
 boundaries of bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  extremities of excursion intervals.

There are countably many extremities of excursion intervals (dimension 0), but the dimension of level sets of standard Brownian motion is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Question.** Do all bubble boundaries have the same dimension? If so, what is it?

## Hausdorff dimension of level sets

## Theorem 1 (R.J. Adler, 1978)

*A.s., for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}} L(x) = 1.5$*

## Theorem 2 (T. Mountford, 1993)

*Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . A.s., the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of any Brownian bubble is:  $\geq 1.25$  and  $< 1.5$ .*

**Interpretation:** “Most of  $L(x)$  is not part of the boundary of any bubble.”

**Comparison with standard Brownian motion:**

bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  excursions above/below level  $x$ ;  
 boundaries of bubbles  $\longleftrightarrow$  extremities of excursion intervals.

There are countably many extremities of excursion intervals (dimension 0), but the dimension of level sets of standard Brownian motion is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

**Question.** Do all bubble boundaries have the same dimension? If so, what is it?

# Explanation for Adler's theorem

Upper bounds on Hausdorff dimension  $\leftarrow$  coverings.

Let

$$V_n := \{(1 + i2^{-2n}, 1 + j2^{-2n}) : i, j \in \{0, \dots, 2^{2n} - 1\}\}.$$

Then  $V_n =$  vertices of a grid in  $[1, 2]^2$ ,  $\#V_n = 2^{4n}$ .

For  $t \in \mathbb{E}_n$ , define  $E_n(t) :=$  the square in the grid with lower left corner at  $t$ .

P2 One covering of  $L(x) \cap [1, 2]^2$ , with diameter  $c2^{-2n}$ , is:

$$\{E_n(t) : t \in V_n, E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Calculation:

$$E \left[ \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha 1_{\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}} \right] = (2^{-2n})^\alpha (2^{2n})^2 P\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Now

$$P\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\} \simeq P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \simeq 2^{-n},$$

so the expectation above is

$$\leq 2^{(4-2\alpha)n} 2^{-n} = 2^{(3-2\alpha)n} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$ .

## Explanation for Adler's theorem

Upper bounds on Hausdorff dimension  $\leftarrow$  coverings.

Let

$$V_n := \{(1 + i2^{-2n}, 1 + j2^{-2n}) : i, j \in \{0, \dots, 2^{2n} - 1\}\}.$$

Then  $V_n$  = vertices of a grid in  $[1, 2]^2$ ,  $\#V_n = 2^{4n}$ .

For  $t \in \mathbb{E}_n$ , define  $E_n(t) :=$  the square in the grid with lower left corner at  $t$ .

P2 One covering of  $L(x) \cap [1, 2]^2$ , with diameter  $c2^{-2n}$ , is:

$$\{E_n(t) : t \in V_n, E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Calculation:

$$E \left[ \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha \mathbf{1}_{\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}} \right] = (2^{-2n})^\alpha (2^{2n})^2 P\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Now

$$P\{E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset\} \simeq P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \simeq 2^{-n},$$

so the expectation above is

$$\leq 2^{(4-2\alpha)n} 2^{-n} = 2^{(3-2\alpha)n} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  if and only if  $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$ .

## Towards the dimension of bubble boundaries

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a bubble of height  $\geq 1$  (in  $[1, 2]^2$ ). Then:

$t \in \partial\mathcal{C}_1 \iff W(t) = x$  **and** for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a path  $\Gamma$  with  
 $d(\Gamma(0), t) > \varepsilon$  and  $W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x$  hits 1 before 0.

P3

Covering of  $\partial\mathcal{C}_1 \cap [1, 2]^2$ :

$$\{E_n(t) : E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F(t) \text{ occurs}\},$$

where

$$F(t) = \{\exists \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = t \text{ and } W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x \text{ hits 1 before 0}\}.$$

Should examine the behavior as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \\ & \simeq 2^{4n} 2^{-2\alpha n} 2^{-n} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Main difficulty in estimating  $P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}$ : there are infinitely many possible paths, and these can be arbitrarily “twisty” [D. & Walsh, 1993].

## Towards the dimension of bubble boundaries

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a bubble of height  $\geq 1$  (in  $[1, 2]^2$ ). Then:

$t \in \partial\mathcal{C}_1 \iff W(t) = x$  **and** for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a path  $\Gamma$  with  
 $d(\Gamma(0), t) > \varepsilon$  and  $W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x$  hits 1 before 0.

P3

Covering of  $\partial\mathcal{C}_1 \cap [1, 2]^2$ :

$$\{E_n(t) : E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F(t) \text{ occurs}\},$$

where

$$F(t) = \{\exists \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = t \text{ and } W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x \text{ hits 1 before 0}\}.$$

Should examine the behavior as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \\ & \simeq 2^{4n} 2^{-2\alpha n} 2^{-n} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Main difficulty in estimating  $P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}$ : there are infinitely many possible paths, and these can be arbitrarily “twisty” [D. & Walsh, 1993].

## Towards the dimension of bubble boundaries

Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a bubble of height  $\geq 1$  (in  $[1, 2]^2$ ). Then:

$t \in \partial\mathcal{C}_1 \iff W(t) = x$  **and** for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a path  $\Gamma$  with  
 $d(\Gamma(0), t) > \varepsilon$  and  $W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x$  hits 1 before 0.

P3

Covering of  $\partial\mathcal{C}_1 \cap [1, 2]^2$ :

$$\{E_n(t) : E_n(t) \cap L(x) \neq \emptyset \text{ and } F(t) \text{ occurs}\},$$

where

$$F(t) = \{\exists \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = t \text{ and } W(\Gamma(\cdot)) - x \text{ hits 1 before 0}\}.$$

Should examine the behavior as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  of

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \\ & \simeq 2^{4n} 2^{-2\alpha n} 2^{-n} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Main difficulty in estimating  $P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\}$ :** there are infinitely many possible paths, and these can be arbitrarily “twisty” [D. & Walsh, 1993].

## Local decomposition of the Brownian sheet

The event  $F(t)$  is “local”: either 0 is hit rather quickly, or not, and in this case,  $W - x$  will typically escape to a height of order 1 (the same occurs for Brownian motion).

**Local decomposition of  $W$**  [W. Kendall, 1980]: Fix  $t = (t_1, t_2)$ . For  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$W(t_1 + u_1, t_2 + u_2) = W(t_1, t_2) + B_1^t(u_1) + B_2^t(u_2) + \mathcal{E}^t(u_1, u_2),$$

where:

$B_1^t, B_2^t$  are independent (two-sided) BM's, and  $\mathcal{E}^t$  is “small” (of order  $\sqrt{|u_1 u_2|}$ ).

This suggest to study **additive Brownian motion**:

$$X(u_1, u_2) := X(0, 0) + B_1(u_1) + B_2(u_2), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$



# Local decomposition of the Brownian sheet

The event  $F(t)$  is “local”: either 0 is hit rather quickly, or not, and in this case,  $W - x$  will typically escape to a height of order 1 (the same occurs for Brownian motion).

**Local decomposition of  $W$**  [W. Kendall, 1980]: Fix  $t = (t_1, t_2)$ . For  $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$W(t_1 + u_1, t_2 + u_2) = W(t_1, t_2) + B_1^t(u_1) + B_2^t(u_2) + \mathcal{E}^t(u_1, u_2),$$

where:

$B_1^t, B_2^t$  are independent (two-sided) BM's, and  $\mathcal{E}^t$  is “small” (of order  $\sqrt{|u_1 u_2|}$ ).

This suggest to study **additive Brownian motion**:

$$X(u_1, u_2) := X(0, 0) + B_1(u_1) + B_2(u_2), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

## Gambler's ruin problem for additive BM

Let  $X = (X(u_1, u_2), (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$  be an additive Brownian motion.

For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , define

$$\mathbb{E}(x) := P\{\exists \text{ path } \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = (0, 0), X(\Gamma(\cdot)) \text{ hits 1 before 0} \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

**Problem.** Estimate  $\mathbb{E}(x)$ .

P4

**Main difficulty:** there is no constraint on the path  $\Gamma$ : one has to consider all paths, with no restrictions.

**Related problem.** For  $X(0, 0) \neq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  be the bubble “stradling”  $(0, 0)$ .

**Question.** For  $a > 0$ , what is the probability that the bubble  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  extends at least  $a$  units away from the origin?

P5

That is, estimate

$$\mathbb{D}(x, a) = P\{\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)} \not\subset [-a, a]^2 \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

By scaling,  $\mathbb{D}(x, a) = \mathbb{D}(x/\sqrt{a}, 1)$ , and we expect  $\mathbb{D}(x, 1) \simeq \mathbb{E}(x)$  for  $x \downarrow 0$ .

## Gambler's ruin problem for additive BM

Let  $X = (X(u_1, u_2), (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$  be an additive Brownian motion.

For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , define

$$\mathbb{E}(x) := P\{\exists \text{ path } \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = (0, 0), X(\Gamma(\cdot)) \text{ hits } 1 \text{ before } 0 \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

**Problem.** Estimate  $\mathbb{E}(x)$ .

P4

**Main difficulty:** there is no constraint on the path  $\Gamma$ : one has to consider all paths, with no restrictions.

**Related problem.** For  $X(0, 0) \neq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  be the bubble “stradling”  $(0, 0)$ .

**Question.** For  $a > 0$ , what is the probability that the bubble  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  extends at least  $a$  units away from the origin?

P5

That is, estimate

$$\mathbb{D}(x, a) = P\{\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)} \not\subset [-a, a]^2 \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

By scaling,  $\mathbb{D}(x, a) = \mathbb{D}(x/\sqrt{a}, 1)$ , and we expect  $\mathbb{D}(x, 1) \simeq \mathbb{E}(x)$  for  $x \downarrow 0$ .

## Gambler's ruin problem for additive BM

Let  $X = (X(u_1, u_2), (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2)$  be an additive Brownian motion.

For  $x \in [0, 1]$ , define

$$\mathbb{E}(x) := P\{\exists \text{ path } \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = (0, 0), X(\Gamma(\cdot)) \text{ hits } 1 \text{ before } 0 \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

**Problem.** Estimate  $\mathbb{E}(x)$ .

P4

**Main difficulty:** there is no constraint on the path  $\Gamma$ : one has to consider all paths, with no restrictions.

**Related problem.** For  $X(0, 0) \neq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  be the bubble “stradling”  $(0, 0)$ .

**Question.** For  $a > 0$ , what is the probability that the bubble  $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}$  extends at least  $a$  units away from the origin?

P5

That is, estimate

$$\mathbb{D}(x, a) = P\{\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)} \not\subset [-a, a]^2 \mid X(0, 0) = x\}.$$

By scaling,  $\mathbb{D}(x, a) = \mathbb{D}(x/\sqrt{a}, 1)$ , and we expect  $\mathbb{D}(x, 1) \simeq \mathbb{E}(x)$  for  $x \downarrow 0$ .

## Gambler's ruin

## Theorem 3 (D. &amp; Mountford)

For  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = \alpha_1 x^{\lambda_1} + \alpha_2 x^{\lambda_2} + \alpha_3 x^{\lambda_3} + \alpha_4 x^{\lambda_4},$$

where

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left( 5 \pm \sqrt{13 \pm 4\sqrt{5}} \right) \right\},$$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left( 5 - \sqrt{13 + 4\sqrt{5}} \right) \simeq 0.158 < \lambda_2 \simeq 1.49 < \dots$$

$\alpha_1 \simeq 0.939$ ,  $\alpha_2 = \dots$  (exact, explicit formulas are given).

In particular,  $\mathbb{E}(x) \simeq x^{\lambda_1}$  as  $x \downarrow 0$ .

Comparison. For standard BM, we would have  $\mathbb{E}(x) \simeq x \ll x^{\lambda_1}$ .

Theorem 3 is somewhat surprising!

## Escape probabilities

## Corollary 1

There exist  $0 < c < C < \infty$  such that, for all  $a \geq x^2$ ,

$$c \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{a}} \right)^{\lambda_1} \leq \mathbb{D}(x, a) \leq C \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{a}} \right)^{\lambda_1}.$$

Proving Corollary 1 from Theorem 3 requires some effort.

## Main result

## Theorem 4 (D. &amp; Mountford)

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For the Brownian sheet, the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of every  $x$ -bubble is

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{13 + 4\sqrt{5}} \right) \simeq 1.421.$$

Once Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 are proved, the road map to prove Theorem 4 is fairly clear. Carrying out these steps requires some effort.

Will explain why Theorem 3 is true, then give some ideas on how to deduce Theorem 4.

## Main result

## Theorem 4 (D. &amp; Mountford)

Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . For the Brownian sheet, the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of every  $x$ -bubble is

$$\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2} = \frac{1}{4} \left( 1 + \sqrt{13 + 4\sqrt{5}} \right) \simeq 1.421.$$

Once Theorem 3 and Corollary 1 are proved, the road map to prove Theorem 4 is fairly clear. Carrying out these steps requires some effort.

Will explain why Theorem 3 is true, then give some ideas on how to deduce Theorem 4.



## Proving Theorem 3 (gambler's ruin probabilities for ABM)

Theorem 5 (D. & Walsh, 1993)

There is a specific path  $\Gamma^\circ$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = P\{X(\Gamma^\circ(\cdot)) \text{ hits 1 before 0} \mid X(0,0) = x\}.$$

P6 Explain construction of  $\Gamma^\circ$ : the DW-algorithm.

Lemma

The sequence  $M_0 = x, M_1, M_2, \dots$  of successive maxima encountered along the horizontal/vertical segments of the path  $\Gamma^\circ$  is *Markov of order 2*, with transition probabilities

$$P\{M_{n+1} \in dz \mid M_n = y, M_{n-1} = x\} = f(x, y, z) dz, \quad z > y > x,$$

where

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{2(y-x)}{z^2} - \frac{2(y-x)^2}{z^3},$$

and

$$P\{M_{n+1} = y \mid M_n = y, M_{n-1} = x\} = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2.$$

## Proving Theorem 3 (gambler's ruin probabilities for ABM)

## Theorem 5 (D. &amp; Walsh, 1993)

There is a specific path  $\Gamma^\circ$  such that

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = P\{X(\Gamma^\circ(\cdot)) \text{ hits 1 before 0} \mid X(0,0) = x\}.$$

P6 Explain construction of  $\Gamma^\circ$ : the DW-algorithm.

## Lemma

The sequence  $M_0 = x, M_1, M_2, \dots$  of successive maxima encountered along the horizontal/vertical segments of the path  $\Gamma^\circ$  is *Markov of order 2*, with transition probabilities

$$P\{M_{n+1} \in dz \mid M_n = y, M_{n-1} = x\} = f(x, y, z) dz, \quad z > y > x,$$

where

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{2(y-x)}{z^2} - \frac{2(y-x)^2}{z^3},$$

and

$$P\{M_{n+1} = y \mid M_n = y, M_{n-1} = x\} = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2.$$

Study of the Markov chain  $\Theta_n = (M_{n-1}, M_n)$ 

State space:  $\mathcal{S} = \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 < y_1 \leq y_2\}$

P7 Consider the paths of  $(\Theta_n)$

Define the subsets:

$$\text{WIN} := \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{S} : y_2 \geq 1\},$$

$$\text{LOSE} := \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{S} : y_2 = y_1\}.$$

P8 and set

$$\alpha(x, y) = P\{(\Theta_n) \text{ visits LOSE before WIN} \mid \Theta_1 = (x, y)\}.$$

Then

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 + \int_y^1 dz f(x, y, z) \alpha(y, z). \quad (1)$$

This is an unusual sort of **linear** integral equation (but similar to the system of equations for absorption probabilities for Markov chains). After several manipulations, one checks that:

Study of the Markov chain  $\Theta_n = (M_{n-1}, M_n)$ 

State space:  $\mathcal{S} = \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : 0 < y_1 \leq y_2\}$

P7 Consider the paths of  $(\Theta_n)$

Define the subsets:

$$\text{WIN} := \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{S} : y_2 \geq 1\},$$

$$\text{LOSE} := \{(y_1, y_2) \in \mathcal{S} : y_2 = y_1\}.$$

P8 and set

$$\alpha(x, y) = P\{(\Theta_n) \text{ visits LOSE before WIN} \mid \Theta_1 = (x, y)\}.$$

Then

$$\alpha(x, y) = \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^2 + \int_y^1 dz f(x, y, z) \alpha(y, z). \quad (1)$$

This is an unusual sort of **linear** integral equation (but similar to the system of equations for absorption probabilities for Markov chains). After several manipulations, one checks that:

## Solving the integral equation

Solving (1) is equivalent to solving the linear system of o.d.e.'s

$$\dot{\underline{x}}(y) = A \cdot \underline{x}(y) + \underline{b}, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $A$  is the  $6 \times 6$  matrix and  $\underline{b}$  and  $\underline{x}(0)$  are the column vectors

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -9 & 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -8 & 2 & 0 & 28 & -26 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields an explicit formula for  $\alpha(x, y)$ , via the 4 real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$  and eigenvectors of  $A$ . Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = 1 - E[\alpha(x, H_1)],$$

where  $P\{H_1 \leq y\} = (P_x\{B(\cdot)$  hits 0 before  $y\})^2 = \left(\frac{y-x}{y}\right)^2$ .

This leads to the explicit formula for  $\mathbb{E}(x)$ .

## Solving the integral equation

Solving (1) is equivalent to solving the linear system of o.d.e.'s

$$\dot{\underline{x}}(y) = A \cdot \underline{x}(y) + \underline{b}, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $A$  is the  $6 \times 6$  matrix and  $\underline{b}$  and  $\underline{x}(0)$  are the column vectors

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -9 & 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -8 & 2 & 0 & 28 & -26 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields an explicit formula for  $\alpha(x, y)$ , via the 4 real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$  and eigenvectors of  $A$ . Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = 1 - E[\alpha(x, H_1)],$$

where  $P\{H_1 \leq y\} = (P_x\{B(\cdot)$  hits 0 before  $y\})^2 = \left(\frac{y-x}{y}\right)^2$ .

This leads to the explicit formula for  $\mathbb{E}(x)$ .

## Solving the integral equation

Solving (1) is equivalent to solving the linear system of o.d.e.'s

$$\dot{\underline{x}}(y) = A \cdot \underline{x}(y) + \underline{b}, \quad y > 0,$$

where  $A$  is the  $6 \times 6$  matrix and  $\underline{b}$  and  $\underline{x}(0)$  are the column vectors

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -9 & 6 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -8 & 2 & 0 & 28 & -26 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \underline{x}(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields an explicit formula for  $\alpha(x, y)$ , via the 4 real eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$  and eigenvectors of  $A$ . Finally,

$$\mathbb{E}(x) = 1 - E[\alpha(x, H_1)],$$

where  $P\{H_1 \leq y\} = (P_x\{B(\cdot) \text{ hits } 0 \text{ before } y\})^2 = \left(\frac{y-x}{y}\right)^2$ .

This leads to the [explicit formula for  \$\mathbb{E}\(x\)\$](#) .

## Proving Theorem 4

**Theorem.**  $\dim_H$  “bubble”  $= \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ .

**Part 1. Upper bound:**  $\dim_H$  “bubble”  $\leq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ .

Use the covering argument discussed previously:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{t \in V_n} (2^{-2n})^\alpha P\{|W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \\
 & \simeq 2^{4n} 2^{-2\alpha n} 2^{-n} P\{F(t) \mid |W(t) - x| \leq 2^{-n}\} \\
 & \simeq 2^{(3-2\alpha)n} (2^{-n})^{\lambda_1} \\
 & = 2^{(3-2\alpha-\lambda_1)n} \\
 & \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha > \frac{3 - \lambda_1}{2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

**Note.** (2) concerns the Brownian sheet, not ABM: some effort is needed to go from one to the other (“robustness” of the DW-algorithm).



## Proving Theorem 4

Part 2. Lower bound:  $\dim_H$  “bubble”  $\geq \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ .

Energy method: For  $\alpha < \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ , seek a measure  $\mu$  supported on the boundary of a bubble, such that

$$\int \int \frac{\mu(ds)\mu(dt)}{|t-s|^\alpha} < \infty.$$

Via a “second moment argument”, the key estimate is:

## Part 2 (continued)

## Lemma

For  $s, t \in [1, 2]^2$ , with  $|s_1 - t_1| \simeq 2^{2(k-n)}$ ,  $|s_2 - t_2| \simeq 2^{2(\ell-n)}$  ( $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$ ),  
 $P\{|W(t)| \leq 2^{-n}, F(t), |W(s)| \leq 2^{-n}, F(s)\} \leq 2^{-n} 2^{-\ell} (2^{-k\lambda_1})^2 (2^{\ell-n})^{\lambda_1}$ .

(recall that  $F(t) = \{\exists \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = t \text{ and } W(\Gamma(\cdot)) \text{ hits } 1 \text{ before } 0\}$ ; here  $x = 0$ .)

Explanation of each factor:

P9  $W(t) \simeq 2^{-n}$ : prob.  $\simeq 2^{-n}$

$W(s) \simeq 2^{-n}$  (given  $W(t) \simeq 2^{-n}$ ): prob.  $\simeq \frac{2^{-n}}{2^{\ell-n}} = 2^{-\ell}$ .

$F(t) \cap F(s)$ : first both paths reach level  $2^{k-n}$  units: prob.  $[(2^{-k})^{\lambda_1}]^2$ .

In the big rectangle, the maximum of  $W$  is  $\simeq 2^{\ell-n}$ . Starting from this level, one path (at least) must reach level 1 before 0: prob.  $\simeq (2^{\ell-n})^{\lambda_1}$ .

A good bound is obtained by multiplying these factors (even though the events are **not** independent!).

## Part 2 (continued)

## Lemma

For  $s, t \in [1, 2]^2$ , with  $|s_1 - t_1| \simeq 2^{2(k-n)}$ ,  $|s_2 - t_2| \simeq 2^{2(\ell-n)}$  ( $1 \leq k < \ell \leq n$ ),

$$P\{|W(t)| \leq 2^{-n}, F(t), |W(s)| \leq 2^{-n}, F(s)\} \leq 2^{-n} 2^{-\ell} (2^{-k\lambda_1})^2 (2^{\ell-n})^{\lambda_1}.$$

(recall that  $F(t) = \{\exists \Gamma : \Gamma(0) = t \text{ and } W(\Gamma(\cdot)) \text{ hits } 1 \text{ before } 0\}$ ; here  $x = 0$ .)

Explanation of each factor:

P9  $W(t) \simeq 2^{-n}$ : prob.  $\simeq 2^{-n}$

$$W(s) \simeq 2^{-n} \text{ (given } W(t) \simeq 2^{-n}\text{): prob. } \simeq \frac{2^{-n}}{2^{\ell-n}} = 2^{-\ell}.$$

$$F(t) \cap F(s): \text{ first both paths reach level } 2^{k-n} \text{ units: prob. } [(2^{-k})^{\lambda_1}]^2.$$

In the big rectangle, the maximum of  $W$  is  $\simeq 2^{\ell-n}$ . Starting from this level, one path (at least) must reach level 1 before 0: prob.  $\simeq (2^{\ell-n})^{\lambda_1}$ .

A good bound is obtained by multiplying these factors (even though the events are **not** independent!).

Mountford, T.S. (1993). Estimates of the Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of positive Brownian sheet components. *Sém. de Probabilités XXVII Lect. Notes in Math.* vol. 1557, pp.233-255. Springer.

Dalang, R.C. & Walsh, J.B. (1993) The structure of a Brownian bubble. *Probab. Th. Relat. Fields* 96, 475-501.

Mörters, P. & Peres, Y. (2010). *Brownian motion*. Cambridge University Press.

Dalang, R.C. & Mountford, T. (2017). Hausdorff dimension of the boundary of bubbles of additive Brownian motion and of the Brownian sheet (145pp). <http://arxiv.org/abs/1702.08183>