Homogenization of a semilinear heat equation with a highly oscillating random potential

Etienne Pardoux

Aix-Marseille Université

joint work with Martin Hairer

We start with the PDE (dim(x)=1)

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_\varepsilon(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon(t,x) + H(u_\varepsilon(t,x)) + G(u_\varepsilon(t,x)) \eta_\varepsilon(t,x) \\ u_\varepsilon(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad u_\varepsilon(t,0) = u_\varepsilon(t,1) = 0. \end{split}$$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x),$$

- This problem has been studied in case H=0 and G(u)=u in P., Piatnitski '12 and Hairer, P., Piatnitski '13, with different respective scalings of t and x. Those papers establish the LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$, with a limiting PDE which depends upon the specific scaling.
- Bal '11 proves both the LLN and the CLT in the linear case, with a Gaussian perturbation η_{ε} .
- Here we prove both the LLN and the CLT in the semilinear case, with a non–Gaussian η_{ε} .

We start with the PDE (dim(x)=1)

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_\varepsilon(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon(t,x) + H(u_\varepsilon(t,x)) + G(u_\varepsilon(t,x)) \eta_\varepsilon(t,x) \\ u_\varepsilon(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad u_\varepsilon(t,0) = u_\varepsilon(t,1) = 0. \end{split}$$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x),$$

- This problem has been studied in case H=0 and G(u)=u in P., Piatnitski '12 and Hairer, P., Piatnitski '13, with different respective scalings of t and x. Those papers establish the LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$, with a limiting PDE which depends upon the specific scaling.
- Bal '11 proves both the LLN and the CLT in the linear case, with a Gaussian perturbation η_{ε} .
- Here we prove both the LLN and the CLT in the semilinear case, with a non–Gaussian η_{ε} .

We start with the PDE (dim(x)=1)

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) + H(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + G(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x))\eta_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \\ u_{\varepsilon}(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad u_{\varepsilon}(t,0) = u_{\varepsilon}(t,1) = 0. \end{split}$$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x),$$

- This problem has been studied in case H=0 and G(u)=u in P., Piatnitski '12 and Hairer, P., Piatnitski '13, with different respective scalings of t and x. Those papers establish the LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$, with a limiting PDE which depends upon the specific scaling.
- Bal '11 proves both the LLN and the CLT in the linear case, with a Gaussian perturbation η_{ε} .
- Here we prove both the LLN and the CLT in the semilinear case, with a non–Gaussian η_{ε} .

We start with the PDE (dim(x)=1)

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) + H(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + G(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x))\eta_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \\ u_{\varepsilon}(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad u_{\varepsilon}(t,0) = u_{\varepsilon}(t,1) = 0. \end{split}$$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x),$$

- This problem has been studied in case H=0 and G(u)=u in P., Piatnitski '12 and Hairer, P., Piatnitski '13, with different respective scalings of t and x. Those papers establish the LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to u$, with a limiting PDE which depends upon the specific scaling.
- Bal '11 proves both the LLN and the CLT in the linear case, with a Gaussian perturbation η_{ε} .
- Here we prove both the LLN and the CLT in the semilinear case, with a non–Gaussian η_{ε} .

Comparison with earlier results

• Wong–Zakai, see Hairer, P.'15. Consider for $x \in S^1$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \Delta u_\varepsilon + H(u_\varepsilon) - C_\varepsilon G' G(u_\varepsilon) + G(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ \text{where } \xi_\varepsilon(t,x) &= \varepsilon^{-3/2} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2}t,\varepsilon^{-1}x) \text{ and } C_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{-1}. \ u_\varepsilon \to u \\ \partial_t u &= \Delta u + \overline{H}(u) + G(u) \xi. \end{split}$$

• Homogenization. Consider for $x \in [0, 1]$, with $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x)$,

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \Delta u_{\varepsilon} + H(u_{\varepsilon}) + G(u_{\varepsilon})\eta_{\varepsilon}.$$

LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{u}$ in probability, where

$$\partial_t \overline{u} = \Delta \overline{u} + H(\overline{u}) + c_\eta GG'(\overline{u}).$$

$$(u_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}) = (u_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon}) + (v_{\varepsilon} - v_{\varepsilon})$$

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + (H + c_{\eta} GG')'(\overline{u})v + G(\overline{u})\xi.$$

Comparison with earlier results

• Wong–Zakai, see Hairer, P.'15. Consider for $x \in S^1$

$$\begin{split} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \Delta u_\varepsilon + H(u_\varepsilon) - C_\varepsilon G' G(u_\varepsilon) + G(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ \text{where } \xi_\varepsilon(t,x) &= \varepsilon^{-3/2} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2}t,\varepsilon^{-1}x) \text{ and } C_\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{-1}. \ u_\varepsilon \to u \\ \partial_t u &= \Delta u + \overline{H}(u) + G(u) \xi. \end{split}$$

• Homogenization. Consider for $x \in [0, 1]$, with $\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x)$,

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon} = \Delta u_{\varepsilon} + H(u_{\varepsilon}) + G(u_{\varepsilon})\eta_{\varepsilon}.$$

LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{u}$ in probability, where

$$\partial_t \overline{u} = \Delta \overline{u} + H(\overline{u}) + c_\eta GG'(\overline{u}).$$

CLT Let
$$v_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} (u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u})$$
. $v_{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow v$, where

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + (H + c_\eta GG')'(\overline{u})v + G(\overline{u})\xi.$$

Our assumptions

- We assume that the noise $\eta(t,x)$ is zero–mean, stationary, has finite moments of all order, and moreover that for any $\ell \geq 1$, the ℓ -th joint cumulant $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ of the random variables $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$ satisfies certain bounds (z=(t,x)).
- Let us recall what are the cumulants. Formally, the joint cumulant of the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ is

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = (-i)^{\ell} \frac{\partial^{\ell}}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_{\ell}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z_j X_j \right) \right] \Big|_{z_1 = \cdots = z_{\ell} = 0}$$

Cumulants can be expressed in terms of moments

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = \sum_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_r\} \in \mathcal{P}([n])} (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! \mathbf{E}(X^{a_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{E}(X^{a_r}),$$

where
$$[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$$
 and if $b \subset [n], X^b = \prod_{i \in b} X_i$.

Our assumptions

- We assume that the noise $\eta(t,x)$ is zero–mean, stationary, has finite moments of all order, and moreover that for any $\ell \geq 1$, the ℓ -th joint cumulant $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ of the random variables $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$ satisfies certain bounds (z=(t,x)).
- Let us recall what are the cumulants. Formally, the joint cumulant of the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ is

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = (-i)^{\ell} \frac{\partial^{\ell}}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_{\ell}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z_j X_j \right) \right] \Big|_{z_1 = \cdots = z_{\ell} = 0}.$$

Cumulants can be expressed in terms of moments

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = \sum_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_r\} \in \mathcal{P}([n])} (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! \mathbf{E}(X^{a_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{E}(X^{a_r}),$$

where $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and if $b \subset [n]$, $X^b = \prod_{j \in b} X_j$.

Our assumptions

- We assume that the noise $\eta(t,x)$ is zero–mean, stationary, has finite moments of all order, and moreover that for any $\ell \geq 1$, the ℓ -th joint cumulant $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ of the random variables $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$ satisfies certain bounds (z=(t,x)).
- Let us recall what are the cumulants. Formally, the joint cumulant of the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ is

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = (-i)^{\ell} \frac{\partial^{\ell}}{\partial z_1 \cdots \partial z_{\ell}} \log \mathbf{E} \left[\exp \left(i \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} z_j X_j \right) \right] \Big|_{z_1 = \cdots = z_{\ell} = 0}.$$

Cumulants can be expressed in terms of moments

$$\kappa_{\ell}(X_1,\ldots,X_{\ell}) = \sum_{\{a_1,\ldots,a_r\}\in\mathcal{P}([n])} (-1)^{r-1} (r-1)! \mathbf{E}(X^{a_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbf{E}(X^{a_r}),$$

where $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and if $b \subset [n]$, $X^b = \prod_{i \in b} X_i$.

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_i, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X, \dots, X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$
- If (X_1, \ldots, X_j) and $(X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_\ell)$ are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) = 0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbb{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X, \dots, X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1, \ldots, X_j) and $(X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_\ell)$ are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) = 0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbf{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X,\ldots,X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1, \ldots, X_j) and $(X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_\ell)$ are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) = 0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbf{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X,\ldots,X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1,\ldots,X_j) and (X_{j+1},\ldots,X_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1,\ldots,X_\ell)=0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If N is a Poisson point measure on \mathbb{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X,\ldots,X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1,\ldots,X_j) and (X_{j+1},\ldots,X_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1,\ldots,X_\ell)=0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbb{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X,\ldots,X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1,\ldots,X_j) and (X_{j+1},\ldots,X_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1,\ldots,X_\ell)=0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbb{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx)$$

- $\kappa_1(X) = \mathbf{E}(X), \, \kappa_2(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Y), \, \text{etc...}$
- $\{X_j, j \in J\}$ is Gaussian $\Leftrightarrow \kappa_\ell(X_{j_1}, \dots, X_{j_\ell}) = 0$ whenever $\ell \geq 3$.
- If $X \simeq \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda)$, $\kappa_{\ell}(X, \dots, X) = \lambda$, for all $\ell \geq 1$.
- If (X_1, \dots, X_j) and (X_{j+1}, \dots, X_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1, \dots, X_\ell) = 0$.
- If c_1, \ldots, c_ℓ are constants, $\ell \geq 2$, $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + c_1, \ldots, X_\ell + c_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell)$.
- If the two vectors (X_1, \ldots, X_ℓ) and (X'_1, \ldots, X'_ℓ) are independent, then $\kappa_\ell(X_1 + X'_1, \ldots, X_\ell + X'_\ell) = \kappa_\ell(X_1, \ldots, X_\ell) + \kappa_\ell(X'_1, \ldots, X'_\ell)$.
- If *N* is a Poisson point measure on \mathbb{R}^d with mean measure μ , f_1, \ldots, f_ℓ are continuous and have compact support, then

$$\kappa_{\ell}(N(f_1),\ldots,N(f_{\ell})) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^d} f_1(x) \times \cdots \times f_{\ell}(x) \, \mu(dx).$$

Precise assumptions

- H and G are of class C⁴ and C⁵ resp., H, G and GG' having at most linear growth at infinity.
- Denote by $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ the joint cumulant of $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$. We assume that uniformly over all $z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}\in\mathbf{R}^2$,

$$|\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})| \lesssim 2^{c(\Omega_{\ell})\mathsf{n}(\Omega_{\ell})} \prod_{A \in \mathring{V}} 2^{c(A)\mathsf{n}(A)}$$

• where \mathring{V} denotes the set of interior nodes of the minimal spanning tree of the complete graph with vertices $\{z_1,\ldots,z_\ell\}$, Ω_ℓ is the root of that tree, $\mathbf{n}(A) = -\lceil \log_2 d_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_1,A_2) \rceil$ and c(A) = 1/2 if $\mathbf{n}(A) \geq 0$, $c(A) = 3/2 + \delta$ otherwise.

Precise assumptions

- H and G are of class C⁴ and C⁵ resp., H, G and GG' having at most linear growth at infinity.
- Denote by $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ the joint cumulant of $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$. We assume that uniformly over all $z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}\in\mathbf{R}^2$,

$$|\kappa_\ell(z_1,\ldots,z_\ell)| \lesssim 2^{c(\Omega_\ell) oldsymbol{\mathsf{n}}(\Omega_\ell)} \prod_{A \in \mathring{\mathcal{V}}} 2^{c(A) oldsymbol{\mathsf{n}}(A)},$$

• where \mathring{V} denotes the set of interior nodes of the minimal spanning tree of the complete graph with vertices $\{z_1,\ldots,z_\ell\}$, Ω_ℓ is the root of that tree, $\mathbf{n}(A) = -\lceil \log_2 d_{\mathbb{Z}}(A_1,A_2) \rceil$ and c(A) = 1/2 if $\mathbf{n}(A) \geq 0$, $c(A) = 3/2 + \delta$ otherwise.

Precise assumptions

- H and G are of class C⁴ and C⁵ resp., H, G and GG' having at most linear growth at infinity.
- Denote by $\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell})$ the joint cumulant of $\eta(z_1),\ldots,\eta(z_{\ell})$. We assume that uniformly over all $z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}\in\mathbf{R}^2$,

$$|\kappa_\ell(z_1,\ldots,z_\ell)| \lesssim 2^{c(\Omega_\ell) n(\Omega_\ell)} \prod_{A \in \mathring{\mathcal{V}}} 2^{c(A) n(A)},$$

• where \mathring{V} denotes the set of interior nodes of the minimal spanning tree of the complete graph with vertices $\{z_1,\ldots,z_\ell\}$, Ω_ℓ is the root of that tree, $\mathbf{n}(A) = -\lceil \log_2 d_z(A_1,A_2) \rceil$ and c(A) = 1/2 if $\mathbf{n}(A) \geq 0$, $c(A) = 3/2 + \delta$ otherwise.

An example satisfying our assumptions

- Suppose $\eta(z) = \bar{N}(\varrho(z-\cdot))$, with N a Poisson point process on \mathbf{R}^2 with mean measure Lebesgue, $\bar{N}(dz) = N(dz) dz$, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-3-\delta}$ for |z| > 1, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-1/2}$ for $|z| \le 1$.
- In that case,

$$\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \varrho(z_1-z) \times \cdots \times \varrho(z_{\ell}-z) dz.$$

It is not too hard to verify the assumption thanks to that formula.

An example satisfying our assumptions

- Suppose $\eta(z) = \bar{N}(\varrho(z-\cdot))$, with N a Poisson point process on \mathbf{R}^2 with mean measure Lebesgue, $\bar{N}(dz) = N(dz) dz$, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-3-\delta}$ for |z| > 1, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-1/2}$ for $|z| \le 1$.
- In that case,

$$\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \varrho(z_1-z) \times \cdots \times \varrho(z_{\ell}-z) dz.$$

It is not too hard to verify the assumption thanks to that formula.

An example satisfying our assumptions

- Suppose $\eta(z) = \bar{N}(\varrho(z-\cdot))$, with N a Poisson point process on \mathbf{R}^2 with mean measure Lebesgue, $\bar{N}(dz) = N(dz) dz$, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-3-\delta}$ for |z| > 1, and $|\varrho(z)| \lesssim |z|^{-1/2}$ for $|z| \le 1$.
- In that case,

$$\kappa_{\ell}(z_1,\ldots,z_{\ell}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \varrho(z_1-z) \times \cdots \times \varrho(z_{\ell}-z) dz.$$

• It is not too hard to verify the assumption thanks to that formula.

Regularity structures

- We shall write 1 for the unit constant, |1| = 0, X for an "abstract version" of the first order monomial $x \to x$. |X|=1.
- ullet We have the three following elements of ${\mathcal T}$ with negative regularity
 - Ξ stands for ξ_{ε} , or space—time white noise itself in the limit, $|\Xi| = -3/2 \kappa$;
 - $\dot{\Xi}$ stands for the noise driving our approximate PDE $(=\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_{\varepsilon})$, is zero in the limit, $|\dot{\Xi}|=-1-\kappa$;
 - $\ddot{\Xi}$ stands for $\varepsilon \xi_{\varepsilon}$, is zero in the limit, $|\ddot{\Xi}| = -1/2 \kappa$.

Regularity structures

- We shall write **1** for the unit constant, $|\mathbf{1}| = 0$, X for an "abstract version" of the first order monomial $x \to x$. |X| = 1.
- ullet We have the three following elements of ${\mathcal T}$ with negative regularity :
 - Ξ stands for ξ_{ε} , or space—time white noise itself in the limit, $|\Xi|=-3/2-\kappa;$
 - $\dot{\Xi}$ stands for the noise driving our approximate PDE $(=\sqrt{\varepsilon}\xi_{\varepsilon})$, is zero in the limit, $|\dot{\Xi}|=-1-\kappa$;
 - $\ddot{\Xi}$ stands for $\varepsilon \xi_{\varepsilon}$, is zero in the limit, $|\ddot{\Xi}| = -1/2 \kappa$.

ullet We want to show that $u_{arepsilon} o ar{u}$, where $ar{u}$ solves the parabolic PDE

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \bar{u}(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 \bar{u}(t,x) + H(\bar{u}(t,x)) + c_\eta GG'(\bar{u}(t,x)), \\ \bar{u}(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad \bar{u}(t,0) = \bar{u}(t,1) = 0, \end{split}$$

where
$$c_{\eta} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} P(z) \kappa_2(0, z) dz$$
.

• We rewrite the equation for u_{ε} as

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0,$$

where if $U = u\mathbf{1} + \tilde{U}$, $\hat{H}(U) = H(u)\mathbf{1} + H'(u)\tilde{U}$.

• We want to show that $u_{\varepsilon} \to \bar{u}$, where \bar{u} solves the parabolic PDE

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \bar{u}(t,x) &= \partial_x^2 \bar{u}(t,x) + H(\bar{u}(t,x)) + c_\eta GG'(\bar{u}(t,x)), \\ \bar{u}(0,x) &= u_0(x), \quad \bar{u}(t,0) = \bar{u}(t,1) = 0, \end{split}$$

where $c_{\eta} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} P(z) \kappa_2(0, z) dz$.

• We rewrite the equation for u_{ε} as

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0,$$

where if $U = u\mathbf{1} + \tilde{U}$, $\hat{H}(U) = H(u)\mathbf{1} + H'(u)\tilde{U}$.

•

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0.$$

U is of the form (for some functions u and u')

$$J = u\mathbf{1} + G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + u'X,$$

The right hand side of the above is given as

$$H(u)\mathbf{1} + G(u)\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi})\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)u'X\dot{\Xi}.$$

ullet The LLN follows from the two facts $\Pi_z^{arepsilon} ar{\pm} o 0$, $\Pi_z^{arepsilon} \mathcal{I}(ar{\Xi}) ar{\Xi} o c_{\eta}$.

0

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0.$$

• U is of the form (for some functions u and u')

$$U = u\mathbf{1} + G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + u'X,$$

The right hand side of the above is given as

$$H(u)\mathbf{1} + G(u)\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi})\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)u'X\dot{\Xi}.$$

• The LLN follows from the two facts $\Pi_z^{arepsilon} \dot{\Xi} o 0$, $\Pi_z^{arepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) \dot{\Xi} o c_{\eta}$.

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0.$$

• U is of the form (for some functions u and u')

$$U = u\mathbf{1} + G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + u'X,$$

• The right hand side of the above is given as

$$H(u)\mathbf{1} + G(u)\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi})\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)u'X\dot{\Xi}.$$

• The LLN follows from the two facts $\Pi_z^{\varepsilon} \dot{\Xi} \to 0$, $\Pi_z^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) \dot{\Xi} \to c_{\eta}$.

$$U = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}(\hat{H}(U) + \hat{G}(U)\dot{\Xi}) + Pu_0.$$

• U is of the form (for some functions u and u')

$$U = u\mathbf{1} + G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + u'X,$$

The right hand side of the above is given as

$$H(u)\mathbf{1} + G(u)\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)G(u)\mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi})\dot{\Xi} + G'(u)u'X\dot{\Xi}.$$

• The LLN follows from the two facts $\Pi_z^{\varepsilon} \dot{\Xi} \to 0$, $\Pi_z^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) \dot{\Xi} \to c_{\eta}$.

Central Limit Theorem

- Now let $v_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \bar{u}(t,x)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$.
- With $\zeta_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon} \eta_{\varepsilon}$

$$\partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} = \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon})\eta_{\varepsilon} - c_{\eta}G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

$$= \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon}) - G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\eta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c\eta G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$$

$$\simeq \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + H'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + G'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}GG'(\bar{u})$$

Consider the fixed point problem

$$V = \mathcal{P} \mathbf{1}_{t>0} \Big(\mathcal{L}(H'(\bar{u})) V + \mathcal{L}(G(\bar{u})) \Xi + \mathcal{L}(G'(\bar{u})) V \dot{\Xi} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}(G''(\bar{u})) V^2 \ddot{\Xi} \Big),$$
where $(\mathcal{L}f)(z) = f(z) \mathbf{1} + \partial_z f(z) Y, z = (t, x)$

Central Limit Theorem

- Now let $v_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \bar{u}(t,x)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$.
- With $\zeta_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} &= \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon})\eta_{\varepsilon} - c_{\eta}G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \\ &= \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon}) - G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\eta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c\eta G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \\ &\simeq \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + H'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + G'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}GG'(\bar{u}) \end{split}$$

Consider the fixed point problem

$$V = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}\Big(\mathcal{L}(H'(\bar{u}))V + \mathcal{L}(G(\bar{u}))\Xi + \mathcal{L}(G'(\bar{u}))V\dot{\Xi} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}(G''(\bar{u}))V^2\ddot{\Xi}\Big),$$
where $(ff)(z) = f(z)\mathbf{1} + \partial_z f(z)X$, $z = (f, x)$

Central Limit Theorem

- Now let $v_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \frac{u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) \bar{u}(t,x)}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$.
- With $\zeta_{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{split} \partial_{t} v_{\varepsilon} &= \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon})\eta_{\varepsilon} - c_{\eta}G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \\ &= \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + \frac{H(u_{\varepsilon}) - H(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + \frac{G(u_{\varepsilon}) - G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\eta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c\eta G'G(\bar{u})}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \\ &\simeq \partial_{x}^{2} v_{\varepsilon} + H'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon} + G(\bar{u})\xi_{\varepsilon} + G'(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}\eta_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{u})v_{\varepsilon}^{2}\zeta_{\varepsilon} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}GG'(\bar{u}) \end{split}$$

Consider the fixed point problem

$$V = \mathcal{P}\mathbf{1}_{t>0}\Big(\mathcal{L}(H'(\bar{u}))V + \mathcal{L}(G(\bar{u})) \Xi + \mathcal{L}(G'(\bar{u}))V \dot{\Xi} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}(G''(\bar{u}))V^2 \ddot{\Xi}\Big),$$
 where $(\mathcal{L}f)(z) = f(z)\mathbf{1} + \partial_x f(z)X$, $z = (t, x)$.

- Note that we have left out the last term of the previous equation. At the end we renormalize the equation, which means bring back in that term.
- V must be of the form (up to terms of homogeneity > 1)

$$V = v1 + G(\bar{u}) \mathcal{I}(\Xi) + G'(\bar{u}) v \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + v'X.$$

 The factor of P in the righthand side reads (up to terms of homogeneity > 0)

$$\begin{split} H'(\bar{u})v\,\mathbf{1} + G(\bar{u})\,\bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{u})\bar{u}'\,X\bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{u})v\,\bar{\Xi} \\ + G'(\bar{u})G(\bar{u})\,\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G'(\bar{u})G'(\bar{u})v\,\mathcal{I}(\dot{\bar{\Xi}})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{u})\bar{u}'v\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} \\ + G'(\bar{u})v'\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{u})v^2\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{u})G(\bar{u})v\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} \,. \end{split}$$

- Note that we have left out the last term of the previous equation. At the end we renormalize the equation, which means bring back in that term.
- V must be of the form (up to terms of homogeneity > 1)

$$V = v\mathbf{1} + G(\bar{u}) \mathcal{I}(\Xi) + G'(\bar{u})v \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + v'X$$
.

 The factor of P in the righthand side reads (up to terms of homogeneity > 0)

$$\begin{split} H'(\bar{u})v\,\mathbf{1} + G(\bar{u})\,\bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{u})\bar{u}'\,X\bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{u})v\,\bar{\Xi} \\ + G'(\bar{u})G(\bar{u})\,\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G'(\bar{u})G'(\bar{u})v\,\mathcal{I}(\dot{\bar{\Xi}})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{u})\bar{u}'v\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} \\ + G'(\bar{u})v'\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{u})v^2\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{u})G(\bar{u})v\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} \,. \end{split}$$

- Note that we have left out the last term of the previous equation. At the end we renormalize the equation, which means bring back in that term.
- V must be of the form (up to terms of homogeneity > 1)

$$V = v\mathbf{1} + G(\bar{u}) \mathcal{I}(\Xi) + G'(\bar{u})v \mathcal{I}(\dot{\Xi}) + v'X$$
.

 The factor of P in the righthand side reads (up to terms of homogeneity > 0)

$$\begin{split} H'(\bar{\upsilon})v\,\mathbf{1} + G(\bar{\upsilon}) \,\bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{\upsilon})\bar{\upsilon}'\,X \bar{\Xi} + G'(\bar{\upsilon})v\,\dot{\bar{\Xi}} \\ + G'(\bar{\upsilon})G(\bar{\upsilon})\,\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G'(\bar{\upsilon})G'(\bar{\upsilon})v\,\mathcal{I}(\dot{\bar{\Xi}})\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{\upsilon})\bar{\upsilon}'v\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} \\ + G'(\bar{\upsilon})v'\,X\dot{\bar{\Xi}} + \frac{1}{2}G''(\bar{\upsilon})v^2\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} + G''(\bar{\upsilon})G(\bar{\upsilon})v\mathcal{I}(\bar{\Xi})\ddot{\bar{\Xi}} \;. \end{split}$$

- The canonical model satisfies $\Pi^{\varepsilon} \equiv = \xi_{\varepsilon}$ and also $\mathbf{E} \left(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} \right) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_{\eta}$.
- We define a renormalized model $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ by setting

$$\hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} , \qquad \hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau$$

for all other basis vectors τ .

The core result says

Theorem

The random models $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ converge weakly to a limiting admissible model $\hat{\Pi}$ such that with ξ = space-time white noise,

$$\hat{\Pi}\, \Xi = \xi \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \dot{\Xi} = 0 \;, \qquad \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \ddot{\Xi} = 0 \;,
onumber \ \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} = 0 \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;,
onumber \ \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;,
onumber \ \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;,
onumber \ \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;,
onumber \ \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{\eta} \;, \qquad \hat{\Pi}\, \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \ddot{\Xi} = c_{$$

- The canonical model satisfies $\Pi^{\varepsilon} \equiv = \xi_{\varepsilon}$ and also $\mathbf{E} \left(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} \right) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_{\eta}$.
- We define a renormalized model $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ by setting

$$\hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} , \qquad \hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau$$

for all other basis vectors τ .

The core result says

Theorem

The random models $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ converge weakly to a limiting admissible model $\hat{\Pi}$ such that with ξ = space-time white noise,

$$\hat{\Pi}\,ar{\Xi}=\xi\;,\qquad \hat{\Pi}\,ar{\Xi}=0\;,\qquad \qquad \hat{\Pi}\,ar{\Xi}=0\;, \ \hat{\Pi}\,\mathcal{I}(ar{\Xi})\dot{ar{\Xi}}=0\;,\qquad \hat{\Pi}\,\mathcal{I}(ar{\Xi})\ddot{ar{\Xi}}=c_\eta\;,\qquad \hat{\Pi}\,\mathcal{I}(ar{\Xi})\ddot{ar{\Xi}}=c_\eta\;,$$

- The canonical model satisfies $\Pi^{\varepsilon} \equiv = \xi_{\varepsilon}$ and also $\mathbf{E} \left(\Pi^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} \right) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_{\eta}$.
- We define a renormalized model $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ by setting

$$\hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \mathcal{I}(\Xi) \dot{\Xi} - \frac{c_{\eta}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} , \qquad \hat{\Pi}_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau = \Pi_{z}^{\varepsilon} \tau$$

for all other basis vectors τ .

• The core result says

Theorem

The random models $\hat{\Pi}^{\varepsilon}$ converge weakly to a limiting admissible model $\hat{\Pi}$ such that with ξ = space-time white noise,

$$\hat{\Pi} \equiv = \xi$$
, $\hat{\Pi} \stackrel{.}{\equiv} = 0$, $\hat{\Pi} \stackrel{.}{\equiv} = 0$, $\hat{\Pi} \mathcal{I}(\equiv) \stackrel{.}{\equiv} = 0$, $\hat{\Pi} \mathcal{I}(\equiv) \stackrel{.}{\equiv} = c_n$, $\hat{\Pi} \mathcal{I}(\equiv) \stackrel{.}{\equiv} = c_n$

As a consequence

Corollary

The sequence v_{ε} converges weakly to the limit v given by the solution to

$$\partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \left(H + c_\eta G G'\right)'(\bar{u}) \ v + G(\bar{u}) \xi \ , \quad v(0,\cdot) = 0 \ .$$

 Among the various technical aspects which I have left under the rug is the treatment of the boundary condition, for which we need the very recent work of Màtè Derencsér and Martin Hairer. As a consequence

Corollary

The sequence v_{ε} converges weakly to the limit v given by the solution to

$$\partial_t v = \partial_x^2 v + \left(H + c_\eta G G'\right)'(\bar{u}) \ v + G(\bar{u}) \xi \ , \quad v(0,\cdot) = 0 \ .$$

 Among the various technical aspects which I have left under the rug is the treatment of the boundary condition, for which we need the very recent work of Màtè Derencsér and Martin Hairer.

d > 1

- After all, the above result makes sense in dimension d > 1. The following is work in progress.
- Consider in dimension d = 1, 2, 3 the SPDE

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \Delta u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) + H(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + G(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x))\eta_{\varepsilon}(t,x)$$

 $u_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = u_0(x), x \in D \quad u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = 0, x \in \partial D.$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} x),$$

and $\eta(t,x)$ is a stationary zero—mean generalized random field with "good" mixing properties.

d > 1

- After all, the above result makes sense in dimension d > 1. The following is work in progress.
- Consider in dimension d = 1, 2, 3 the SPDE

$$\partial_t u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \Delta u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) + H(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + G(u_{\varepsilon}(t,x))\eta_{\varepsilon}(t,x)$$

$$u_{\varepsilon}(0,x) = u_0(x), x \in D \quad u_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = 0, x \in \partial D.$$

where

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \varepsilon^{-1} \eta(\varepsilon^{-2} t, \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{x}),$$

and $\eta(t,x)$ is a stationary zero—mean generalized random field with "good" mixing properties.

Results in case 1 < d < 3

• Consider the following deterministic PDEs ($H_{\eta} = H + c_{\eta}GG'$)

$$\partial_t \overline{u}^0 = \Delta \overline{u}^0 + H_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0).$$

$$egin{aligned} \partial_t \overline{u}^1 &= \Delta \overline{u}^1 + \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0), \ \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0) &= c_3(G^2G'')(\overline{u}^0) + c_3'(G(G')^2)(\overline{u}^0) + G'(\overline{u}^0)^2 c_2^i \partial_i \overline{u}^0. \end{aligned}$$

- LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{u}^0$ in probability.
- CLT $\varepsilon^{-d/2}(u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}-\varepsilon\overline{u}^{1})\Rightarrow v$, where

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + H'_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0)v + G(\overline{u}^0)\xi,$$

where ξ is space—time white noise.

Results in case 1 < d < 3

• Consider the following deterministic PDEs ($H_{\eta} = H + c_{\eta}GG'$)

$$\partial_t \overline{u}^0 = \Delta \overline{u}^0 + H_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0).$$

$$egin{aligned} \partial_t \overline{u}^1 &= \Delta \overline{u}^1 + \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0), \ \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0) &= c_3(G^2 G'')(\overline{u}^0) + c_3'(G(G')^2)(\overline{u}^0) + G'(\overline{u}^0)^2 c_2^i \partial_i \overline{u}^0. \end{aligned}$$

- LLN $u_{arepsilon} o \overline{u}^0$ in probability.
- CLT $\varepsilon^{-d/2}(u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}-\varepsilon\overline{u}^{1})\Rightarrow v$, where

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + H'_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0)v + G(\overline{u}^0)\xi$$

where ξ is space—time white noise.

Results in case 1 < d < 3

• Consider the following deterministic PDEs ($H_{\eta} = H + c_{\eta}GG'$)

$$\partial_t \overline{u}^0 = \Delta \overline{u}^0 + H_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0).$$

$$egin{aligned} \partial_t \overline{u}^1 &= \Delta \overline{u}^1 + \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0), \ \Psi(\overline{u}^0,
abla \overline{u}^0) &= c_3(G^2G'')(\overline{u}^0) + c_3'(G(G')^2)(\overline{u}^0) + G'(\overline{u}^0)^2 c_2^j \partial_i \overline{u}^0. \end{aligned}$$

- LLN $u_{\varepsilon} \to \overline{u}^0$ in probability.
- CLT $\varepsilon^{-d/2}(u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}-\varepsilon\overline{u}^{1})\Rightarrow v$, where

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + H'_{\eta}(\overline{u}^0)v + G(\overline{u}^0)\xi,$$

where ξ is space—time white noise.

Recall from previous slide :

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^0 - \varepsilon \overline{u}^1}{\varepsilon^{d/2}} \Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 1, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Rightarrow v$$

• In case d = 2, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon}\Rightarrow \overline{u}^1+v.$$

• In case d=3, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0}}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \overline{u}^{1}$$

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0} - \varepsilon \overline{u}^{1}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \Rightarrow V.$$

Recall from previous slide :

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^0 - \varepsilon \overline{u}^1}{\varepsilon^{d/2}} \Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 1, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 2, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon}\Rightarrow \overline{u}^1+v.$$

• In case d = 3, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0}}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \overline{u}^{1}$$

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0} - \varepsilon \overline{u}^{1}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \Rightarrow v.$$

Recall from previous slide :

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}-\varepsilon\overline{u}^{1}}{\varepsilon^{d/2}}\Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 1, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 2, we have

$$\frac{\mathit{u}_{\varepsilon}-\overline{\mathit{u}}^{0}}{\varepsilon}\Rightarrow\overline{\mathit{u}}^{1}+\mathit{v}.$$

• In case d = 3, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0}}{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow \overline{u}^{1}$$

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon} - \overline{u}^{0} - \varepsilon \overline{u}^{1}}{\varepsilon^{3/2}} \Rightarrow v.$$

Recall from previous slide :

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}-\varepsilon\overline{u}^{1}}{\varepsilon^{d/2}}\Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 1, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^0}{\varepsilon^{1/2}}\Rightarrow v.$$

• In case d = 2, we have

$$\frac{u_{\varepsilon}-\overline{u}^{0}}{\varepsilon}\Rightarrow \overline{u}^{1}+v.$$

• In case d = 3, we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{\textit{\textit{u}}_{\varepsilon} - \overline{\textit{\textit{u}}}^{0}}{\textit{\varepsilon}} \Rightarrow \overline{\textit{\textit{u}}}^{1}, \\ \frac{\textit{\textit{u}}_{\varepsilon} - \overline{\textit{\textit{u}}}^{0} - \textit{\varepsilon}\overline{\textit{\textit{u}}}^{1}}{\textit{\varepsilon}^{3/2}} \Rightarrow \textit{\textit{v}}. \end{split}$$

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!