## Resilience of Trans-National Vaccine Supply Networks

Guven Demirel on behalf of VKEMS https://www.vkemsuk.org

Problem description for ESGI 165 University of Durham

We've all seen the news headlines



## The question

- 1. What are the mathematical principles that underlie the equitable resilient supply of COVID vaccines to the UK and to other nations?
- 2. What are the structural and the dynamical characteristics of the trans-national vaccine supply network and how does it affect its resilience?
- 3. What is the best strategy to ensure that all nations become COVID safe?

## Academic background

Contemporary organisations rely on international deep and wide supply networks for the procurement of most services, materials, parts, and systems. Although extensive outsourcing generally drives costs down, enables firms to focus on their core competencies, improves flexibility, and promotes innovation (Maskell et al., 2007; Kroes and Ghosh, 2010), it renders them susceptible to disruptions in their supply networks, which might originate deep in the network at a tier where they have very limited visibility (Sodhi et al., 2012; Bode and Wagner, 2015; Snyder et al., 2016). Various high-profile localised incidents, such as a fire at a supplier factory (Chopra and Sodhi, 2004) or continued supplier quality and product development problems (Tang et al., 2009), as well as catastrophic events, such as port strikes (Chopra and Sodhi, 2004) and earthquakes (Park et al., 2013), have disrupted international supply networks in the past decades. Therefore, the initial reaction of the supply chain scholars to the Covid-19 pandemic was to focus on the disruptions to global supply (Haren and Simchi-Levi, 2020; Linton and Vakil, 2020), for instance due to shortages in labour (Nagurney, 2021), temporary shutdown of factories (Haren and Simchi-Levi, 2020; Linton and Vakil, 2020), delays in logistics and protectionist policies of nation states (Xu et al., 2020; Handfield et al., 2020). However, supply networks have been stretched not only by the supply disruptions during the pandemic, but also by the unanticipated dynamics of demand, resulting from changing consumption patterns and behaviours, such as panic buying, product substitution, shifting from commercial to domestic consumption, and the decreased mobility of people (Paul and Chowdhury, 2020; Sheffi, 2020; Xu et al., 2020). Therefore, it is crucial for supply networks to be resilient to shocks from both the demand and the supply sides, so that they are least affected immediately and return to a desirable state in the shortest time possible (Linton and Vakil, 2020; Remko, 2020; Xu et al., 2020; Belhadi et al., 2021; Singh et al., 2021). It has been even argued that supply network viability / survivability, i.e. the ability of networks of individual supply chains to continue functioning in the face of severe shocks, has become a concern amidst the pandemic and the global recession (Ivanov and Dolgui, 2020; Sodhi and Tang, 2021).

The healthy operation of Covid-19 vaccine supply networks is crucial for global economic recovery as well as public health. However, the management of vaccine supply chains is compelling since they are characterised by highly uncertain supply and demand as well as the involvement of multiple stakeholders such as public health authorities and central governments (Duijzer et al., 2018). While different countries prioritise their own nations in the vaccination race, they might still rely on the vaccines developed and produced in other countries or raw materials procured internationally (Golan et al., 2020), which has for instance created tensions between the EU and the UK (Wikipedia contributors, 2021). Moreover, the decisions and the attitudes of political actors as well as health authorities affect the behaviour of individuals, changing the adoption rate of the vaccine as well as the spreading rate of the disease (Haug et al., 2020; Bavel et al., 2020). Although there is a growing interest in the study of vaccine supply networks, for instance in the optimal design and the re-design of supply networks in developing countries (Lemmens et al., 2016; Lim et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2021), there is limited research on the resilience of global vaccine supply networks (Golan et al., 2020). In this data study group problem, we would like to model and investigate the resilience of Covid-19 vaccine supply networks in a way that would embed them within the broader social, economic, and logistic networks, as outlined above.

## References

- Bavel, J. J. V., Baicker, K., and Boggio, P. e. a. (2020). Using social and behavioural science to support covid-19 pandemic response. *Nat Hum Behav*, 4:460–471.
- Belhadi, A., Kamble, S., Jabbour, C. J. C., Gunasekaran, A., Ndubisi, N. O., and Venkatesh, M. (2021). Manufacturing and service supply chain resilience to the covid-19 outbreak: Lessons learned from the automobile and airline industries. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163:120447.
- Bode, C. and Wagner, S. M. (2015). Structural drivers of upstream supply chain complexity and the frequency of supply chain disruptions. *Journal of Operations Management*, 36:215–228.
- Chopra, S. and Sodhi, M. M. S. (2004). Managing risk to avoid: Supply-chain breakdown. MIT Sloan Management Review, 46:53–61.
- Duijzer, L. E., van Jaarsveld, W., and Dekker, R. (2018). Literature review: The vaccine supply chain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 268(1):174–192.
- Golan, M. S., Trump, B. D., Cegan, J. C., and Linkov, I. (2020). The vaccine supply chain: A call for resilience analytics to support covid-19 vaccine production and distribution.
- Handfield, R. B., Graham, G., and Burns, L. (2020). Corona virus, tariffs, trade wars and supply chain evolutionary design. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 40(10):1649–1660.

- Haren, P. and Simchi-Levi, D. (2020). How coronavirus could impact the global supply chain by mid-march. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Haug, N., Geyrhofer, L., Londei, A., Dervic, E., Desvars-Larrive, A., Loreto, V., Pinior, B., Thurner, S., and Klimek, P. (2020). Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide covid-19 government interventions. *Nat Hum Behav*, 4:1303–1312.
- Ivanov, D. and Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks: extending the supply chain resilience angles towards survivability. a position paper motivated by covid-19 outbreak. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(10):2904–2915.
- Kroes, J. R. and Ghosh, S. (2010). Outsourcing congruence with competitive priorities: Impact on supply chain and firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(2):124–143.
- Lemmens, S., Decouttere, C., Vandaele, N., and Bernuzzi, M. (2016). A review of integrated supply chain network design models: Key issues for vaccine supply chains. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 109:366–384.
- Lim, J., Norman, B. A., and Rajgopal, J. (2019). Redesign of vaccine distribution networks. International Transactions in Operational Research.
- Linton, T. and Vakil, B. (2020). Coronavirus is proving we need more resilient supply chains. Harvard Business Review.
- Maskell, P., Pedersen, T., Petersen, B., and Dick-Nielsen, J. (2007). Learning paths to offshore outsourcing: From cost reduction to knowledge seeking. *Industry and Innovation*, 14(3):239–257.
- Nagurney, A. (2021). Supply chain game theory network modeling under labor constraints: Applications to the covid-19 pandemic. *European Journal of Operational Research*.
- Park, Y.-W., Hong, P., and Roh, J. J. (2013). Supply chain lessons from the catastrophic natural disaster in japan. Business Horizons, 56(1):75–85.
- Paul, S. and Chowdhury, P. (2020). Strategies for managing the impacts of disruptions during covid-19: an example of toilet paper. *Glob J Flex Syst Manag*, 21:283–293.
- Remko, v. (2020). Research opportunities for a more resilient post-covid-19 supply chain closing the gap between research findings and industry practice. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 40(4):341–355.

- Sheffi, Y. (2020). Who gets what when supply chains are disrupted? *MIT Sloan Management Review*.
- Singh, S., Kumar, R., Panchal, R., and Tiwari, M. K. (2021). Impact of covid-19 on logistics systems and disruptions in food supply chain. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(7):1993–2008.
- Snyder, L. V., Atan, Z., Peng, P., Rong, Y., Schmitt, A. J., and Sinsoysal, B. (2016). Or/ms models for supply chain disruptions: a review. *IIE Transactions*, 48(2):89–109.
- Sodhi, M. M. S., Son, B.-G., and Tang, C. S. (2012). Researchers' perspectives on supply chain risk management. *Production and Operations Management*, 21(1):1–13.
- Sodhi, M. M. S. and Tang, C. S. (2021). Supply chain management for extreme conditions: Research opportunities. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 57(1):7–16.
- Tang, C. S., Zimmerman, J. D., and Nelson, J. I. (2009). Managing new product development and supply chain risks: The boeing 787 case. Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal, 10(2):74–86.
- Wikipedia contributors (2021). European commission–astrazeneca covid-19 vaccine dispute Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. [Online; accessed 9-April-2021].
- Xu, Z., Elomri, A., Kerbache, L., and El Omri, A. (2020). Impacts of covid-19 on global supply chains: Facts and perspectives. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 48(3):153–166.
- Yang, Y., Bidkhori, H., and Rajgopal, J. (2021). Optimizing vaccine distribution networks in low and middle-income countries. Omega, 99:102197.

VKEMS is a collaboration between the ICMS, Isaac Newton Institute (through its Gateway to Mathematics) and the Knowledge Transfer Network.



This problem description is based on the outcome of discussions with a range of industry and government bodies in a previous problem-framing "data study group".