

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF THE STABLE MODULE CATEGORY OF A FINITE GROUP

Dave Benson

(Joint work with Srikanth Iyengar and Henning Krause)

Durham, July 2009

BENSON, IYENGAR AND KRAUSE



THE SETUP



G finite group

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group
so there's only one simple kG -module

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects:** kG -modules, **arrows:** module homomorphisms

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects**: kG -modules, **arrows**: module homomorphisms

— an **abelian** category

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects**: kG -modules, **arrows**: module homomorphisms
— an **abelian** category

DEFINITION

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects**: kG -modules, **arrows**: module homomorphisms
— an **abelian** category

DEFINITION

A **localising subcategory** of $\text{Mod}(kG)$ is a full subcategory \mathcal{C} satisfying:

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects**: kG -modules, **arrows**: module homomorphisms
— an **abelian** category

DEFINITION

A **localising subcategory** of $\text{Mod}(kG)$ is a full subcategory \mathcal{C} satisfying:

- If $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ and two of M_1, M_2, M_3 are in \mathcal{C} then so is the third

THE SETUP

G finite group

k field of characteristic p

For simplicity I'll assume G is a p -group

so there's only one simple kG -module

Slight modifications of statements are necessary for a more general finite group

$\text{Mod}(kG)$ **objects**: kG -modules, **arrows**: module homomorphisms
— an **abelian** category

DEFINITION

A **localising subcategory** of $\text{Mod}(kG)$ is a full subcategory \mathcal{C} satisfying:

- If $0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow 0$ and two of M_1, M_2, M_3 are in \mathcal{C} then so is the third
- \mathcal{C} is closed under direct sums.

THE MAIN THEOREM

THE MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM

There is a natural one to one correspondence between non-zero localising subcategories of $\text{Mod}(kG)$ and subsets of

$$\text{Proj } H^*(G, k) = \{ \text{non-maximal hgs prime ideals in } H^*(G, k) \}$$

THE MAIN THEOREM

THEOREM

There is a natural one to one correspondence between non-zero localising subcategories of $\text{Mod}(kG)$ and subsets of

$$\text{Proj } H^*(G, k) = \{ \text{non-maximal hgs prime ideals in } H^*(G, k) \}$$

REMARK

This is analogous to Neeman's classification of localising subcategories of $D(\text{Mod}R)$ for a commutative ring R , but quite a bit harder.

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985
- Localising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 1990

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985
- Localising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 1990
- Thick subcategories of small objects $\text{mod}(kG)/\text{stmod}(kG)$:
Benson, Carlson and Rickard 1995

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985
- Localising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 1990
- Thick subcategories of small objects $\text{mod}(kG)/\text{stmod}(kG)$:
Benson, Carlson and Rickard 1995
- Localising subcategories of all objects $\text{Mod}(kG)/\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Benson, Iyengar and Krause 2007

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985
- Localising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 1990
- Thick subcategories of small objects $\text{mod}(kG)/\text{stmod}(kG)$:
Benson, Carlson and Rickard 1995
- Localising subcategories of all objects $\text{Mod}(kG)/\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Benson, Iyengar and Krause 2007
- Colocalising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 2008

- Thick subcategories of small objects $D^b(\text{proj } R)$:
Hopkins 1985
- Localising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 1990
- Thick subcategories of small objects $\text{mod}(kG)/\text{stmod}(kG)$:
Benson, Carlson and Rickard 1995
- Localising subcategories of all objects $\text{Mod}(kG)/\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Benson, Iyengar and Krause 2007
- Colocalising subcategories of all objects $D(\text{Mod } R)$:
Neeman 2008
- Colocalising subcategories of all objects $\text{Mod}(kG)$:
Benson, Iyengar and Krause 2009

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} ,

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} ,
hence kG is in \mathcal{C} ,

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

The **stable module category** $\text{StMod}(kG)$

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

The **stable module category** $\text{StMod}(kG)$

objects: kG -modules, **arrows:**

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

The **stable module category** $\text{StMod}(kG)$

objects: kG -modules, **arrows:**

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(M, N) = \frac{\text{Hom}_{kG}(M, N)}{\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N)}$$

$\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N) =$ homs factoring through some projective

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

The **stable module category** $\text{StMod}(kG)$

objects: kG -modules, **arrows:**

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(M, N) = \frac{\text{Hom}_{kG}(M, N)}{\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N)}$$

$\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N) =$ homs factoring through some projective

This is a **triangulated category** with translation Ω^{-1} .

LOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES OF $\text{Mod}(kG)$

OBSERVATION

kG is filtered by copies of k so if M is in \mathcal{C} then $kG \otimes M$ is in \mathcal{C} , hence kG is in \mathcal{C} , so all **projectives** are in \mathcal{C} .

DEFINITION

The **stable module category** $\text{StMod}(kG)$

objects: kG -modules, **arrows:**

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{kG}(M, N) = \frac{\text{Hom}_{kG}(M, N)}{\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N)}$$

$\text{PHom}_{kG}(M, N) =$ homs factoring through some projective

This is a **triangulated category** with translation Ω^{-1} .

Now, a **localising subcategory** is a full triangulated subcategory closed under direct sums.



THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps

This is a **triangulated category**.

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps

This is a **triangulated category**.

DEFINITION

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps

This is a **triangulated category**.

DEFINITION

$\text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG)$ is the full triangulated subcategory of **acyclic** complexes

THE CATEGORY $\text{KInj}(kG)$

Problem with $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

$\text{End}_{kG}^*(k) = \hat{H}^*(G, k)$ is usually not Noetherian.

We enlarge $\text{StMod}(kG)$ slightly to “put back the maximal ideal” of $H^*(G, k)$.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}(kG)$ **objects**: complexes of injective = projective kG -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps

This is a **triangulated category**.

DEFINITION

$\text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG)$ is the full triangulated subcategory of **acyclic** complexes

Tate resolutions: $\text{StMod}(kG) \simeq \text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG)$.

$$\text{StMod}(kG) \simeq \text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(tk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k tk} \end{array} \text{KInj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(pk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k pk} \end{array} D\text{Mod}(kG).$$

$$\text{StMod}(kG) \simeq \text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(tk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k tk} \end{array} \text{KInj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(pk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k pk} \end{array} D\text{Mod}(kG).$$

OBSERVATION

The only localising subcategories of $D\text{Mod}(kG)$ are everything and zero.

$$\text{StMod}(kG) \simeq \text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(tk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k tk} \end{array} \text{KInj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(pk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k pk} \end{array} D\text{Mod}(kG).$$

OBSERVATION

The only localising subcategories of $D\text{Mod}(kG)$ are everything and zero.

THEOREM

There is a natural one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and subsets of

$$\text{StMod}(kG) \simeq \text{K}_{\text{ac}}\text{Inj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(tk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k tk} \end{array} \text{KInj}(kG) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{Hom}_k(pk, -)} \\ \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} \\ \xleftarrow{-\otimes_k pk} \end{array} D\text{Mod}(kG).$$

OBSERVATION

The only localising subcategories of $D\text{Mod}(kG)$ are everything and zero.

THEOREM

There is a natural one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and subsets of

$$\text{Spec}^* H^*(G, k) = \{\text{all hgs prime ideals in } H^*(G, k)\}.$$

- Reduce to elementary abelian subgroups

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

$$X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE$$

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

$$X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE$$

$$kE = k[X_1, \dots, X_r]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_r^p)$$

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

$$X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE$$

$$kE = k[X_1, \dots, X_r]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_r^p)$$

$$A = kE\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_r \rangle, \text{ a } \mathbf{dg \ algebra}$$

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

$$X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE$$

$$kE = k[X_1, \dots, X_r]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_r^p)$$

$A = kE\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_r \rangle$, a **dg algebra**

$\deg X_i = 0, \deg Y_i = 1.$

- Reduce to **elementary abelian subgroups**

$$E = \langle g_1, \dots, g_r \mid g_i^p = 1, \quad g_i g_j = g_j g_i \rangle \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$$

using Quillen's stratification theorem etc.

- **Koszul construction:**

$$X_i = g_i - 1 \in kE$$

$$kE = k[X_1, \dots, X_r]/(X_1^p, \dots, X_r^p)$$

$$A = kE\langle Y_1, \dots, Y_r \rangle, \text{ a dg algebra}$$

$$\deg X_i = 0, \deg Y_i = 1.$$

$$Y_i^2 = 0, Y_i Y_j = -Y_j Y_i, d(Y_i) = X_i, d(X_i) = 0.$$

TRANSFER OF STRATIFICATION

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

objects: dg A -modules which are injective as $A^\#$ -modules

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

objects: dg A -modules which are injective as $A^\#$ -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps.

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

objects: dg A -modules which are injective as $A^\#$ -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps.

THEOREM

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

objects: dg A -modules which are injective as $A^\#$ -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps.

THEOREM

The functors

$$\text{KInj}(kE) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{res}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} \end{array} \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$$

DEFINITION

$\text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$

objects: dg A -modules which are injective as $A^\#$ -modules

arrows: homotopy classes of degree preserving chain maps.

THEOREM

The functors

$$\text{KInj}(kE) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{res}} \\ \xrightarrow{\text{ind}} \end{array} \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A)$$

give a one to one correspondence on localising subcategories.

FORMALITY

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_1, \dots, U_r)$

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_1, \dots, U_r)$

$$\Lambda \rightarrow A \quad U_i \mapsto X_i^{p-1} Y_i$$

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_1, \dots, U_r)$

$$\Lambda \rightarrow A \quad U_i \mapsto X_i^{p-1} Y_i$$

is a **quasi-isomorphism**. Hence it induces an **equivalence of triangulated categories**

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_1, \dots, U_r)$

$$\Lambda \rightarrow A \quad U_i \mapsto X_i^{p-1} Y_i$$

is a **quasi-isomorphism**. Hence it induces an **equivalence of triangulated categories**

$$\mathrm{KInj}_{\mathrm{dg}}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{KInj}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\Lambda).$$

$H_*(A)$ is an exterior algebra on generators $X_i^{p-1} Y_i$.

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda(U_1, \dots, U_r)$

$$\Lambda \rightarrow A \quad U_i \mapsto X_i^{p-1} Y_i$$

is a **quasi-isomorphism**. Hence it induces an **equivalence of triangulated categories**

$$\mathrm{KInj}_{\mathrm{dg}}(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{KInj}_{\mathrm{dg}}(\Lambda).$$

Still using dg Λ -modules, differential on Λ is zero.

Let $S = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(k, k) = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, $\deg x_i = -2$.

Let $S = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(k, k) = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, $\deg x_i = -2$.

There is a version of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand correspondence

Let $S = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(k, k) = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, $\deg x_i = -2$.

There is a version of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand correspondence

$$D_{\text{dg}}(S) \simeq \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda).$$

Let $S = \text{Ext}_{\Lambda}^*(k, k) = k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$, $\deg x_i = -2$.

There is a version of the Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand correspondence

$$D_{\text{dg}}(S) \simeq \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda).$$

The final step in the proof is to classify localising subcategories of $D_{\text{dg}}(S)$ using methods similar to Neeman's.

$$D_{\text{dg}}(S) \rightsquigarrow \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(\Lambda) \rightsquigarrow \text{KInj}_{\text{dg}}(A) \rightsquigarrow \\ \text{KInj}(kE) \rightsquigarrow \text{KInj}(kG) \rightsquigarrow \text{StMod}(kG) \rightsquigarrow \text{Mod}(kG).$$

Leitfaden

DETAILS: STRATIFYING TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES



Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator \mathcal{C} .

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator C .

$Z^n(\mathcal{T})$: natural transformations $x: \text{Id} \rightarrow \tau^n$ satisfying $x\tau = (-1)^n \tau x$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator C .

$Z^n(\mathcal{T})$: natural transformations $x: \text{Id} \rightarrow \tau^n$ satisfying $x\tau = (-1)^n \tau x$.

$Z(\mathcal{T})$ is a **graded commutative ring**: $yx = (-1)^{|x||y|}xy$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator C .

$Z^n(\mathcal{T})$: natural transformations $x: \text{Id} \rightarrow \tau^n$ satisfying $x\tau = (-1)^n \tau x$.

$Z(\mathcal{T})$ is a **graded commutative ring**: $yx = (-1)^{|x||y|}xy$.

Suppose we're given a **Noetherian** graded commutative ring R and a homomorphism $R \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{T})$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator C .

$Z^n(\mathcal{T})$: natural transformations $x: \text{Id} \rightarrow \tau^n$ satisfying $x\tau = (-1)^n \tau x$.

$Z(\mathcal{T})$ is a **graded commutative ring**: $yx = (-1)^{|x||y|}xy$.

Suppose we're given a **Noetherian** graded commutative ring R and a homomorphism $R \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{T})$.

For each X in \mathcal{T} , regard $H_C^*(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(C, X)$ as a graded R -module via $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(C)$.

Let \mathcal{T} be a triangulated category with direct sums and with a compact generator C .

$Z^n(\mathcal{T})$: natural transformations $x: \text{Id} \rightarrow \tau^n$ satisfying $x\tau = (-1)^n \tau x$.

$Z(\mathcal{T})$ is a **graded commutative ring**: $yx = (-1)^{|x||y|}xy$.

Suppose we're given a **Noetherian** graded commutative ring R and a homomorphism $R \rightarrow Z(\mathcal{T})$.

For each X in \mathcal{T} , regard $H_C^*(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(C, X)$ as a graded R -module via $R \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{T}}(C)$.

DEFINITION

A subset V of $\text{Spec}^*(R)$ is **specialisation closed** if $\mathfrak{p} \in V$, $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}$ implies $\mathfrak{q} \in V$.

DEFINITION

If V is specialisation closed, set

$$\mathcal{T}_V = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{supp}_R H_C^*(X) \subseteq V\}$$

as a full subcategory of \mathcal{T} .

DEFINITION

If V is specialisation closed, set

$$\mathcal{T}_V = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{supp}_R H_C^*(X) \subseteq V\}$$

as a full subcategory of \mathcal{T} .

THEOREM

\mathcal{T}_V depends only on V , not on the choice of compact generator C .

DEFINITION

If V is specialisation closed, set

$$\mathcal{T}_V = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{supp}_R H_C^*(X) \subseteq V\}$$

as a full subcategory of \mathcal{T} .

THEOREM

\mathcal{T}_V depends only on V , not on the choice of compact generator C .

By **Brown representability**: There is a localisation functor $L_V: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ such that $L_V X = 0 \iff X \in \mathcal{T}_V$.

SUPPORT, CONTD.

There is a functorial Rickard triangle

$$\Gamma_V X \rightarrow X \rightarrow L_V X$$

There is a functorial **Rickard triangle**

$$\Gamma_V X \rightarrow X \rightarrow L_V X$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, choose $V, W \subseteq \text{Spec}^* R$ specialisation closed such that $\mathfrak{p} \notin W$, $V = W \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

There is a functorial **Rickard triangle**

$$\Gamma_V X \rightarrow X \rightarrow L_V X$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, choose $V, W \subseteq \text{Spec}^* R$ specialisation closed such that $\mathfrak{p} \notin W$, $V = W \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Then the “local cohomology functor” $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_V L_W$ is independent of these choices.

There is a functorial **Rickard triangle**

$$\Gamma_V X \rightarrow X \rightarrow L_V X$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, choose $V, W \subseteq \text{Spec}^* R$ specialisation closed such that $\mathfrak{p} \notin W$, $V = W \cup \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Then the “local cohomology functor” $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \Gamma_V L_W$ is independent of these choices.

DEFINITION

The **support** of an object X is defined to be

$$\text{supp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X \neq 0\}$$

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

THEOREM

(Under mild assumptions, e.g. R finite Krull dimension)

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

THEOREM

(Under mild assumptions, e.g. R finite Krull dimension)
If \mathcal{T} is stratified by R then there is a one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of \mathcal{T} and subsets of $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T} \neq 0\}$ given as follows:

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

THEOREM

(Under mild assumptions, e.g. R finite Krull dimension)

If \mathcal{T} is stratified by R then there is a one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of \mathcal{T} and subsets of

$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^ R \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T} \neq 0\}$ given as follows:*

$$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{supp} X$$

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

THEOREM

(Under mild assumptions, e.g. R finite Krull dimension)

If \mathcal{T} is stratified by R then there is a one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of \mathcal{T} and subsets of

$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^ R \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T} \neq 0\}$ given as follows:*

$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{supp} X$

$S \mapsto$ *full subcategory of \mathcal{T} with objects $\{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{supp} X \subseteq S\}$.*

DEFINITION

We say \mathcal{T} is **stratified** by R if $\forall \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* R$, $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T}$ is either zero or a minimal non-zero localising subcategory.

THEOREM

(Under mild assumptions, e.g. R finite Krull dimension)

If \mathcal{T} is stratified by R then there is a one to one correspondence between localising subcategories of \mathcal{T} and subsets of

$\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^ R \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}}\mathcal{T} \neq 0\}$ given as follows:*

$\mathcal{C} \mapsto \bigcup_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \text{supp} X$

$S \mapsto$ *full subcategory of \mathcal{T} with objects $\{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \text{supp} X \subseteq S\}$.*

THEOREM

$H^*(G, k)$ stratifies $\text{Klnj}(kG)$ and hence also $\text{StMod}(kG)$.

SOME CONSEQUENCES

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

SOME CONSEQUENCES

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:

SOME CONSEQUENCES

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts)

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.
- For a localising subcategory the following are equivalent:

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.
- For a localising subcategory the following are equivalent:
 - 1 $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X^* \in \mathcal{C}$

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp} X \cap \text{supp} Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.
- For a localising subcategory the following are equivalent:
 - 1 $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X^* \in \mathcal{C}$
 - 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under products

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.
- For a localising subcategory the following are equivalent:
 - 1 $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X^* \in \mathcal{C}$
 - 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under products
 - 3 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^\perp$ with \mathcal{D} compactly generated

- New proof of the **tensor product theorem**:

$$\text{supp}(X \otimes_k Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{supp } Y$$

without cyclic shifted subgroups and Dade's theorem.

- **Telescope conjecture** for $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and $\text{StMod}(kG)$:
Smashing subcategories (= localising subcategories where localisation preserves coproducts) are compactly generated.
- For a localising subcategory the following are equivalent:
 - 1 $X \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow X^* \in \mathcal{C}$
 - 2 \mathcal{C} is closed under products
 - 3 $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^\perp$ with \mathcal{D} compactly generated
 - 4 the complement of the set of primes corresponding to \mathcal{C} is specialisation closed.

COSTRATIFICATION AND COSUPPORT



Recall that for $X \in \text{KInj}(kG)$,

$$\text{supp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* H^*(G, k) \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k \otimes_k X \neq 0\}$$

Recall that for $X \in \text{KInj}(kG)$,

$$\text{supp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* H^*(G, k) \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k \otimes_k X \neq 0\}$$

DEFINITION

$$\text{cosupp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Hom}_k(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k, X) \neq 0\}$$

Recall that for $X \in \text{KInj}(kG)$,

$$\text{supp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}^* H^*(G, k) \mid \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} X = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k \otimes_k X \neq 0\}$$

DEFINITION

$$\text{cosupp } X = \{\mathfrak{p} \mid \text{Hom}_k(\Gamma_{\mathfrak{p}} k, X) \neq 0\}$$

DEFINITION

A **colocalising subcategory** of a triangulated category is a triangulated subcategory closed under products.

CLASSIFICATION OF COLOCALISING SUBCATEGORIES

THEOREM

Cosupport defines a one to one correspondence between colocalising subcategories of $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Spec}^ H^*(G, k)$, and also between colocalising subcategories of $\text{StMod}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$.*

THEOREM

Cosupport defines a one to one correspondence between colocalising subcategories of $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Spec}^ H^*(G, k)$, and also between colocalising subcategories of $\text{StMod}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$.*

Proofs follow the same route as for localising subcategories but the details are different.

THEOREM

Cosupport defines a one to one correspondence between colocalising subcategories of $\text{KInj}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Spec}^ H^*(G, k)$, and also between colocalising subcategories of $\text{StMod}(kG)$ and subsets of $\text{Proj } H^*(G, k)$.*

Proofs follow the same route as for localising subcategories but the details are different.

THEOREM

$$\text{cosupp Hom}_k(X, Y) = \text{supp } X \cap \text{cosupp } Y.$$

SOME FINAL REMARKS

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a differential graded algebra

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k)$ = cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

THEOREM

If G is a p -group then $\text{KInj}(kG) \simeq D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k)$ = cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

THEOREM

If G is a p -group then $\text{Klnj}(kG) \simeq D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

For any finite group the localising subcategory generated by ik in $\text{Klnj}(kG)$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

THEOREM

If G is a p -group then $\text{Klnj}(kG) \simeq D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

For any finite group the localising subcategory generated by ik in $\text{Klnj}(kG)$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

The E_∞ structure on $C^*(BG; k)$ allow us to make $X \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{C^*(BG; k)} Y$ into a dg $C^*(BG; k)$ -module

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

THEOREM

If G is a p -group then $\text{Klnj}(kG) \simeq D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

For any finite group the localising subcategory generated by ik in $\text{Klnj}(kG)$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

The E_∞ structure on $C^*(BG; k)$ allow us to make $X \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{C^*(BG; k)} Y$ into a dg $C^*(BG; k)$ -module

So we get a **symmetric monoidal category**.

SOME FINAL REMARKS

$C^*(BG; k) =$ cochains on the classifying space of G

This is a **differential graded algebra**

$D_{\text{dg}}(C^*(BG; k))$ is the derived category of differential graded modules over $C^*(BG; k)$

THEOREM

If G is a p -group then $\text{KInj}(kG) \simeq D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

For any finite group the localising subcategory generated by ik in $\text{KInj}(kG)$ is equivalent to $D_{\text{dg}}(C^(BG; k))$.*

The E_∞ structure on $C^*(BG; k)$ allow us to make $X \overset{\mathbb{L}}{\otimes}_{C^*(BG; k)} Y$ into a dg $C^*(BG; k)$ -module

So we get a **symmetric monoidal category**.

This tensor product corresponds to ordinary tensor product over k with diagonal G -action for objects in $\text{KInj}(kG)$.

