Optimal rate of convergence in periodic homogenization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

Yifeng Yu

Department of Mathematics

University of California, Irvine

Joint work with Hiroyoshi Mitake and Hung V. Tran

LMS-EPSRC Durham Research Symposia

Homogenisation in Disordered Media 2018

Homogenization Theory of Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Assume $H(p, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniformly coercive in the *p* variable and periodic in the *x* variable.

For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $u^{\epsilon} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ be the viscosity solution to the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t^{\epsilon} + H\left(Du^{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u^{\epsilon}(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Homogenization Theory of Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Assume $H(p, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniformly coercive in the *p* variable and periodic in the *x* variable.

For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $u^{\epsilon} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ be the viscosity solution to the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t^{\epsilon} + H\left(Du^{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u^{\epsilon}(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(1)

It was known (Lions-Papanicolaou-Varadhan, 1987), that u^{ϵ} , as $\epsilon \to 0$, converges locally uniformly to u, the solution of the effective equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \overline{H}(Du) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Homogenization Theory of Hamilton-Jacobi Equation

Assume $H(p, x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ is uniformly coercive in the *p* variable and periodic in the *x* variable.

For each $\epsilon > 0$, let $u^{\epsilon} \in C(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty))$ be the viscosity solution to the following Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t^{\epsilon} + H\left(Du^{\epsilon}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u^{\epsilon}(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(1)

It was known (Lions-Papanicolaou-Varadhan, 1987), that u^{ϵ} , as $\epsilon \to 0$, converges locally uniformly to u, the solution of the effective equation,

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \overline{H}(Du) = 0 & \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) = g(x) & \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$
(2)

 $\overline{H}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is called "effective Hamiltonian" or " α function", a nonlinear averaging of the original H.

Yifeng Yu (UCI Math)

$$H(p + Dv, y) = \overline{H}(p)$$
 in \mathbb{T}^n .

has periodic viscosity solutions v = v(y, p) ("corrector").

$$H(p + Dv, y) = \overline{H}(p)$$
 in \mathbb{T}^n .

has periodic viscosity solutions v = v(y, p) ("corrector"). Heuristically, the two-scale asymptotic expansion says:

$$u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \approx u(x,t) + \epsilon v(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du).$$

Note: The corrector v(x, p) for p = Du(x, t) basically captures the oscillation of Du^{ϵ} at (x, t). $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$.

$$H(p + Dv, y) = \overline{H}(p)$$
 in \mathbb{T}^n .

has periodic viscosity solutions v = v(y, p) ("corrector"). Heuristically, the two-scale asymptotic expansion says:

$$u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \approx u(x,t) + \epsilon v(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du).$$

Note: The corrector v(x, p) for p = Du(x, t) basically captures the oscillation of Du^{ϵ} at (x, t). $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$.

• A natural and fundamental question:

How fast does $u^{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$?

$$H(p + Dv, y) = \overline{H}(p)$$
 in \mathbb{T}^n .

has periodic viscosity solutions v = v(y, p) ("corrector"). Heuristically, the two-scale asymptotic expansion says:

$$u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \approx u(x,t) + \epsilon v(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du).$$

Note: The corrector v(x, p) for p = Du(x, t) basically captures the oscillation of Du^{ϵ} at (x, t). $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$.

• A natural and fundamental question:

How fast does $u^{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$?

According to the obove formal expansion, we "have" that

$$|u^{\epsilon}-u|=O(\epsilon).$$

$$H(p + Dv, y) = \overline{H}(p)$$
 in \mathbb{T}^n .

has periodic viscosity solutions v = v(y, p) ("corrector"). Heuristically, the two-scale asymptotic expansion says:

$$u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \approx u(x,t) + \epsilon v(\frac{x}{\epsilon}, Du).$$

Note: The corrector v(x, p) for p = Du(x, t) basically captures the oscillation of Du^{ϵ} at (x, t). $y = \frac{x}{\epsilon}$.

• A natural and fundamental question:

How fast does $u^{\epsilon} \rightarrow u$?

According to the obove formal expansion, we "have" that

$$|u^{\epsilon}-u|=O(\epsilon).$$

However, there is NO way to justify this expansion rigorously!

Why does the expansion not hold generically?

(I) The solution of the effective equation u(x, t) is in general not even C^1 ; (2) There does not even exist a continuous selection of

$$p \to v(x,p) : \mathbb{R}^n \to Lip(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Why does the expansion not hold generically?

(I) The solution of the effective equation u(x, t) is in general not even C^1 ; (2) There does not even exist a continuous selection of

$$p \to v(x,p) : \mathbb{R}^n \to Lip(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The best known result was due to I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta and H. Ishii (2001) based on pure PDE approaches:

$$|u^{\epsilon}-u|\leq O\left(\epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}\right).$$

Why does the expansion not hold generically?

(I) The solution of the effective equation u(x, t) is in general not even C^1 ; (2) There does not even exist a continuous selection of

$$p \to v(x,p) : \mathbb{R}^n \to Lip(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The best known result was due to I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta and H. Ishii (2001) based on pure PDE approaches:

$$|u^{\epsilon}-u|\leq O\left(\epsilon^{rac{1}{3}}
ight).$$

Strategy: (1) Using solutions to an auxiliary equation v_{λ} to replace v.

$$\lambda v_{\lambda} + H(p + Dv_{\lambda}, x) = 0;$$

(2) Employing the classical method of "**doubling variables**" to relax the regularity requirment of u.

Why does the expansion not hold generically?

(I) The solution of the effective equation u(x, t) is in general not even C^1 ; (2) There does not even exist a continuous selection of

$$p \to v(x,p) : \mathbb{R}^n \to Lip(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

The best known result was due to I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta and H. Ishii (2001) based on pure PDE approaches:

$$|u^{\epsilon}-u|\leq O\left(\epsilon^{rac{1}{3}}
ight).$$

Strategy: (1) Using solutions to an auxiliary equation v_{λ} to replace v.

$$\lambda v_{\lambda} + H(p + Dv_{\lambda}, x) = 0;$$

(2) Employing the classical method of "**doubling variables**" to relax the regularity requirment of u.

• Note: Armstrong, Cardaliaguet and Souganidis (2014) extended this to convex H in the i.i.d setting and obtained $O(\epsilon^{1/8})$

Yifeng Yu (UCI Math)

Whether the convergence rate $O(\epsilon^{1/3})$ can be improved?

In particular, when can we obtain the optimal one $O(\epsilon)$?

Note: It is basically impossible to modify or refine the **Capuzzo-Dolcetta–H. Ishii method** to achieve this goal. A completely new approach has to be introduced.

Main Result 1: General Convex Case $(p \rightarrow H(p, x))$

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018) Assume H is onvex in p and $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (i) $u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \ge u(x,t) - C\epsilon$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty)$. The constant C > 0 in (i) and (ii) below depend only on H and $\|Dg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Main Result 1: General Convex Case $(p \rightarrow H(p, x))$

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018) Assume H is onvex in p and $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. (i) $u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \ge u(x,t) - C\epsilon$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,\infty)$.

The constant C > 0 in (i) and (ii) below depend only on H and $\|Dg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

(ii) For fixed $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$, if u is differentiable at (x, t) and \overline{H} is twice differentiable at p = Du(x, t), then

 $u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \leq u(x,t) + \widetilde{C}_{x,t}\epsilon.$

if the initial data $g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\|g\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$. If g is merely Lipschitz continuous, then

 $u^{\epsilon}(x,t) \leq u(x,t) + C_{x,t}\sqrt{\epsilon}.$

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume n = 2 and $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume further that H is convex and positively homogeneous of degree k in p for some $k \ge 1$, that is, $H(\lambda p, x) = \lambda^k H(p, x)$ for all $(\lambda, x, p) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Then,

 $|u^{\epsilon}(x,t) - u(x,t)| \leq C\epsilon$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,\infty)$.

Here C > 0 is a constant depending only on H and $\|Dg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume n = 2 and $g \in \operatorname{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Assume further that H is convex and positively homogeneous of degree k in p for some $k \ge 1$, that is, $H(\lambda p, x) = \lambda^k H(p, x)$ for all $(\lambda, x, p) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$. Then,

 $|u^{\epsilon}(x,t) - u(x,t)| \leq C\epsilon$ for all $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0,\infty)$.

Here C > 0 is a constant depending only on H and $\|Dg\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)}$.

Note that k = 1 corresponds to Hamiltonians associated with the front propagation, which is probably one of the most physically relevant situations in the homogenization theory. For example,

 $u_t + a(x)|Du| = 0$ in crystal growth, etc

and the well known G-equation in turbulent combustion

 $u_t + |Du| + V(x) \cdot Du = 0.$

Yifeng Yu (UCI Math)

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume that n = 1 and H = H(p, x) is convex in p. Assume further that $g \in Lip(R)$. Then, for each T > 0,

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])} \leq C\epsilon.$

Here C is a constant depending only on H and $||g'||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.

• Son N.T. Tu extended to $H(u_x, x/\epsilon, x)$ when n = 1 for some H (arxiv).

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume that n = 1 and H = H(p, x) is convex in p. Assume further that $g \in Lip(R)$. Then, for each T > 0,

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])} \leq C\epsilon.$

Here C is a constant depending only on H and $||g'||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.

• Son N.T. Tu extended to $H(u_x, x/\epsilon, x)$ when n = 1 for some H (arxiv).

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume that n = 1 and H = H(p, x) is convex in p. Assume further that $g \in Lip(R)$. Then, for each T > 0,

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])}\leq C\epsilon.$

Here C is a constant depending only on H and $||g'||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.

• Son N.T. Tu extended to $H(u_x, x/\epsilon, x)$ when n = 1 for some H (arxiv).

• For the one dimension case, the remaining question is to find the optimal rate for general coercive *H* (i.e. **Nonconvex** *H*). Recall that the **Capuzzo-Dolcetta–Ishii** result says that

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])}\leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}.$

Theorem (Mitake, Tran, Y. 2018)

Assume that n = 1 and H = H(p, x) is convex in p. Assume further that $g \in Lip(R)$. Then, for each T > 0,

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])}\leq C\epsilon.$

Here C is a constant depending only on H and $||g'||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}$.

• Son N.T. Tu extended to $H(u_x, x/\epsilon, x)$ when n = 1 for some H (arxiv).

• For the one dimension case, the remaining question is to find the optimal rate for general coercive *H* (i.e. **Nonconvex** *H*). Recall that the **Capuzzo-Dolcetta–Ishii** result says that

 $\|u^{\epsilon}-u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}\times[0,T])}\leq C\epsilon^{\frac{1}{3}}.$

• We conjecture that the optimal rate is $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

Sketch of Proof of the Lower Bound $u^{\epsilon} \geq u - C\epsilon$

$$u^{\epsilon}(0,1) = \inf_{\eta(0)=0} \left\{ g\left(\epsilon\eta\left(-\epsilon^{-1}\right)\right) + \epsilon \int_{-\epsilon^{-1}}^{0} L(\eta(t),\dot{\eta}(t)) dt \right\}$$

Here $L(q,x) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ p \cdot q - H(p,x) \}$. Also,
 $u(0,1) = \inf_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ g(y) + \overline{L}(-y) \right\}.$

For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a "corrector" v_p :

$$H(p + Dv_p, y) = \overline{H}(p),$$

$$\int_{-\epsilon^{-1}}^{0} L(\eta(t),\dot{\eta}(t)) + \overline{H}(p) \, dt \geq p \cdot \eta(0) - p \cdot \eta\left(-\epsilon^{-1}\right) + v_{p}(\eta(0)) - v_{p}\left(\eta\left(-\epsilon^{-1}\right)\right)$$

Accordingly, since $\overline{L}(q) = \sup_{p \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ p \cdot q - \overline{H}(p) \}$,

$$\epsilon \int_{-\epsilon^{-1}}^{0} (L(\eta(t),\dot{\eta}(t)) dt \geq \overline{L} \left(-\epsilon \eta \left(-\epsilon^{-1}\right)\right) - C\epsilon.$$

The Upper Bound and the Hamiltonian System

For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **global charateristics** of a corrector v_p , i.e.,

$$p \cdot (\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)) + v_p(\xi(t_2)) - v_p(\xi(t_1)) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\dot{\xi}, \xi) + \overline{H}(p) \, ds.$$

for all $t_1 < t_2$. The collection of those ξ is the so called "Mané set" in weak KAM theory. Such ξ is an absolute minimizer of the action

$$A[\gamma] = \int L(\dot{\gamma}(t), \gamma(t)) + \overline{H}(p) dt.$$

Finding upper bound is basically reduced to the following question:

The Upper Bound and the Hamiltonian System

For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **global charateristics** of a corrector v_p , i.e.,

$$p \cdot (\xi(t_2) - \xi(t_1)) + v_p(\xi(t_2)) - v_p(\xi(t_1)) = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(\dot{\xi}, \xi) + \overline{H}(p) \, ds.$$

for all $t_1 < t_2$. The collection of those ξ is the so called "Mané set" in weak KAM theory. Such ξ is an absolute minimizer of the action

$$A[\gamma] = \int L(\dot{\gamma}(t), \gamma(t)) + \overline{H}(p) dt.$$

Finding upper bound is basically reduced to the following question:

Question: Does the average slope

$\frac{\xi(t)}{t}$

converge as $t \to \infty$? More importantly, what is the **convergence rate**?

• It is known that in weak KAM theory/Aubry-Mather theory that if \overline{H} is differentiable at p, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\xi(t)}{t} = D\overline{H}(p).$$
(3)

• It is known that in weak KAM theory/Aubry-Mather theory that if \overline{H} is differentiable at p, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\xi(t)}{t} = D\overline{H}(p).$$
(3)

Connection with the convergence rate in homogenization:

$$(I). \quad \left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t} - D\overline{H}(p)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \Rightarrow |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\epsilon) \quad \text{for } g \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
$$I). \quad \left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t} - D\overline{H}(p)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) & \text{for } g \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^n)\\ |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\epsilon) & \text{for } g \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

• It is known that in weak KAM theory/Aubry-Mather theory that if \overline{H} is differentiable at p, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\xi(t)}{t} = D\overline{H}(p).$$
(3)

Connection with the convergence rate in homogenization:

$$(I). \quad \left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t} - D\overline{H}(p)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t} \Rightarrow |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\epsilon) \quad \text{for } g \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^{n})$$
$$(II). \quad \left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t} - D\overline{H}(p)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\sqrt{\epsilon}) & \text{for } g \in Lip(\mathbb{R}^{n})\\ |u^{\epsilon} - u| \leq O(\epsilon) & \text{for } g \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}). \end{cases}$$

By modifying the argument of (3), it is easy to show that if
$$\overline{H}$$
 is twice differentiable at p , then (Gomes 2002)

$$\left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t} - D\overline{H}(p)\right| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}$$

n = 2 and the Aubry-Mather Theory

Key ingredient: 2d topology + the fact that two absolute minimizers ξ cannot intersect twice lead to good description of the structure of absolute minimizers (Aubry-Mather sets basically consist of recurrent ones).

n = 2 and the Aubry-Mather Theory

Key ingredient: 2d topology + the fact that two absolute minimizers ξ cannot intersect twice lead to good description of the structure of absolute minimizers (Aubry-Mather sets basically consist of recurrent ones). • In particular, each absolute minimizer can be identifed with a circle map: $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, continuous, increasing and f(x + 1) = f(x) + 1.



There exists a rotation number α such that $|f^i(x) - x - \alpha i| \le 1$ for all *i*. Yieng Yu (UCI Math) Optimal rate of convergence in periodic home 12/19

Connection with the Convergence Rate

• If n = 2 and the Hamiltonian H(p, x) is Tonelli and homogeneous of degree k, the \overline{H} is differentiable away from 0 (**Carnerio, 1995**).

Connection with the Convergence Rate

- If n = 2 and the Hamiltonian H(p, x) is Tonelli and homogeneous of degree k, the \overline{H} is differentiable away from 0 (**Carnerio, 1995**).
- Combining with the circle map identification and some weak KAM type calcuations, we can deduce that for any global charateristics $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t}-D\overline{H}(p)\right|\leq\frac{C}{t}.$$

Via approximation, this leads to the $O(\epsilon)$ convergence rate for general convex, coercive and homogeneous H.

Connection with the Convergence Rate

- If n = 2 and the Hamiltonian H(p, x) is Tonelli and homogeneous of degree k, the \overline{H} is differentiable away from 0 (**Carnerio, 1995**).
- Combining with the circle map identification and some weak KAM type calcuations, we can deduce that for any global charateristics $\xi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\left|\frac{\xi(t)}{t}-D\overline{H}(p)\right|\leq\frac{C}{t}.$$

Via approximation, this leads to the $O(\epsilon)$ convergence rate for general convex, coercive and homogeneous H.

Conjecture: For a general convex and coercive H(p, x) when n = 2, we are working on to show that

$$|u^{\epsilon}(x,t)-u(x,t)|\leq C_{x,t}\;\epsilon \quad ext{for a.e. } (x,t)\in \mathbb{R}^2 imes (0,+\infty).$$

• When $n \ge 3$, there is **NO** topological obstructions for absolutely minimizing curves. The generalized **Aubry-Mather theory** has very limited applicability in obtaining properties of \overline{H} .

• When $n \ge 3$, there is **NO** topological obstructions for absolutely minimizing curves. The generalized **Aubry-Mather theory** has very limited applicability in obtaining properties of \overline{H} .

For example, consider a simple metric Hamiltonian with smooth, positive and periodic a(x)

H(p,x) = a(x)|p|.

and the associated effective Hamiltonian $\overline{H}(p)$:

$$a(x)|p+Dv|=\overline{H}(p).$$

 $\overline{H}(p)$ is convex, coercive and homogeneous of degree 1.

• When $n \ge 3$, there is **NO** topological obstructions for absolutely minimizing curves. The generalized **Aubry-Mather theory** has very limited applicability in obtaining properties of \overline{H} .

For example, consider a simple metric Hamiltonian with smooth, positive and periodic a(x)

H(p,x)=a(x)|p|.

and the associated effective Hamiltonian $\overline{H}(p)$:

$$a(x)|p+Dv|=\overline{H}(p).$$

 $\overline{H}(p)$ is convex, coercive and homogeneous of degree 1. However, it is extremely hard to derive any further information when $n \ge 3$? For instance, the following "simple" question is still open

Does there exist a non-constant smooth a(x) such that $H \equiv |p|$?

• When $n \ge 3$, there is **NO** topological obstructions for absolutely minimizing curves. The generalized **Aubry-Mather theory** has very limited applicability in obtaining properties of \overline{H} .

For example, consider a simple metric Hamiltonian with smooth, positive and periodic a(x)

H(p,x)=a(x)|p|.

and the associated effective Hamiltonian $\overline{H}(p)$:

$$a(x)|p+Dv|=\overline{H}(p).$$

 $\overline{H}(p)$ is convex, coercive and homogeneous of degree 1. However, it is extremely hard to derive any further information when $n \ge 3$? For instance, the following "simple" question is still open

Does there exist a non-constant smooth a(x) such that $\overline{H} \equiv |p|$?

When n = 2, the answer is "No" (Bangert, 1994) based on Aubry-Mather

Lack of Examples with Fractional Convergence Rate

For $n \geq 3$, consider

$$H(p,x)=a(x)|p|.$$

Although it is very reasonable to believe that the optimal convergence rate $O(\epsilon)$ is not achievable in general, we haven't been able to construct an example with **fractional convergence rate** since this involves handling chaotic behaviors.

Lack of Examples with Fractional Convergence Rate

For $n \geq 3$, consider

$$H(p,x)=a(x)|p|.$$

Although it is very reasonable to believe that the optimal convergence rate $O(\epsilon)$ is not achievable in general, we haven't been able to construct an example with **fractional convergence rate** since this involves handling chaotic behaviors.

When $n \ge 3$, the only well-understood interesting example is the classical **Hedlund example**: The metric function a(x) is a smooth periodic singular pertubation of 1 such that any minimizing geodesics is basically confined in a small neighbourhood of one of three disjoint parallel lines.

So the Aubry-Mather set is very small and

 $\overline{H}(p) = C \max\{|p_1|, |p_2|, |p_3|\}.$

• The level surface is a cube, in particular not C^1 , which is **different** from n = 2.





However, for this sort of "bad" example, the convergence rate is $O(\epsilon)$.

Yifeng Yu (UCI Math)







