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4 Hydrodynamic-type systems
Problems: (i)Consider the projective structures defined by the Painlevé equations, which of them are metrisable (if any)?
(ii) How many first integrals linear in the momenta does a geodesic flow admit?
(iii) Given a HT system, how many hamiltonian formulations (local sense) does it admit?
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## Definition

A projective structure [ [] is the class of torsion-free connections that are projectively equivalent to $\Gamma$.

- Metrisability problem: given a projective structure, does it contain a metric connection?
- The problem is (almost) solved in $n=2$ dimensions:
- The problem is (almost) solved in $n=2$ dimensions: the necessary condition for the existence of a metric involves the vanishing of some invariants of differential order at least 5 in the connection [Bryant-Dunajski-Eastwood].
- For the construction of a metric, the solution to the metrisability equations must satisfy the non-degeneracy condition.
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where $A_{0}=-\Gamma_{11}^{2}, \quad A_{1}=\Gamma_{11}^{1}-2 \Gamma_{12}^{2}, \quad A_{2}=2 \Gamma_{12}^{1}-\Gamma_{22}^{2}, \quad A_{3}=\Gamma_{22}^{1}$.
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- Satisfy the necessary conditions of [Bryant-Dunajski-Eastwood].
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- The Painlevé equations define projective structures.
$(P I) \quad y^{\prime \prime}=6 y^{2}+x, \quad(P I I) \quad y^{\prime \prime}=2 y^{3}+x y+\alpha, \ldots,(P V I)$.
- Satisfy the necessary conditions of [Bryant-Dunajski-Eastwood].
- Still need to check non-degeneracy.
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These first integrals are derivable from Killing vectors. E.g. (PV)

$$
y^{\prime \prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2 y}+\frac{1}{y-1}\right) y^{\prime 2}-\frac{1}{x} y^{\prime}+\frac{(y-1)^{2}}{x^{2}}\left(\alpha y+\frac{\beta}{y}\right)
$$

First integral:

$$
I=\frac{1}{y}\left(\frac{x y^{\prime}}{y-1}\right)^{2}+\frac{2 \beta}{y}-2 \alpha y
$$
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- The integrability conditions for the existence of parallel sections of this connection will lead to a set of invariants of the affine connection $\Gamma$.
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- For special connections $\left(R_{[a b]}=0\right), I_{N}$ and $I_{S}$ become, essentially, Liouville's projective invariants $\nu_{5}$ and $w_{1}$, respectively.
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- Recall: $R_{[a b]}=\partial_{[a} \Gamma_{b] c}^{c}=0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla$ preserves a volume form.
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$$
\Pi_{b c}^{a}=\Gamma_{b c}^{a}-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{b}^{a} \Gamma_{d c}^{d}-\frac{1}{3} \delta_{c}^{a} \Gamma_{d b}^{d} .
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- $\Pi_{b c}^{a}$ are called Thomas symbols. It preserves $\epsilon_{12}=1$.
- Question: what can we say about the unparametrised geodesics if its associated Thomas symbols admit a Killing form?
- The answer is given by the following theorem, which is partially due to [Liouville,1889].
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## Theorem

The ODE $y^{\prime \prime}=A_{0}(x, y)+A_{1}(x, y) y^{\prime}+A_{2}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{3}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ defining a projective structure admits coordinates $(X, Y)$ such that $Y_{X X}=f(X, Y)$ for some function $f$ if and only if $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ for any special connection. Moreover, this is also equivalent to the fact that the connection with Thomas symbols admits a Killing 1-form given by $d X$.

## Proof.

- Understand how Thomas symbols transform under coordinate transformations.


## Theorem

The ODE $y^{\prime \prime}=A_{0}(x, y)+A_{1}(x, y) y^{\prime}+A_{2}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{3}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ defining a projective structure admits coordinates $(X, Y)$ such that $Y_{X X}=f(X, Y)$ for some function $f$ if and only if $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ for any special connection. Moreover, this is also equivalent to the fact that the connection with Thomas symbols admits a Killing 1-form given by $d X$.

## Proof.

- Understand how Thomas symbols transform under coordinate transformations.
- Understand how Killing tensors of Thomas symbols change under coordinate transformation.


## Theorem

The ODE $y^{\prime \prime}=A_{0}(x, y)+A_{1}(x, y) y^{\prime}+A_{2}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{3}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ defining a projective structure admits coordinates $(X, Y)$ such that $Y_{X X}=f(X, Y)$ for some function $f$ if and only if $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ for any special connection. Moreover, this is also equivalent to the fact that the connection with Thomas symbols admits a Killing 1-form given by $d X$.

## Proof.

- Understand how Thomas symbols transform under coordinate transformations.
- Understand how Killing tensors of Thomas symbols change under coordinate transformation.
- Use these facts to show that one can choose coordinates $(X, Y)$ s.t. the Killing form is $d X$.


## Theorem

The ODE $y^{\prime \prime}=A_{0}(x, y)+A_{1}(x, y) y^{\prime}+A_{2}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}+A_{3}(x, y)\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{3}$ defining a projective structure admits coordinates $(X, Y)$ such that $Y_{X X}=f(X, Y)$ for some function $f$ if and only if $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ for any special connection. Moreover, this is also equivalent to the fact that the connection with Thomas symbols admits a Killing 1-form given by $d X$.

## Proof.

- Understand how Thomas symbols transform under coordinate transformations.
- Understand how Killing tensors of Thomas symbols change under coordinate transformation.
- Use these facts to show that one can choose coordinates $(X, Y)$ s.t. the Killing form is $d X$.
- Check that this is equivalent to having $Y_{X X}=f(X, Y)$.
- Claim: Degenerate solutions to the metrisability equations correspond to Killing forms of special connections. Equivalently, $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ or $\nu_{5}=w_{1}=0$.
- Claim: Degenerate solutions to the metrisability equations correspond to Killing forms of special connections. Equivalently, $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ or $\nu_{5}=w_{1}=0$.


## Corollary (Babich,Bordag1999)

The Painlevé equations can be put in the form $y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y)$ under point transformation.

- Claim: Degenerate solutions to the metrisability equations correspond to Killing forms of special connections. Equivalently, $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ or $\nu_{5}=w_{1}=0$.


## Corollary (Babich,Bordag1999)
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Example: (PIII): $y^{\prime \prime}=\alpha e^{x+y}+\beta e^{x-y}+\gamma e^{2(x+y)}+\delta e^{2(x-y)}$

- Claim: Degenerate solutions to the metrisability equations correspond to Killing forms of special connections. Equivalently, $I_{N}=I_{S}=0$ or $\nu_{5}=w_{1}=0$.


## Corollary (Babich,Bordag1999)

The Painlevé equations can be put in the form $y^{\prime \prime}=f(x, y)$ under point transformation.

Example: (PIII): $y^{\prime \prime}=\alpha e^{x+y}+\beta e^{x-y}+\gamma e^{2(x+y)}+\delta e^{2(x-y)}$
Remark: Scalars $I_{N}$ and $I_{S}$, along with [B-D-E], answer the question about degeneracy in metrisability $\Rightarrow$ Metrisability problem itself is completely solved in 2D.

## Hydrodynamic-type (HT) systems

## Definition (HT system (our case))

A system of PDEs is of HT if it has the form

$$
\partial_{t} u^{a}=v^{a}{ }_{b}(u) \partial_{x} u^{b}, \quad a, b=1,2
$$

where $u^{a}=u^{a}(x, t)$ and $v$ is a diagonalisable matrix with distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(u)$ and $\lambda_{2}(u)$.
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where $u^{a}=u^{a}(x, t)$ and $v$ is a diagonalisable matrix with distinct real eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(u)$ and $\lambda_{2}(u)$.

## Theorem (Riemann invariants)

A HT system admits coordinates $R^{i}(u)$ (called Riemann invariants) such that

$$
\partial_{t} R^{i}=\lambda^{i}(u(R)) \partial_{x} R^{i}, \quad i=1,2 \quad \text { (no summation). }
$$

Question: does my HT system admit a Hamiltonian formulation under a Poisson bracket of Dubrovin-Novikov type?

$$
\{F, G\}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta u^{a}}\left(g^{a b}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+b_{c}^{a b}(u) \frac{\partial u^{c}}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\delta G}{\delta u^{b}} d x
$$

Question: does my HT system admit a Hamiltonian formulation under a Poisson bracket of Dubrovin-Novikov type?

$$
\{F, G\}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta F}{\delta u^{a}}\left(g^{a b}(u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+b_{c}^{a b}(u) \frac{\partial u^{c}}{\partial x}\right) \frac{\delta G}{\delta u^{b}} d x
$$

Or

$$
\frac{\partial u^{a}}{\partial t}=\Omega^{a b} \frac{\delta H}{\delta u^{b}}=\underbrace{g^{a b} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{c} \mathcal{H}}_{v^{a} c_{c}} \frac{\partial u^{c}}{\partial x}
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g, H\left[u^{1}, u^{2}\right]=\int \mathcal{H}\left(u^{1}, u^{2}\right) d x$ and

$$
\Omega^{a b}=g^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+b_{c}^{a b} \frac{\partial u^{c}}{\partial x}
$$

Answer [Ferapontov91]: It does iff there exists a flat diagonal metric $k^{-1} d\left(R^{1}\right)^{2}+f^{-1} d\left(R^{2}\right)^{2}$ satisfying the following system of PDEs

$$
\partial_{2} k+2 A k=0, \quad \partial_{1} f+2 B f=0,
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where

$$
A=\frac{\partial_{2} \lambda^{1}}{\lambda^{2}-\lambda^{1}}, \quad B=\frac{\partial_{1} \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{1}-\lambda^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{i}=\partial / \partial R^{i}
$$
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And, by flatness,
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where
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A=\frac{\partial_{2} \lambda^{1}}{\lambda^{2}-\lambda^{1}}, \quad B=\frac{\partial_{1} \lambda^{2}}{\lambda^{1}-\lambda^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{i}=\partial / \partial R^{i}
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And, by flatness,
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\left(\partial_{2} A+A^{2}\right) f+\left(\partial_{1} B+B^{2}\right) k+\frac{1}{2} A \partial_{2} f+\frac{1}{2} B \partial_{1} k=0 .
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- These are the compatibility conditions of the overdetermined system for $\mathcal{H}$

$$
g^{a b} \nabla_{b} \nabla_{c} \mathcal{H}=v^{a}{ }_{c}
$$

Claim: The above overdetermined system of PDEs is equivalent to the Killing equations

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{(a} K_{b)}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^{1}=\partial_{1} \ln A-2 B, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^{2}=\partial_{2} \ln B-2 A, \\
& \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^{1}=-\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{2} \ln A+A\right), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^{2}=-\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} \ln B+B\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $K_{1}=A f, K_{2}=B k$.
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\tilde{\nabla}_{(a} K_{b)}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\Gamma}_{11}^{1}=\partial_{1} \ln A-2 B, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{22}^{2}=\partial_{2} \ln B-2 A, \\
& \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^{1}=-\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{2} \ln A+A\right), \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_{12}^{2}=-\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{1} \ln B+B\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and $K_{1}=A f, K_{2}=B k$.

Recall: $A$ and $B$ are determined by your HT system.

- Killing forms of the above connection are in 1-1 correspondence with Hamiltonians of the HT system.
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## Theorem

A HT system admits 1, 2 or 3 Hamiltonian formulations iff its associated connection defined above admits 1, 2 or 3 independent linear first integrals respectively.

- This connection defines the following projective structure

$$
Y^{\prime \prime}=\left(\partial_{X} \ln (A B)\right) Y^{\prime}-\left(\partial_{Y} \ln (A B)\right)\left(Y^{\prime}\right)^{2}
$$

## Theorem

This projective structure is metrisable by the Lorentzian metric

$$
A B d\left(R^{1}\right) d\left(R^{2}\right)
$$

## Corollary

A HT sytem is trihamiltonian iff its associated connection has symmetric Ricci tensor and $(A B)^{-1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \ln (A B)=$ const (metric of constant curvature).
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A HT sytem is trihamiltonian iff its associated connection has symmetric Ricci tensor and $(A B)^{-1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2} \ln (A B)=$ const (metric of constant curvature).

- A two-dimensional Frobenius manifold ( $M, \eta, e, E, \circ$ ) ( $\eta=$ flat metric, $e=$ identity, $E=$ Euler vector field) with flat coordinates $\left(t^{1}, t^{2}\right)$ defines a HT system

$$
\frac{\partial t^{i}}{\partial t}=e^{j} c_{j l}^{i} \frac{\partial t^{\prime}}{\partial x}
$$

where $\partial_{i} \circ \partial_{j}=c_{i j}^{k} \partial_{k}$ and $e \circ \partial_{j}=\partial_{j}$.

## Theorem

HT systems arising from Frobenius manifolds are trihamiltonian.

- The flat metrics determining the Poisson brackets are $\eta^{i j}$ (metric), $h^{i j}=E^{k} \eta^{i k} c_{k l}^{j}$ (intersection form) and $h^{i k} h^{j l} \eta_{k l}$ (whatever).
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Thank you!

