

Bayesian structural learning and estimation in
Gaussian graphical models and hierarchical
log-linear models

Adrian Dobra
Department of Statistics
University of Washington
adobra@u.washington.edu

July 8, 2008

Table 1: The models with the highest posterior probabilities identified by MOSS for the Rochdale data (Whittaker, 1990). We report the models whose normalized posterior probabilities are greater than 0.05. We also give the 2.5%, 50% and 97.5% quantiles of the number of models visited by MOSS before completion across the five search replicates.

<i>Search</i>	<i>Top models</i>	<i>Models evaluated</i>
Dec.	$efg beg bdh bdg adg acg$	0.436 1123 5608 6240
	$efg ceg bdh adg acg$	0.369
	$efg ceg beg bdh bdg acg$	0.069
	$efg bh beg bdg adg acg$	0.068
	$efg ceg bh bd adg acg$	0.058
	$efg beg bdh bdg adg acg$	med.
Graph./PM	$fg ef be bdh bdg adg acg ace$	0.462 240 369 608
	$fg ef bh be bd adg acg ace$	0.337
	$fg ef bh be bdg adg acg ace$	0.072
	$fg ef ce be bdh bdg adg acg$	0.067
	$fg ef ce bh be bd adg acg$	0.061
	$fg ef be bdh bdg adg acg ace$	med.
Graph./Lapl	$fg ef be bdh adg acg ace$	0.507 29 515 926
	$fg ef ce be bdh adg acg$	0.184
	$efg ceg be bdh adg acg$	0.112
	$fh fg ef be bdh adg acg ace$	0.087
	$fg ef bg be bdh ad acg ace$	0.056
	$fg ef be bdh bdg adg acg ace$	0.055
	$fg ef be bdh adg acg ace$	med.
Hierar.	$fg ef dg cg cf ce be bdh ag ae ad ac$	0.076 1391 1417 1617
	$fg ef dg cg ce be bdh ag ae ad ac$	0.069
	$fg ef dg cf ce be bdh ae ad acg$	0.057
	$fg ef dg ce be bdh ae ad acg$	0.052
	$fg ef dg cg cf ce be bdh ag ae ad ac$	med.

Table 2: Results for the eight simulated models of Yuan and Lin (2007). We simulate 25 samples of dimension $p = 5$ and $p = 10$ from eight different models: AR(1), AR(2), AR(3), AR(4), a full graph, a star graph with every vertex connected to the first vertex and a circle graph. For each model, sample size and search type, we estimate the precision matrix K using the highest posterior probability graph (B), the median graph (M) and Bayesian model averaging(A). We employed the posterior mean estimator and assessed its performance using the average Kullback-Leibler (KL) loss across the replicates. We also report the number of incorrectly identified edges (false positives, FP) and the number of incorrectly missed edges (false negatives, FN) with respect to the median graph. In addition, we give the number of graphs in \mathcal{S} ($|\mathcal{S}|$) and the number of graphs whose marginal likelihood was evaluated until the completion of MOSS (E).The standard errors across the 100 replicates are shown in parantheses.

p	Model	Decomposable						Unrestricted							
		B	M	A	FP	FN	$ \mathcal{S} $	E	B	M	A	FP	FN	$ \mathcal{S} $	E
5	1	0.16 (0.02)	0.16 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.33 (0.06)	0 (0)	10 (1)	236 (7)	0.16 (0.02)	0.16 (0.01)	0.14 (0.01)	0.33 (0.06)	0 (0)	10 (1)	255 (17)
	2	0.29 (0.02)	0.29 (0.02)	0.28 (0.02)	0.25 (0.06)	0.03 (0.02)	6 (0)	107 (3)	0.29 (0.02)	0.29 (0.02)	0.29 (0.02)	0.25 (0.05)	0.03 (0.02)	9 (0)	212 (8)
	3	0.54 (0.02)	0.54 (0.02)	0.54 (0.02)	0.1 (0.03)	2.22 (0.13)	12 (1)	174 (9)	0.54 (0.02)	0.54 (0.02)	0.54 (0.02)	0.16 (0.04)	2.28 (0.13)	14 (1)	298 (15)
	4	0.55 (0.02)	0.55 (0.02)	0.53 (0.02)	0.15 (0.04)	5.69 (0.12)	17 (1)	263 (16)	0.55 (0.02)	0.54 (0.02)	0.53 (0.02)	0.21 (0.04)	5.57 (0.11)	19 (1)	409 (22)
	5	0.58 (0.02)	0.59 (0.02)	0.57 (0.02)	0 (0)	7.17 (0.11)	16 (1)	268 (14)	0.58 (0.02)	0.58 (0.02)	0.57 (0.02)	0 (0)	7.07 (0.12)	17 (1)	368 (18)
	6	0.84 (0.04)	0.87 (0.03)	0.83 (0.03)	0 (0)	4.58 (0.31)	17 (1)	274 (22)	0.84 (0.04)	0.87 (0.03)	0.83 (0.03)	0 (0)	4.53 (0.31)	18 (1)	348 (26)
	7	0.35 (0.01)	0.35 (0.01)	0.32 (0.01)	0.21 (0.05)	2.92 (0.09)	16 (1)	306 (14)	0.35 (0.01)	0.35 (0.01)	0.32 (0.01)	0.21 (0.05)	2.9 (0.09)	16 (1)	389 (17)
	8	0.48 (0.02)	0.48 (0.03)	0.47 (0.02)	1.56 (0.08)	0.12 (0.03)	9 (0)	128 (4)	0.37 (0.02)	0.37 (0.02)	0.36 (0.02)	0.32 (0.06)	0.04 (0.02)	8 (0)	191 (8)
10	1	0.16 (0.01)	0.16 (0.01)	0.13 (0.01)	1.04 (0.11)	0 (0)	45 (4)	5284 (253)	0.16 (0.01)	0.16 (0.01)	0.13 (0.01)	1.04 (0.11)	0 (0)	45 (4)	4158 (280)
	2	0.27 (0.01)	0.28 (0.01)	0.26 (0.01)	0.79 (0.12)	0 (0)	24 (2)	2076 (63)	0.3 (0.01)	0.29 (0.01)	0.27 (0.01)	0.79 (0.11)	0 (0)	72 (5)	6464 (354)
	3	0.49 (0.02)	0.5 (0.02)	0.51 (0.02)	0.46 (0.08)	1.28 (0.1)	20 (2)	1763 (56)	0.55 (0.02)	0.56 (0.02)	0.55 (0.02)	0.97 (0.11)	1.58 (0.12)	52 (5)	4541 (360)
	4	0.86 (0.02)	0.88 (0.02)	0.84 (0.02)	0.47 (0.07)	12.91 (0.27)	77 (10)	4237 (345)	0.86 (0.02)	0.86 (0.02)	0.84 (0.02)	0.85 (0.11)	12.88 (0.22)	188 (19)	13047 (1027)
	5	0.77 (0.02)	0.78 (0.02)	0.76 (0.02)	0.17 (0.04)	20.59 (0.21)	72 (6)	4357 (241)	0.77 (0.02)	0.76 (0.02)	0.76 (0.02)	0.35 (0.06)	20.1 (0.2)	145 (14)	10342 (752)
	6	1.21 (0.05)	1.21 (0.05)	1.18 (0.04)	0 (0)	18.04 (1.99)	173 (26)	10302 (1402)	1.71 (0.01)	1.7 (0.01)	1.64 (0.01)	0 (0)	39.9 (0.2)	399 (30)	24572 (1543)
	7	0.53 (0.02)	0.53 (0.02)	0.52 (0.02)	1.08 (0.1)	4.23 (0.15)	154 (17)	10517 (761)	0.53 (0.02)	0.53 (0.02)	0.52 (0.02)	1.14 (0.1)	4.23 (0.15)	167 (18)	11822 (957)
	8	0.52 (0.02)	0.48 (0.02)	0.48 (0.01)	5.75 (0.24)	0 (0)	531 (47)	16056 (1063)	0.41 (0.02)	0.39 (0.02)	0.37 (0.02)	2.07 (0.2)	0 (0)	420 (47)	28062 (2422)

Table 3: Growth data example: posterior inclusion probabilities ($p(i)$), regression coefficients estimates ($\hat{\beta}_i$) and the coefficient of determination (R^2) by search type. Standard deviations of the estimates are given in parantheses.

Variable	Regression		Decomposable-GGMs		GGMs	
	$p(i)$	$\hat{\beta}_i$	$p(i)$	$\hat{\beta}_i$	$p(i)$	$\hat{\beta}_i$
Life	1	7e-04 (2e-04)	1	7e-04 (2e-04)	1	7e-04 (1e-04)
GDPsh560	1	-0.0135 (0.0021)	1	-0.0177 (0.0021)	1	-0.018 (0.002)
EquipInv	1	0.1161 (0.0365)	1	0.2022 (0.0366)	1	0.1969 (0.0367)
SubSahara	1	-0.0188 (0.0044)	1	-0.0217 (0.0033)	1	-0.0195 (0.0031)
Confucious	1	0.0644 (0.0112)	1	0.0348 (0.0095)	1	0.0351 (0.0094)
RuleofLaw	1	0.0112 (0.0038)	1	0.0159 (0.0037)	1	0.0164 (0.0038)
Mining	0.981	0.0356 (0.0122)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
Protestants	0.968	-0.0127 (0.0047)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
Hindu	0.943	-0.0572 (0.022)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
EcoOrg	0.936	0.002 (9e-04)	1	8e-04 (7e-04)	0.587	0.0013 (5e-04)
NEquipInv	0.91	0.0425 (0.0212)	0	0 (0)	0.002	0 (0)
LabForce	0.898	0.1856 (0.0878)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
BIMktPm	0.894	-0.007 (0.0035)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
HighEnroll	0.873	-0.0748 (0.0381)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
LatAmerica	0.74	-0.0063 (0.0049)	1	-0.0069 (0.0022)	1	-0.0062 (0.002)
EthnoLFrac	0.722	0.0069 (0.0055)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
PublEdu	0.651	0.143 (0.1269)	0	0 (0)	0	0 (0)
R^2		0.9006 (0.017)		0.7869 (0.0129)		0.7924 (0.0106)