joint work with Benjamin Doerr, Spyros Angelopoulos, Nikolaos Fountoulakis, Ariel Levavi, and Konstantinos Panagiotou

Anna Huber

Durham University (soon to be: Derby University)

Graph Theory and Interactions, July 2013



Graph Theory and Interactions...



Graph Theory and Interactions...

... between neighbouring vertices!



For example:

Broadcasting updates in distributed databases.



For example:

Broadcasting updates in distributed databases.





For example:

- Broadcasting updates in distributed databases.
- Mathematics of infectious diseases.





► Efficient and simple.



- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.



- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.



- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.
- Examples:
 - Monte Carlo algorithms.



- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.

Examples:

- Monte Carlo algorithms.
- Random walk.



- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.

Examples:

- Monte Carlo algorithms.
- Random walk.
- Randomized rumour spreading.



















E









E





 \bigcirc



















Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed?



Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed?

• At least $\log_2 n$.



Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed with high probability (with probability 1 - o(1))?

► At least log₂ n.



Complete Graphs



Theorem (Frieze and Grimmett 1985)

The randomized model on the complete graph informs all n vertices within

$$(1\pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$$



Theorem (Pittel 1987)

For every $h \in \omega(1)$ the randomized model on the complete graph informs all n vertices within

 $\log_2 n + \ln n \pm h(n)$



Hypercubes and Random Graphs

Let $G_{n,p}$ denote the Erdős-Rényi random graph on *n* vertices with edge probability *p*.

Theorem (Feige, Peleg, Raghavan and Upfal 1990)

The randomized model on hypercubes and random graphs $G_{n,p}$ with $p \ge \frac{(1+\epsilon) \ln n}{n}$ informs all n vertices within

$\Theta(\log n)$



Leading Constant for Random Graphs

Let $G_{n,p}$ denote the Erdős-Rényi random graph on *n* vertices with edge probability *p*.

Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2009)

The randomized model on the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, informs all vertices within

 $(1\pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$



Leading Constant for Random Graphs

Let $G_{n,p}$ denote the Erdős-Rényi random graph on *n* vertices with edge probability *p*.

Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2009)

The randomized model on the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, informs all vertices within

 $(1\pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$

time-steps with high probability.

▶ No dependence on *p* − Same as complete graph!





• Messages successfully sent with constant probability $q \in [0, 1]$.



- ► Messages successfully sent with constant probability q ∈ [0, 1].
- Independent failures.



- ► Messages successfully sent with constant probability q ∈ [0, 1].
- Independent failures.
- q = 1: As before.
- What will the runtime be for $q \in \left]0,1\right[$?



Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2010)

The randomized model with transmission success probability q informs all vertices of the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, within

$$(1 \pm o(1))(\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n)$$


Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2010)

The randomized model with transmission success probability q informs all vertices of the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, within

$$(1 \pm o(1))(\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n)$$

time-steps with high probability.

• Note that
$$\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n < \frac{1}{q} (\log_2 n + \ln n)$$
.



Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2010)

The randomized model with transmission success probability q informs all vertices of the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, within

$$(1 \pm o(1))(\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n)$$

time-steps with high probability.

- ► Note that $\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n < \frac{1}{q} (\log_2 n + \ln n).$
- ► No dependence on p Same as complete graph!



Leading Constant for Random Graphs

Let $G_{n,p}$ denote the Erdős-Rényi random graph on n vertices with edge probability p.

Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., Panagiotou, 2009)

The randomized model on the random graph $G_{n,p}$, where $p = \omega \left(\frac{\ln n}{n}\right)$, informs all vertices within

 $(1\pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$

time-steps with high probability.



in $(1 \pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$ time-steps:



```
in (1 \pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n) time-steps:
```

 "The log₂-Part": ~ log₂ n steps. Nearly doublings with high probability. εn informed vertices.



```
in (1 \pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n) time-steps:
```

- "The log₂-Part": ~ log₂ n steps. Nearly doublings with high probability. εn informed vertices.
- "few steps huge effect": $(1 \epsilon)n$ informed vertices.



in $(1 \pm o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$ time-steps:

- "The log₂-Part": ~ log₂ n steps. Nearly doublings with high probability. ∈n informed vertices.
- "few steps huge effect": $(1 \epsilon)n$ informed vertices.
- ► "The In-Part": ~ In n steps. All vertices informed.



Proof Idea



Proof Idea

► Show that G_{n,p} has "good" properties with high probability.



Proof Idea

- ► Show that G_{n,p} has "good" properties with high probability.
- Bound the number of informed vertices after each round (from above and from below) for graphs with "good" properties.





 if |S| ≥ n/(α(n)), most vertices outside S have (1 ± α(n)^{-1/2})p|S| neighbours in S,



- if $|S| \ge \frac{n}{\alpha(n)}$, most vertices outside S have $(1 \pm \alpha(n)^{-1/2})p|S|$ neighbours in S,
- ▶ if $|S| \leq \frac{n}{\alpha(n)}$, most vertices outside S have at most ϵpn neighbours in S,



- if $|S| \ge \frac{n}{\alpha(n)}$, most vertices outside S have $(1 \pm \alpha(n)^{-1/2})p|S|$ neighbours in S,
- ▶ if $|S| \leq \frac{n}{\alpha(n)}$, most vertices outside S have at most ϵpn neighbours in S,
- ▶ the cut of *S* contains $|S|(n |S|)p\left(1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{8}{\alpha(n)}}\right)$ edges.





Let $G_{n,p}$ denote the Erdős-Rényi random graph on *n* vertices with edge probability *p*.

"Good" model for "real" networks...???



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- p is measure for density



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- p is measure for density
- Quite regular



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- p is measure for density
- Quite regular
- Quite high expansion



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- p is measure for density
- Quite regular
- Quite high expansion

• Connected
$$\left(\text{If } p \geq \frac{(1+\varepsilon) \ln n}{n} \right)$$



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- p is measure for density
- Quite regular
- Quite high expansion
- Connected $\left(\text{If } p \geq \frac{(1+\varepsilon) \ln n}{n} \right)$
- Effort to design networks with properties of random graphs





"Good" model for "real" networks...???



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- maybe not?



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- maybe not?
- Too regular for real-world graphs?



- "Good" model for "real" networks...???
- maybe not?
- Too regular for real-world graphs?
- ► Too "static" for real-world graphs?



Push – and pull! [Demers et al,1988]



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]
- Social networks [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou, Sauerwald / Doerr, Fouz, Friedrich 2012]



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]
- Social networks [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou, Sauerwald / Doerr, Fouz, Friedrich 2012]
- Dynamic random graph models [Clementi, Crescenzi, Doerr, Fraigniaud, Isopi, Panconesi, Pasquale, Silvestri 2013]



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]
- Social networks [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou, Sauerwald / Doerr, Fouz, Friedrich 2012]
- Dynamic random graph models [Clementi, Crescenzi, Doerr, Fraigniaud, Isopi, Panconesi, Pasquale, Silvestri 2013]
- Safe termination criteria, memory of nodes...



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]
- Social networks [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou, Sauerwald / Doerr, Fouz, Friedrich 2012]
- Dynamic random graph models [Clementi, Crescenzi, Doerr, Fraigniaud, Isopi, Panconesi, Pasquale, Silvestri 2013]
- Safe termination criteria, memory of nodes...

not exhaustive - for more, see e.g.



- Push and pull! [Demers et al,1988]
- Random regular graphs and expanders [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou 2010]
- Social networks [Fountoulakis, Panagiotou, Sauerwald / Doerr, Fouz, Friedrich 2012]
- Dynamic random graph models [Clementi, Crescenzi, Doerr, Fraigniaud, Isopi, Panconesi, Pasquale, Silvestri 2013]
- Safe termination criteria, memory of nodes...

not exhaustive - for more, see e.g.



Why Quasirandom?

Why Quasirandom?

Deterministic

- Fix runtime and result.
- ► Guarantees.


Why Quasirandom?

Deterministic

- Fix runtime and result.
- Guarantees.

Randomized

- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.



Why Quasirandom?

Deterministic

- Fix runtime and result.
- Guarantees.

*

Quasirandom

- Use dependencies.
- Reduce randomness.
- Keep or improve property of the random method.

Randomized

- Efficient and simple.
- Robust.
- Good average properties.



































































Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed?



Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed?

• At least $\log_2 n$.



Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed?

- ► At least log₂ n.
- Also bounded from above (by n-1 for complete graphs).



Question

How long does it take until all n vertices are informed with high probability?

- ► At least log₂ n.
- Also bounded from above (by n-1 for complete graphs).



Theorem (Doerr, Friedrich and Sauerwald 2008)

The quasirandom model on hypercubes and random graphs $G_{n,p}$ with $p \ge \frac{(1+\epsilon) \ln n}{n}$ informs all n vertices within

 $\Theta(\log n)$

time-steps with high probability.



Let R_n denote the number of rounds needed to inform all n vertices of a complete graph in the random model.

Theorem (Frieze and Grimmett 1985)

$$(1 - o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n) \le R_n \le (1 + o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$$

with high probability.



Let Q_n denote the number of rounds needed to inform all n vertices of a complete graph in the quasirandom model.

Theorem (Angelopoulos, Doerr, H., Panagiotou 2009)

 $(1 - o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n) \le Q_n \le (1 + o(1))(\log_2 n + \ln n)$

with high probability.



Let R_n denote the number of rounds needed to inform all n vertices of a complete graph in the random model.

Theorem (Pittel 1987)

For every $h \in \omega(1)$ one has with high probability

$$\log_2 n + \ln n - h(n) \le R_n \le \log_2 n + \ln n + h(n).$$



Let Q_n denote the number of rounds needed to inform all n vertices of a complete graph in the quasirandom model.

Theorem (Fountoulakis, H., SIDMA 2009)

For every $h \in \omega(1)$ one has with high probability

 $\log_2 n + \ln n - 4 \ln \ln n \le Q_n \le \log_2 n + \ln n + h(n).$





► Messages successfully sent with constant probability q ∈ [0, 1].



- ► Messages successfully sent with constant probability q ∈ [0, 1].
- Independent failures.



- Messages successfully sent with constant probability $q \in [0, 1]$.
- Independent failures.
- No notifications of success or failure.



- Messages successfully sent with constant probability $q \in [0, 1]$.
- Independent failures.
- No notifications of success or failure.
- q = 1: As before, quasirandom is as fast as random.
- What about $q \in]0,1[$?
- Is quasirandom still as fast as random?



Theorem (Doerr, H., Levavi, ISAAC 2009)

The *quasirandom* model with transmission success probability *q* on the complete graph informs all *n* vertices in

$$(1+o(1))(\log_{1+q} n + \frac{1}{q} \ln n)$$

time-steps with high probability.



Core Questions

- Where do dependencies help?
- How much randomness is necessary?



▶ Reducing randomness can be costly [Doerr, Fouz 2011]



- ▶ Reducing randomness can be costly [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Asymptotically Optimal Randomized Rumor Spreading [Doerr, Fouz 2011]



- ▶ Reducing randomness can be costly [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Asymptotically Optimal Randomized Rumor Spreading [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Randomness-Efficient Rumor Spreading [Guo, Sun 2013]



- ▶ Reducing randomness can be costly [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Asymptotically Optimal Randomized Rumor Spreading [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Randomness-Efficient Rumor Spreading [Guo, Sun 2013]

not exhaustive - for more, see e.g.



- ▶ Reducing randomness can be costly [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Asymptotically Optimal Randomized Rumor Spreading [Doerr, Fouz 2011]
- Randomness-Efficient Rumor Spreading [Guo, Sun 2013]

not exhaustive - for more, see e.g.

 Dagstuhl Seminar 13042 "Epidemic Algorithms and Processes"



Summary

 Randomized rumour spreading on sufficiently dense random graphs is as fast and robust as on the complete graph.



Summary

- Randomized rumour spreading on sufficiently dense random graphs is as fast and robust as on the complete graph.
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on the complete graph is as fast and robust as randomized rumour spreading.



Open Questions
► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on random graphs, random regular graphs, expanders, ...



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on random graphs, random regular graphs, expanders, ...
- General result for the robustness of the quasirandom model



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on random graphs, random regular graphs, expanders, ...
- General result for the robustness of the quasirandom model
- Other dependency models than lists generalization of quasirandom rumour spreading



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on random graphs, random regular graphs, expanders, ...
- General result for the robustness of the quasirandom model
- Other dependency models than lists generalization of quasirandom rumour spreading
- "Good" lists specialization of quasirandom rumour spreading



- ► Are log₂ n + ln n − h(n) time-steps necessary to inform the whole complete graph in the quasirandom model w. h. p.?
- ► Are there graphs where the quasirandom model is faster?
- Quasirandom rumour spreading on random graphs, random regular graphs, expanders, ...
- General result for the robustness of the quasirandom model
- Other dependency models than lists generalization of quasirandom rumour spreading
- "Good" lists specialization of quasirandom rumour spreading



Thank you very much for your attention!