Estimating the spectral gap of a trace-class Markov operator

Qian Qin Joint work with James P. Hobert and Kshitij Khare.

July 2017

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Introduction

Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) is used to estimate multi-dimensional integrals that represent expectations with respect to intractable probability distributions. Let π be an intractable pdf and let

$$J=\int_{\mathcal{S}}f(u)\pi(u)\,\mu(du)$$

One can simulate a Markov chain $\Phi = \{\Phi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ that converges to π and estimate *J* by $J_m = m^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} f(\Phi_k)$.

Introduction

Given *f*, the accuracy of the estimation essentially depends on two factors.

- 1. The convergence rate of Φ , and
- 2. The correlation between the $f(\Phi_k)$ s under stationarity.

These two factors can be investigated jointly under an operator theory framework. They are largely dependent on the spectrum and in particular, the *spectral gap* of the Markov operator associated with Φ .

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Introduction

Let *P* be the Markov operator associated with Φ .

Denote the spectral gap of *P* by δ . Then $0 \le \delta \le 1$. Suppose Φ is reversible, then

1.

$$d_{TV}(\Phi_k; \Phi_\infty) \leq C(1-\delta)^k,$$

where $d_{TV}(\Phi_k; \Phi_\infty)$ is the total variation distance between the distribution of Φ_k and the stationary distribution of Φ .

2. Moreover, $(1 - \delta)^k$ is the maximum absolute correlation between Φ_j and Φ_{j+k} as $j \to \infty$. This implies that

$$\limsup_{m\to\infty} \operatorname{var} \left[m^{1/2} (J_m - J) \right] \leq \frac{2-\delta}{\delta} \operatorname{var}_{\pi} f.$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Goal: Estimate δ .

Theoretical approach: Path arguments (Diaconis and Stroock, 1991), conductance and Cheeger's inequality (Lawler and Sokal, 1988; Sinclair and Jerrum, 1989), drift and minorization (Rosenthal, 1995).

Computational approach: Finite-rank approximation, random matrix approximation (Koltchinskii and Giné, 2000).

Simulation approach: autocorrelation plot and others (Garren and Smith, 2000).

(日)

Markov operators

 (S, U, μ) is a countably generated, σ -finite measure space.

Define a (separable) Hilbert space consisting of complex valued functions on *S* that are square integrable with respect to $\pi(u)$, namely

$$L^2(\pi) := \Big\{ f: S \to \mathbb{C} \Big| \int_S |f(u)|^2 \pi(u) \, \mu(du) < \infty \Big\}.$$

For $f, g \in L^2(\pi)$, their inner product is given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi} = \int_{S} f(u) \overline{g(u)} \pi(u) \, \mu(du)$$

Markov operators

Let $p(u, u'), u, u' \in S$ be the Markov transition density (Mtd) that gives rise to Φ , i.e. for any $A \in U$

$$\mathbb{P}(\Phi_k \in \mathcal{A} | \Phi_0 = u) = \int_{\mathcal{A}} p^{(k)}(u, u') \, \mu(du'),$$

where

$$p^{(k)}(u, u') := \begin{cases} p(u, u') & k = 1, \\ \int_{S} p^{(k-1)}(u, w) p(w, u') \, \mu(dw) & k > 1. \end{cases}$$

The transition density p(u, u') defines the following linear (Markov) operator *P*. For any $f \in L^2(\pi)$,

$$Pf(u) = \int_{S} p(u, u')f(u') \,\mu(du').$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

We say that *P* is trace-class if it is compact and has absolutely summable eigenvalues.

Suppose *P* is non-negative and trace-class. Then all the eigenvalues of *P* are non-negative. Let $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be the (positive) eigenvalues of *P* in decreasing order, taking into account multiplicity. Then $\lambda_0 = 1$, and $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i < \infty$. Under mild assumptions, we have $\lambda_1 < 1$.

The spectral gap $\delta = 1 - \lambda_1$, where λ_1 is the second largest eigenvalue of *P*.

Question: How to estimate λ_1 ?

Power sums of eigenvalues

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k$. Let $u_k = (s_k - 1)^{1/k}$ and $l_k = (s_k - 1)/(s_{k-1} - 1)$. Then we have the following. Proposition As $k \to \infty$, $u_k \downarrow \lambda_1$, $l_k \uparrow \lambda_1$.

To bound λ_1 , we can consider estimating the s_k s. We will make use of the following trace formula

$$s_k = \int_{\mathcal{S}} p^{(k)}(u, u) \, \mu(du).$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Let $S_U = S$ and $\pi_U(u) = \pi(u)$. Define (S_V, V, v) to be a σ -finite measure space such that V is countably generated. Consider the random element (U, V) taking values in $S_U \times S_V$ with joint pdf $\pi_{U,V}(u, v)$. Suppose that the marginal pdf of U is $\pi_U(u)$ and denote the marginal pdf of V by $\pi_V(v)$.

We call Φ a DA chain, and accordingly, *P* a DA operator, if p(u, u') can be expressed as

$$p(u,u') = \int_{\mathcal{S}_V} \pi_{U|V}(u'|v) \pi_{V|U}(v|u) \nu(dv).$$

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

This chain is reversible with respect to $\pi_U := \pi$.

Data augmentation (DA) operators

Mtd:

$$p(u, u') = \int_{S_V} \pi_{U|V}(u'|v) \pi_{V|U}(v|u) \nu(dv).$$

To simulate a DA chain, we need to be able to sample from $\pi_{U|V}(\cdot|v)$ and $\pi_{V|U}(v|u)$. Simulation process: $u \to v \to u'$. Here, v is a latent variable. Alternatively, one can simply view DA as the marginal chain of a Gibbs sampler.

A DA operator is necessarily non-negative.

Note that even if Φ is reversible but not a DA chain, $\{\Phi_{2k}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is. Note that the corresponding Mtd is

$$p^{(2)}(u, u') = \int_{S} p(u, v) p(v, u') \mu(dv).$$

If we take

$$\pi_{U,V}(u,v) = \pi(u)p(u,v) = \pi(v)p(v,u)$$

then $\pi_{U|V}(u'|v) = p(v, u')$, and $\pi_{V|U}(v|u) = p(v, u)$.

Integral representation of s_k

Theorem The DA operator P is trace-class if and only if

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} p(u,u)\,\mu(du) := \int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \int_{\mathcal{S}_V} \pi_{U|V}(u|v)\pi_{V|U}(v|u)\,\nu(dv)\mu(du) < \infty.$$
(1)

If (1) holds, then for any positive integer k,

$$s_k := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k = \int_{\mathcal{S}_U} p^{(k)}(u, u) \, \mu(du).$$

In order to find s_k , $k \in \mathbb{N}$, all we need is to evaluate $\int_{S_U} p^{(k)}(u, u) \mu(du)$. This is in general not easy. We will introduce a way of estimating these integrals using classical Monte Carlo.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Let $\psi: \mathcal{S}_U
ightarrow (\mathbf{0},\infty)$ be a pdf that's positive everywhere. Then

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} p^{(k)}(u, u) \, \mu(du) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{S}_V} \int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \frac{\pi_{U|V}(u|v)}{\psi(u)} \\ & \times \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \pi_{V|U}(v|w) p^{(k-1)}(u, w) \, \mu(dw) \right) \psi(u) \, \mu(du) \nu(dv). \end{split}$$

Note that

$$\eta(u, \mathbf{v}) := \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \pi_{V|U}(\mathbf{v}|\mathbf{w}) p^{(k-1)}(u, \mathbf{w}) \, \mu(d\mathbf{w})\right) \psi(u)$$

is a pdf on $S_U \times S_V$.

Recall that

$$s_k = \int_{\mathcal{S}_U} p^{(k)}(u, u) = \int_{\mathcal{S}_V} \int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \frac{\pi_{U|V}(u|v)}{\psi(u)} \eta(u, v) \, \mu(du) \nu(dv),$$

where

$$\eta(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) := \bigg(\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \pi_{V|U}(\boldsymbol{v}|\boldsymbol{w}) \boldsymbol{p}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{w}) \, \mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{w}) \bigg) \psi(\boldsymbol{u}).$$

Suppose that $\{U^*, V^*\} \sim \eta$. Then

$$\mathbf{s}_{k} = \mathbb{E} \frac{\pi_{U|V}(U^{*}|V^{*})}{\psi(U^{*})} \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\pi_{U|V}(U^{*}_{i}|V^{*}_{i})}{\psi(U^{*}_{i})},$$

where $\{U_i^*, V_i^*\}_{i=1}^N$ are iid copies of (U^*, V^*) .

How to simulate η ? Recall that

$$\eta(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) := \bigg(\int_{\mathcal{S}_U} \pi_{V|U}(\boldsymbol{v}|\boldsymbol{w})\boldsymbol{p}^{(k-1)}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{w})\,\mu(\boldsymbol{d}\boldsymbol{w})\bigg)\psi(\boldsymbol{u}).$$

One can use the algorithm below.

Algorithm 1: *i*th iteration. $(U^*, V^*) \sim \eta$

- 1. Generate U^* from $\psi(u)$.
- 2. If k = 1, set $W = U^*$. If $k \ge 2$, given $U^* = u$, generate W from $p^{(k-1)}(u, w)$ by running k 1 iterations of the DA algorithm of interest.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

3. Given W = w, generate V^* from $\pi_{V|U}(v|w)$.

For the estimation to be statistically valid, we'd like the estimator to have finite variance, i.e.

$$D^2 := \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\pi_{U|V}(U^*|V^*)}{\psi(U^*)}\right) < \infty.$$

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for this to be true.

Theorem

The variance, D², is finite if

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_V}\int_{\mathcal{S}_U}\frac{\pi_{U|V}^3(u|v)\pi_{V|U}(v|u)}{\psi^2(u)}\,\mu(du)\,\nu(dv)<\infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ─ □ ─ の < @

n2

Recall that

$$D^{-}$$

$$:= \operatorname{var}\left(\frac{\pi_{U|V}(U^{*}|V^{*})}{\psi(U^{*})}\right)$$

$$= \int_{\mathcal{S}_{U}\times\mathcal{S}_{V}} \frac{\pi_{U|V}^{2}(u|v)}{\psi^{2}(u)} \left(\int_{\mathcal{S}_{U}} \pi_{V|U}(v|w) p^{(k-1)}(u,w) \mu(dw)\right) \psi(u) \, dv du - s_{k}^{2}.$$

(Variance of the estimator is D^2/N .)

Heuristically, if $\psi \approx \pi_U$, then as $k \to \infty$,

$$D^2 pprox \int_{S_U imes S_V} rac{\pi^2_{U|V}(u|v)}{\pi^2_U(u)} \pi_V(v) \, dv du - 1,$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

i.e. $D^2 \approx s_1 - 1$. Therefore, it's beneficial to choose ψ that resembles the target distribution if the sum of eigenvalues, s_1 , is small.

Let
$$S_U = S_V = \mathbb{R}$$
, $\pi_U(u) \propto \exp(-u^2)$, and
 $\pi_{V|U}(v|u) \propto \exp\Big\{-4\Big(v - \frac{u}{2}\Big)^2\Big\}.$

Then

$$\pi_{U|V}(u|v) \propto \exp\{-2(u-v)^2\}.$$

This characterizes one of the simplest DA chains known, with Mtd

$$p(u,u') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \pi_{U|V}(u'|v)\pi_{V|U}(v|u) dv$$

being the pdf of a normal distribution.

The spectrum of the corresponding Markov operator *P* has been studied thoroughly. It's easy to verify that *P* is trace-class. In fact, for any non-negative integer *i*, $\lambda_i = 1/2^i$. This implies for any positive integer *k*,

$$s_k = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{ik}} = \frac{1}{1-2^{-k}}.$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● のへで

With $N = 10^5$, our estimates for s_k , k = 1, 2, 3, 4 are as follows.

k	True s _k	Est. <i>s</i> _k	Est. D/√N	Est. I _k	Est. u _k
1	2.000	1.996	0.004	0.000	0.996
2	1.333	1.331	0.004	0.333	0.575
3	1.143	1.142	0.004	0.429	0.522
4	1.067	1.068	0.004	0.482	0.511

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○ ○ ○ ○

Table: Estimated power sums of eigenvalues for the Gaussian chain

Let Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_n be independent Bernoulli random variables with $\mathbb{P}(Y_i = 1|\beta) = \Phi(x_i^T\beta)$, where $x_i, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Take the prior on β to be $N_p(Q^{-1}v, Q^{-1})$, where $v \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and Q is positive definite. The resulting posterior distribution is intractable, but Albert and Chib (1993) devised a DA algorithm to sample from it.

Posterior:

$$\pi(\beta|Y) \\ \propto \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\Phi(x_i^T \beta) \right)^{y_i} \left(1 - \Phi(x_i^T \beta) \right)^{1-y_i} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\beta - Q^{-1} v)^T Q(\beta - Q^{-1} v) \right\}.$$

Albert and Chib's chain:

$$\begin{aligned} z_i | \beta &\sim \begin{cases} TN(x_i^T \beta, 0, \infty), & Y_i = 1, \\ TN(x_i^T \beta, -\infty, 0), & Y_i = 0; \end{cases} \\ \beta | z &\sim N\left((X^T X + Q)^{-1} (X^T z + v), (X^T X + Q)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Chakraborty and Khare (2017) showed that when all the eigenvalues of $Q^{-1/2}X^T X Q^{-1/2}$ are less than 7/2, then the corresponding Markov operator is trace-class.

We will use our method to estimate the spectral gap of the chain. The dataset we examine is the "lupus" data (van Dyk 2001), which has n = 55 observations and p = 3 features.

For the prior, we take v = 0, and $Q = X^T X/3.499999$. This is a *g*-prior-like prior that Chakraborty and Khare used.

 $N = 4 \times 10^5$.

Table: Estimated power sums of eigenvalues for the AC chain

k	Est. <i>s</i> _k	Est. <i>D</i> /√ <i>N</i>	Est. I _k	Est. u _k
1	6.744	0.072	0.000	5.744
2	2.041	0.007	0.181	1.020
3	1.363	0.004	0.349	0.713
4	1.156	0.004	0.430	0.628
5	1.068	0.003	0.436	0.584

By CLT, a (conservative) asymptotic 95% CI for λ_1 is (0.397, 0.595).

- ALBERT, J. H. and CHIB, S. (1993). Bayesian analysis of binary and polychotomous response data. *Journal of the American statistical Association* **88** 669–679.
- CHAKRABORTY, S. and KHARE, K. (2017). Convergence properties of Gibbs samplers for Bayesian probit regression with proper priors. *Electronic Journal of Statistics* **11** 177–210.
- DIACONIS, P. and STROOCK, D. (1991). Geometric bounds for eigenvalues of Markov chains. *The Annals of Applied Probability* **1** 36–61.
- GARREN, S. T. and SMITH, R. L. (2000). Estimating the second largest eigenvalue of a Markov transition matrix. *Bernoulli* **6** 215–242.
- KOLTCHINSKII, V. and GINÉ, E. (2000). Random matrix approximation of spectra of integral operators. *Bernoulli* **6** 113–167.
- LAWLER, G. F. and SOKAL, A. D. (1988). Bounds on the *l*² spectrum for Markov chains and Markov processes: A generalization of Cheegers inequality. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **309** 557–580.
- ROSENTHAL, J. S. (1995). Minorization conditions and convergence rates for Markov chain Monte Carlo. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **90** 558–566.
- SINCLAIR, A. and JERRUM, M. (1989). Approximate counting, uniform generation and rapidly mixing Markov chains. *Information and Computation* **82** 93–133.