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Integrable systems - a playground of algebraic geometry. A nice example: QRT maps introduced in

- G.R.W. Quispel, J.A.G. Roberts, C.J. Thompson. Integrable mappings and soliton equations II, Physica D 34 (1989) 183-192,
prompted the treatise
- J.J. Duistermaat. Discrete Integrable Systems. QRT Maps and Elliptic Surfaces, Springer, 2010, xii+627 pp.

A goal and the hope of the present study - to produce a rich supply of examples attracting the attention of the algebraic geometers.

## Kahan's discretization scheme

Invented in:

- W. Kahan. Unconventional numerical methods for trajectory calculations (Unpublished lecture notes, 1993).

He wrote:
"I have used these methods for 24 years without quite understanding why they work so well as they do, when they work."

## Bilinear discretization of quadratic vector fields

Take an arbitrary system with a quadratic vector field:

$$
\dot{x}=f(x)=Q(x)+B x+c
$$

where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, c \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, each component of $Q: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ a quadratic form. Discretization:

$$
\frac{\widetilde{x}-x}{\epsilon}=Q(x, \widetilde{x})+\frac{1}{2} B(x+\widetilde{x})+c
$$

with $Q(x, \widetilde{x})$ corresponding symmetric bilinear function:

$$
Q(x, \widetilde{x})=\frac{1}{2}(Q(x+\widetilde{x})-Q(x)-Q(\widetilde{x}))
$$

Equations for $\widetilde{x}$ always linear, $\operatorname{map} \widetilde{x}=\Phi_{f}(x, \epsilon)$ rational and reversible:

$$
\Phi_{f}^{-1}(x, \epsilon)=\Phi_{f}(x,-\epsilon)
$$

thus birational.

## Example: Lotka-Volterra system

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \dot { x } = x ( 1 - y ) , } \\
{ \dot { y } = y ( x - 1 ) }
\end{array} \rightsquigarrow \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{x}-x=\epsilon(x+\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{x} y-x \widetilde{y}) \widetilde{( }) \\
\widetilde{y}-y=-\epsilon(y+\widetilde{y}-\widetilde{x} y-x \widetilde{y})
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$




Left: one orbit of explicit Euler with $\epsilon=0.01$; right: three orbits of Kahan's discretization with $\epsilon=0.01$.
Non-spiralling behavior explained by invariance of Poisson structure:

- J.M. Sanz-Serna. An unconventional symplectic integrator of W. Kahan, Appl. Numer. Math. 16 (1994), 245-250.


## Hirota-Kimura's discretization scheme

- R.Hirota, K.Kimura. Discretization of the Euler top. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 69 (2000) 627-630,
- K.Kimura, R.Hirota. Discretization of the Lagrange top. J. Phys. Soc. Japan 69 (2000) 3193-3199.

Apparently unaware of Kahan's work, applied this method to two famous integrable systems.

Resulting maps integrable (possess 2, resp. 4, independent integrals of motion; solutions in terms of elliptic functions).

## Example: Hirota-Kimura's discrete time Euler top

$$
\left\{\begin{array} { l } 
{ \dot { x } _ { 1 } = \alpha _ { 1 } x _ { 2 } x _ { 3 } , } \\
{ \dot { x } _ { 2 } = \alpha _ { 2 } x _ { 3 } x _ { 1 } , } \\
{ \dot { x } _ { 3 } = \alpha _ { 3 } x _ { 1 } x _ { 2 } , }
\end{array} \rightsquigarrow \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{x}_{1}-x_{1}=\epsilon \alpha_{1}\left(\widetilde{x}_{2} x_{3}+x_{2} \widetilde{x}_{3}\right), \\
\widetilde{x}_{2}-x_{2}=\epsilon \alpha_{2}\left(\widetilde{x}_{3} x_{1}+x_{3} \widetilde{x}_{1}\right), \\
\widetilde{x}_{3}-x_{3}=\epsilon \alpha_{3}\left(\widetilde{x}_{1} x_{2}+x_{1} \widetilde{x}_{2}\right) .
\end{array}\right.\right.
$$

Features:

- Equations are linear w.r.t. $\widetilde{x}=\left(\widetilde{x}_{1}, \widetilde{x}_{2}, \widetilde{x}_{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ :

$$
A(x, \epsilon) \widetilde{x}=x, \quad A(x, \epsilon)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & -\epsilon \alpha_{1} x_{3} & -\epsilon \alpha_{1} x_{2} \\
-\epsilon \alpha_{2} x_{3} & 1 & -\epsilon \alpha_{2} x_{1} \\
-\epsilon \alpha_{3} x_{2} & -\epsilon \alpha_{3} x_{1} & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

result in explicit rational map:

$$
\widetilde{x}=\Phi_{f}(x, \epsilon)=A^{-1}(x, \epsilon) x
$$

- Explicit formulas rather messy:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\widetilde{x}_{1}=\frac{x_{1}+2 \epsilon \alpha_{1} x_{2} x_{3}+\epsilon^{2} x_{1}\left(-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)}{\Delta(x, \epsilon)}, \\
\widetilde{x}_{2}=\frac{x_{2}+2 \epsilon \alpha_{2} x_{3} x_{1}+\epsilon^{2} x_{2}\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1}^{2}-\alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)}{\Delta(x, \epsilon)}, \\
\widetilde{x}_{3}=\frac{x_{3}+2 \epsilon \alpha_{3} x_{1} x_{2}+\epsilon^{2} x_{3}\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}-\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)}{\Delta(x, \epsilon)},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta(x, \epsilon)=\operatorname{det} A(x, \epsilon) \\
& \quad=1-\epsilon^{2}\left(\alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}^{2}\right)-2 \epsilon^{3} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Reversibility:

$$
\Phi_{f}^{-1}(x, \epsilon)=\Phi_{f}(x,-\epsilon) .
$$

(Try to see reversibility directly from explicit formulas!)

- Two independent integrals:

$$
I_{1}(x, \epsilon)=\frac{1-\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} x_{1}^{2}}{1-\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}}, \quad I_{2}(x, \epsilon)=\frac{1-\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{3} \alpha_{1} x_{2}^{2}}{1-\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} x_{3}^{2}} .
$$

- Invariant volume form:

$$
\omega=\frac{d x_{1} \wedge d x_{2} \wedge d x_{3}}{\phi(x)}, \quad \phi(x)=\left(1-\epsilon^{2} \alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} x_{k}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

and bi-Hamiltonian structure found in:

- M. Petrera, Yu. Suris. On the Hamiltonian structure of the Hirota-Kimura discretization of the Euler top. Math. Nachr. 283 (2010) 1654-1663.


## The unreasonable integrability of KHK

- M. Petrera, A. Pfadler, Yu.B. Suris. On integrability of Hirota-Kimura type discretizations. Experimental study of the discrete Clebsch system. Experimental Math. 18 (2009), 223-247,
- M. Petrera, A. Pfadler, Yu.B. Suris On integrability of Hirota-Kimura type discretizations. Regular Chaotic Dyn. 16 (2011), 245-289.
Integrability of KHK discretization for an amazingly long list of examples, including:
- Reduced Nahm equations $(n=2)$
- Periodic Volterra chain $(n=3,4)$
- Dressing chain $(n=3)$
- Three wave system $(n=6)$
- Kirchhoff and Clebsch cases of rigid body in an ideal fluid ( $n=6$ )
- $S O(4)$ Euler top $(n=6)$


## KHK applied to canonical Hamiltonian systems

- E. Celledoni, R.I. McLachlan, B. Owren, G.R.W. Quispel. Geometric properties of Kahan's method, J. Phys. A, 46 (2013), 025201, 12 pp.

Let $f(x)=J \nabla H(x)$, where $J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I \\ -I & 0\end{array}\right), H: \mathbb{R}^{2 n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a cubic polynomial. Then:

- map $\Phi_{f}(x, \epsilon): x \mapsto \widetilde{x}$ admits a rational integral of motion

$$
\widetilde{H}(x, \epsilon)=\frac{1}{6 \epsilon} x^{\mathrm{T}} J^{-1} \widetilde{x}=H(x)+O\left(\epsilon^{2}\right)
$$

- $\operatorname{map} \Phi_{f}(x, \epsilon): x \mapsto \widetilde{x}$ admits an invariant volume form:

$$
\frac{d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}}{\operatorname{det}\left(I-\epsilon f^{\prime}(x)\right)}
$$

These results are not related to integrability!

## Missing before the present work

- Conceptual (structure-clarifying) proof of integrability in any of numerous examples;
- Invariant symplectic or Poisson structure in any example in $\operatorname{dim} \geq 4$.

Both achieved here:

- M. Petrera, Yu.B. Suris. A construction of a large family of commuting pairs of integrable symplectic birational 4-dimensional maps. arXiv:1606.08238 [nlin.SI]
- M. Petrera, Yu.B. Suris. A construction of commuting systems of integrable symplectic birational maps. arXiv:1607.07085 [nlin.SI]


## Main features of the novel construction

A family of completely integrable systems $\dot{x}=J \nabla H_{0}(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ with $m$ cubic integrals ( $H_{0}, \ldots, H_{m-1}$ ) in involution, for which KHK discretization has following properties:

- The map $\Phi_{J \nabla H_{0}}$ is symplectic w.r.t. a perturbation of the canonical symplectic structure on $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$;
- The map $\Phi_{J \nabla H_{0}}$ has $m$ rational integrals $\widetilde{H}_{0}(x, \epsilon), \ldots$, $\widetilde{H}_{m-1}(x, \epsilon)$ in involution;
- The maps $\Phi_{J \nabla H_{i}}$ do not commute; however, there exist $2^{m-1}$ linear combinations $J \nabla K=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i} J \nabla H_{i}$ such that $\Phi_{J \nabla H_{0}}$ commutes with $\Phi_{J \nabla K}$.


## Construction of functions in involution

Observation. Let $A$ be a constant $2 m \times 2 m$ matrix, and suppose that functions $H_{0}(x), H_{1}(x)$ satisfy

$$
\nabla H_{1}=A \nabla H_{0}
$$

If the matrix $A$ is skew-Hamiltonian,

$$
J A=A^{\mathrm{T}} J=-(J A)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

then $H_{0}, H_{1}$ are in involution.

## Proof.

$$
\left\{H_{0}, H_{1}\right\}=\left(\nabla H_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} J \nabla H_{1}=\left(\nabla H_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} J A \nabla H_{0} .
$$

## Applicability of construction

Differential equations $\nabla H_{1}=A \nabla H_{0}$ for $H_{1}$ are solvable if and only if $H_{0}$ satisfies

$$
A\left(\nabla^{2} H_{0}\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} H_{0}\right) A^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

(where $\nabla^{2} H_{0}$ is the Hesse matrix of $H_{0}$ ). Then $H_{1}(x)$ satisfies the same conditions:

$$
A\left(\nabla^{2} H_{1}\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} H_{1}\right) A^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

Proposition. The linear space of homogeneous polynomials $H_{0}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 m}\right)$ of deg $=3$ satisfying this system of 2nd order PDEs, has dimension $4 m$.

## Construction of completely integrable systems

Take a non-degenerate skew-Hamiltonian matrix $A$ and a generic cubic polynomial $H_{0}(x)$ satisfying

$$
A\left(\nabla^{2} H_{0}\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} H_{0}\right) A^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

Define $H_{i}(i=1, \ldots, m-1)$ by

$$
\nabla H_{i}=A \nabla H_{i-1}
$$

Then $\left(H_{0}, \ldots, H_{m-1}\right)$ is a completely integrable Hamiltonian system.

## Characteristic properties

Vector fields $f_{i}(x)=J \nabla H_{i}(x)$ satisfy:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(f_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} J+J f_{i}^{\prime}(x)=0 \quad \text { (Hamiltonian) } \\
f_{i}^{\prime}(x) f_{j}(x)=f_{j}^{\prime}(x) f_{i}(x) \quad \text { (commute) } \\
f_{i}^{\prime}(x) f_{j}^{\prime}(x)=f_{j}^{\prime}(x) f_{i}^{\prime}(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
A^{\mathrm{T}} f_{i}^{\prime}(x)=f_{i}^{\prime}(x) A^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

## Associated vector fields

Definition. Let the skew-Hamiltonian matrix

$$
B=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i} A^{i}
$$

satisfy

$$
B^{2}=I
$$

Then the vector field

$$
g(x)=J B \nabla H_{0}(x)=B^{\mathrm{T}} J \nabla H_{0}(x)=B^{\mathrm{T}} f_{0}(x)
$$

is called associated to $f_{0}(x)$. Vector field $g(x)$ is Hamiltonian:

$$
g(x)=J \nabla K(x)
$$

with the Hamilton function

$$
K(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_{i} H_{i}(x)
$$

## Associated vector fields

This defines an equivalence relation on the set of vector fields $J \nabla H(x)$ with Hamilton functions $H(x)$ satisfying

$$
A\left(\nabla^{2} H\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} H\right) A^{\mathrm{T}} .
$$

Lemma. If vector field $g(x)$ is associated to $f_{0}(x)$ via the matrix $B$, then the following identities hold true:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g^{\prime}(x) g(x) & =f_{0}^{\prime}(x) f_{0}(x), \\
\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} & =\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a corollary,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I-\epsilon g^{\prime}(x)\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-\epsilon f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)
$$

(this is the common denominator of $\Phi_{g}(x)$, resp. of $\Phi_{f_{0}}(x)$ ).

## Construction of associated vector fields

A generic $2 m \times 2 m$ skew-Hamiltonian matrix $A$ has $m$ distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{m}$ of algebraic multiplicity 2 :

$$
\operatorname{det}(A-\lambda I)=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)^{2} \cdots\left(\lambda-\lambda_{m}\right)^{2},
$$

and of geometric multiplicity 2 (i.e., is diagonalizable). The latter follows from existence of a symplectic similarity transformation

$$
S A S^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
W & 0 \\
0 & W^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{\mathrm{T}} J S=J
$$

## Construction of associated vector fields

For any $P \subset\{1, \ldots, m\}$, define polynomial $B_{P}(\lambda)$ by

$$
B_{P}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}1, & i \in P \\ -1, & i \notin P\end{cases}
$$

Then $B_{P}=B_{P}(A)$ is skew-Hamiltonian and satisfies $B_{P}^{2}=I$.
This defines $2^{m}$ (or, better, $2^{m-1}$, if considered up to sign) associated vector fields for any $f_{0}(x)$ from our class.

## Main results. I

Theorem 1. Let $f_{0}$ and $g$ be two associated vector fields, via the skew-Hamiltonian matrix $B$. Then the KHK maps $\Phi_{f_{0}}$ and $\Phi_{g}$ commute:

$$
\Phi_{f_{0}} \circ \Phi_{g}=\Phi_{g} \circ \Phi_{f_{0}}
$$

Theorem 2. Let $f_{0}$ and $g$ be two associated vector fields, via the skew-Hamiltonian matrix $B$. Then the maps $\Phi_{f_{0}}: x \mapsto \widetilde{x}$ and $\Phi_{g}: x \mapsto \widehat{x}$ share two functionally independent conserved quantities

$$
\widetilde{H}_{0}(x, \epsilon)=\frac{1}{6 \epsilon} x^{\mathrm{T}} J^{-1} \widetilde{x}=\frac{1}{6 \epsilon} x^{\mathrm{T}} J^{-1}\left(I-\epsilon f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{-1} x
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{K}(x, \epsilon)=\frac{1}{6 \epsilon} x^{\mathrm{T}} J^{-1} \widehat{x}=\frac{1}{6 \epsilon} x^{\mathrm{T}} J^{-1}\left(I-\epsilon g^{\prime}(x)\right)^{-1} x
$$

## Main results. II

## Theorem 3.

The rational functions $\widetilde{H}_{0}(x, \epsilon), \widetilde{K}(x, \epsilon)$ are related by the same differential equation as the cubic polynomials $H_{0}(x), K(x)$ :

$$
\nabla \widetilde{K}(x, \epsilon)=B \nabla \widetilde{H}_{0}(x, \epsilon)
$$

As a consequence, they satisfy the same 2nd order differential equations

$$
A\left(\nabla^{2} H\right)=\left(\nabla^{2} H\right) A^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

as the polynomials $H_{0}(x), K(x)$.

## Main results. III

Theorem 4. The map $\Phi_{f_{0}}$ is Poisson (symplectic) with respect to the brackets with the Poisson tensor $\Pi(x)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi(x) & =J-\epsilon^{2}\left(f_{0}^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2} J \\
& =\left(1-\epsilon^{2} q_{0}(x)\right) J-\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \epsilon^{2} q_{i}(x)\left(A^{T}\right)^{i} J .
\end{aligned}
$$

If vector field $g(x)$ is associated to $f_{0}(x)$ then $\Phi_{g}$ is Poisson with respect to the same bracket.

## Open problems

- Are our systems, both continuous and discrete time, algebraically completely integrable? In other words, are their invariant manifolds (affine parts of) Abelian varieties? Recall: in the continuous time case, they are intersections of $m$ cubic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$.
- Is anything similar possible for Hamiltonian systems with non-constant Poisson tensors?

