# NVFEM: a Galerkin method for (fully) nonlinear elliptic equations

#### Omar Lakkis

Mathematics — University of Sussex — Brighton, England

based on joint work

with Tristan Pryer (University of Reading, GB) and Ellya Kawecki (University of Sussex, GB)

> talk given 15 July 2014





## Outline

#### PDE Background: fully nonlinear elliptic PDE's

- History and competing approaches
  - Finite differences
  - Finite elements
- Iterative nonlinear solvers
  - Fixed point
  - Newton
  - Hessian recovery
  - A non-variational FEM (NVFEM) solver
- Convergence
- Experiments
- Conclusions



## Fully nonlinear elliptic PDE's Definition and notation

Given a real-valued nonlinear function F of matrices

 $(\mathsf{FNFun}) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{F}: \mathrm{Sym}\,(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}) \to \mathbb{R}.$ 



## Fully nonlinear elliptic PDE's Definition and notation

Given a real-valued nonlinear function F of matrices

(FNFun) 
$$F: Sym(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the equation

(FNE)  $\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] := F(D^2 \mathfrak{u}) - f = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ 



Given a real-valued nonlinear function F of matrices

(FNFun) 
$$F: Sym(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

Consider the equation

(FNE)  $\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] := F(D^2 \mathfrak{u}) - f = 0 \text{ and } \mathfrak{u}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ 

Conditional ellipticity condition, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{(NL-Ellip)} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \lambda(\boldsymbol{M}) \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \leq F(\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{N}) - F(\boldsymbol{M}) \leq \Lambda \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \\ \qquad \forall \, \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym} (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}), \boldsymbol{N} \in \operatorname{Sym} (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}). \end{array}$$

for some ellipticity domain  $\mathfrak{C}$  and "constants"  $\lambda(\cdot), \Lambda > 0$ .



## Fully nonlinear elliptic PDE's The ellipticity fauna

 $\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}]:=F(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u})-f=0$ 



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

## Fully nonlinear elliptic PDE's The ellipticity fauna

$$\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] := F(\mathrm{D}^2 \,\mathfrak{u}) - \mathsf{f} = \mathfrak{0}$$

#### • Conditionally elliptic

$$\begin{split} \exists \ \mathfrak{C} &\subseteq \operatorname{Sym} \left( \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \right), \lambda(\cdot), \Lambda > 0: \\ \lambda(\mathbf{M}) \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| = 1} |\mathbf{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| &\leq F(\mathbf{M} + \mathbf{N}) - F(\mathbf{M}) \leq \Lambda \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}| = 1} |\mathbf{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \\ &\forall \ \mathbf{M} \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym} \left( \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \right), \mathbf{N} \in \operatorname{Sym} \left( \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \right). \end{split}$$

- Unconditionally elliptic if  $\mathfrak{C} = Sym(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ .
- Uniformly elliptic  $\inf \lambda > 0$ .

## Characterisation of the ellipticity condition

in the smooth case

#### Ellipticity condition, i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{NL-Ellip}) \\ & \lambda(\boldsymbol{M}) \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \leq \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{N}) - \mathsf{F}(\boldsymbol{M}) \leq \Lambda \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \\ & \forall \, \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym} (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}), \boldsymbol{N} \in \operatorname{Sym} (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}). \end{array}$$

for some ellipticity "constants"  $\lambda(\cdot), \Lambda > 0$ .



## Characterisation of the ellipticity condition

in the smooth case

#### Ellipticity condition, i.e.,

(NL-Ellip) 
$$\begin{split} \lambda(\boldsymbol{M}) \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| &\leq F(\boldsymbol{M}+\boldsymbol{N}) - F(\boldsymbol{M}) \leq \Lambda \sup_{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=1} |\boldsymbol{N}\boldsymbol{\xi}| \\ &\forall \, \boldsymbol{M} \in \mathfrak{C} \subseteq \operatorname{Sym} \, (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}), \boldsymbol{N} \in \operatorname{Sym} \, (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d}). \end{split}$$

for some ellipticity "constants"  $\lambda(\cdot),\Lambda>0$ . If F is differentiable then (NL-Ellip) is satisfied if and only if for each  $M\in\mathfrak{C}$  there exists  $\mu>0$  such that

(9.1) 
$$\xi^{\mathsf{T}}\mathsf{F}'(\mathbf{M})\xi \ge \mu |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Furthermore  $\mathfrak{C} = \operatorname{Sym}(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$  and  $\mu$  is independent of M if and only if F is uniformly elliptic.

A classical fully nonlinear elliptic PDE

Boundary value problem

## (MAD) $\det D^2 u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$ $u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$

admits a unique solution in the cone of convex functions when  $f>0, \ensuremath{\mathsf{[Caffarelli}\ and \ Cabré, \ 1995]}$ 



A classical fully nonlinear elliptic PDE

Boundary value problem

(MAD) 
$$\det D^2 u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

admits a unique solution in the cone of convex functions when  $f>0, \ensuremath{[}^{\text{Caffarelli}\ and\ Cabré,\ 1995]}$ 

Derivative of nonlinear function  $F(\mathbf{X}) = \det \mathbf{X}$  yields

 $\mathsf{F}'(X) = \operatorname{Cof} X.$ 



A classical fully nonlinear elliptic PDE

Boundary value problem

(MAD) 
$$det D^2 u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

admits a unique solution in the cone of convex functions when  $f>0.^{\mbox{[Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995]}}$ 

Derivative of nonlinear function  $F(\mathbf{X}) = \det \mathbf{X}$  yields

$$F'(\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{Cof} \mathbf{X}.$$

Problem elliptic if and only if

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\intercal}\operatorname{Cof}\operatorname{D}^{2}\mathfrak{u}\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\geq\lambda\,|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{2}\quad \forall\,\boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathbb{R}^{d}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

A classical fully nonlinear elliptic PDE

Boundary value problem

(MAD) 
$$det D^2 u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$

admits a unique solution in the cone of convex functions when  $f>0.^{\mbox{[Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995]}}$ 

Derivative of nonlinear function  $\mathsf{F}(X)=\det X$  yields

 $F'(\mathbf{X}) = \operatorname{Cof} \mathbf{X}.$ 

Problem elliptic if and only if

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}^{\mathsf{T}}\operatorname{Cof}\operatorname{D}^{2}\mathfrak{u}\,\boldsymbol{\xi} \geq \lambda\,|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^{2} \quad \forall\,\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$$

for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

#### Conotonic constraint

Restriction on unknown functions u: they must be **globally either convex** or concave (conotonic).

Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

## A simple fully nonlinear elliptic PDE

Consider problem

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] &:= \sin\left(\Delta\mathfrak{u}\right) + 2\Delta\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{0} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \mathfrak{u} &= \mathfrak{0} \text{ on } \mathfrak{\partial}\Omega. \end{split}$$

Differentiating, we see that

$$D \mathfrak{N}[v]w = (\cos(\Delta v) + 2) \mathbf{I}: D^2 w = (\cos(\Delta v) + 2) \Delta w.$$

Hence problem uniformly elliptic.

The problem is for d = 2

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] \coloneqq (\mathfrak{d}_{11}\mathfrak{u})^3 + (\mathfrak{d}_{22}\mathfrak{u})^3 + \mathfrak{d}_{11}\mathfrak{u} + \mathfrak{d}_{22}\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{f} = 0 & \text{ in } \Omega \\ & \mathfrak{u} = 0 & \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Problem is uniformly elliptic since its differentiation gives:

$$\mathsf{F}'(\mathbf{X}) = \begin{bmatrix} 3x_{22}^2 + 1 & 0\\ 0 & 3x_{11}^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

Consider  $F: \mathrm{Sym}\,(\mathbb{R}^{d\times d}) \to \mathbb{R}$  to be the extremal function

$$(\mathsf{Pucci}) \qquad \qquad \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{N}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \lambda_i(\mathbf{N}) \text{ where } \lambda_i(\mathbf{N}) \text{ eigenvalues of } \mathbf{N}$$
 for some given  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Special case when d = 2,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha \ge 1$  and  $\alpha_2 = 1$  yields equation

$$\left(\mathbb{R}^2 \text{ Pucci}\right) \qquad \mathfrak{0} = \left(\alpha + 1\right) \Delta \mathfrak{u} + \left(\alpha - 1\right) \left(\left(\Delta \mathfrak{u}\right)^2 - 4 \det \mathrm{D}^2 \mathfrak{u}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The problem is unconditionally elliptic.

See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification. • Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0.$ 



See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification.

- Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0$ .
  - **Bellman type:** Isaacs with only one  $\beta$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  no inf). Related to Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman, stochastic control and differential game theory.

See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification.

- Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0$ .
  - **Bellman type:** Isaacs with only one  $\beta$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  no inf). Related to Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman, stochastic control and differential game theory.
    - △ Isaacs form is very general: "non-algebraic" and harder to treat numerically. We don't, yet. <sup>[Jensen and Smears, 2012; Lio and Ley, 2010, e.g.]</sup>.

See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification.

- Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0$ .

△ Isaacs form is very general: "non-algebraic" and harder to treat numerically. We don't, yet.<sup>[Jensen and Smears, 2012; Lio and Ley, 2010, e.g.]</sup>.

 Hessian invariants (algebraic): Monge–Ampère, Pucci, Laplace (!). Subdivided into unconeditionally elliptic (Pucci, Laplace) and coneditionally elliptic (Monge–Ampère).

See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification.

- Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0$ .
  - **Bellman type:** Isaacs with only one  $\beta$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  no inf). Related to Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman, stochastic control and differential game theory.

△ Isaacs form is very general: "non-algebraic" and harder to treat numerically. We don't, yet.<sup>[Jensen and Smears, 2012; Lio and Ley, 2010, e.g.]</sup>.

- Hessian invariants (algebraic): Monge–Ampère, Pucci, Laplace (!). Subdivided into unconeditionally elliptic (Pucci, Laplace) and coneditionally elliptic (Monge–Ampère).
- Other algebraic FNE's (Krylov, algebraic nonlinearities, etc.)

See Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 for a more systematic classification.

- Isaacs form:  $\inf_{\beta} \sup_{\alpha} L_{\alpha\beta} u = 0.$ 
  - **Bellman type:** Isaacs with only one  $\beta$  ( $\Leftrightarrow$  no inf). Related to Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman, stochastic control and differential game theory.

△ Isaacs form is very general: "non-algebraic" and harder to treat numerically. We don't, yet.<sup>[Jensen and Smears, 2012; Lio and Ley, 2010, e.g.]</sup>.

- Hessian invariants (algebraic): Monge–Ampère, Pucci, Laplace (!). Subdivided into unconeditionally elliptic (Pucci, Laplace) and coneditionally elliptic (Monge–Ampère).
- Other algebraic FNE's (Krylov, algebraic nonlinearities, etc.)
- Aronson equations and infinite-harmonic functions, nicely reviewed in Barron, Evans, and Jensen, 2008. (These aren't proper FNE's, as they are quasilinear, nevertheless, Hessian recovery applies well.) us

 $\, \circ \,$  consider densities f and  $\, g \geq 0 \,$ 



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

- consider densities f and  $g \ge 0$
- ${\, \circ \,}$  supports  $\operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega$  and  $\operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon$  convex

- consider densities f and  $g \ge 0$
- ${\, \circ \,}$  supports  $\operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega$  and  $\operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon$  convex
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

118

11 / 37

- consider densities f and  $g \ge 0$
- ${\, \circ \,}$  supports  $\operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega$  and  $\operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon$  convex
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

118

11 / 37

- consider densities f and  $g \ge 0$
- $\bullet \mbox{ supports } \operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega \mbox{ and } \operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon \mbox{ convex}$
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

Look for  $\psi:\Omega\to \Upsilon$  that transports the mass density f into the mass density g.

- ${\ \circ \ }$  consider densities f and  $g\geq 0$
- ${\, \circ \,}$  supports  $\operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega$  and  $\operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon$  convex
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

Look for  $\psi:\Omega\to \Upsilon$  that transports the mass density f into the mass density g.

Mass conservation:

(28.1) 
$$\int_A f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{x} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\psi}(A)} g(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{y} \quad \forall \, A \text{ (Borel) } \subseteq \Omega.$$

US University of Sussex Dependent of Montenant

- ${\ \circ \ }$  consider densities f and  $g\geq 0$
- ${\, \circ \,}$  supports  $\operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega$  and  $\operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon$  convex
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

Look for  $\psi:\Omega\to \Upsilon$  that transports the mass density f into the mass density g.

Mass conservation:

$$(29.1) \qquad \int_A f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{x} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\psi}(A)} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{y} \quad \forall \, A \, \left(\mathsf{Borel}\right) \, \subseteq \Omega.$$

Then

(29.2) 
$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\psi}(A)} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{y} = \int_{A} g(\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})) \left| \det \mathrm{D}\, \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right| \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{x}.$$

- ${\, \circ \,}$  consider densities f and  $g \geq 0$
- $\circ \mbox{ supports } \operatorname{spt} f \eqqcolon \Omega \mbox{ and } \operatorname{spt} g \eqqcolon \Upsilon \mbox{ convex}$
- f, g > 0 on  $int \Omega$  and  $int \Upsilon$ .

Look for  $\psi:\Omega\to \Upsilon$  that transports the mass density f into the mass density g.

Mass conservation:

$$(30.1) \qquad \int_A f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{x} = \int_{\boldsymbol{\psi}(A)} g(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \mathbf{y} \quad \forall \, A \, \left(\mathsf{Borel}\right) \, \subseteq \Omega.$$

Then

(30.2) 
$$\int_{\boldsymbol{\psi}(A)} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{y} = \int_{A} g(\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x})) \left| \mathrm{det}\, \mathrm{D}\, \boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \right| \, \mathrm{d}\, \boldsymbol{x}.$$

Hence

(30.3)  $g(\psi(\mathbf{x})) |\det D \psi(\mathbf{x})| = f(\mathbf{x}) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega.$ 



Following Caffarelli, 1990a; Caffarelli, 1990b,c; Caffarelli and Cabré, 1995 Evans, 2001 Urbas, 1997 under convexity and regularity assumptions, the Monge-Ampere equation

$$\det \mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathsf{k}(\mathbf{x},\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{x}),\nabla\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{x}))$$

coupled to the second boundary condition second boundary condition

(31.1) 
$$\nabla \mathfrak{u}(\Omega) = \Upsilon,$$

provides a solution to the Monge problem and the right-hand side

(31.2) 
$$\frac{f(x)}{g(\nabla u(x))}$$

## Finite difference approaches

- <sup>①</sup> Earliest known provided approximations of the Monge–Ampère (and other equations) by Oliker and Prussner, 1988.
- <sup>(2)</sup> Kuo and Trudinger, 1992 gave mostly theoretical work introduced the concept of wide stencils and proving convergence for wide enough stencils.
- ③ Benamou and Brenier, 2000 proposed an approach based on the Brenier-solution concept related to fluid-dynamics and mass-trasportation.
- Oberman, 2008 introduced more practically effective work working out the details, proiding a bound on the wide stencil's width. See also Froese, 2011 and Benamou, Froese, and Oberman, 2012 for second boundary conditions.

## Galerkin (mainly finite element) methods I

• Dean and Glowinski, 2006 (and earlier work) introduced a FE least square method to solve Monge–Ampère equation.

## Galerkin (mainly finite element) methods II

- Awanou, 2011 uses a pseudo time [sic] approach.
- Jensen and Smears, 2012 provide and analyze a FEM for a special class of Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman equation. Further work in Smears and Süli, 2013, 2014 for a DGFEM approach.

## A fixed-point solution

#### Nonlinear PDE

$$\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] := F(\mathrm{D}^2 \,\mathfrak{u}) - f = \mathfrak{0}$$

can be rewritten as follows

$$\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] = \left[\int_0^1 \mathsf{F}'(\mathsf{t}\,\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u})\,\mathrm{d}\,\mathsf{t}\right]:\!\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u} + \mathsf{F}(\mathfrak{0}) - \mathsf{f} = \mathfrak{0}.$$

Define

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{N}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathbf{u}) &:= \int_0^1 \mathsf{F}'(\operatorname{t} \mathrm{D}^2\,\mathbf{u}) \,\mathrm{d}\,\mathbf{t}, \\ g &:= \mathsf{f} - \mathsf{F}(\mathbf{0}), \end{split}$$

then if u solves (FNE), it also solves

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}):\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}=\mathfrak{g}.$$

Fixed point iteration: given  $u^0$  find

$$N(D^2 u^n):D^2 u^{n+1} = g$$
, for  $n = 1, 2, ...$ 

Note that solving

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n):\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^{n+1}=g$$

involves a linear elliptic equation in non-divergence form.

Big fat note

Standard variational FEM's do not apply.



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)
### Newton's method

Given an initial guess  $u^0$ , let

$$\mathrm{D}\,\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}^n]\left(\mathfrak{u}^{n+1}-\mathfrak{u}^n\right)=-\mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}^n], \ \text{for} \ n=0,1,2,\ldots,$$

where

$$D \mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}]\nu = F'(D^2 \mathfrak{u}) : D^2 \nu.$$

l.e.,

$$\mathsf{F}'(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n):\mathrm{D}^2\left(\mathfrak{u}^{n+1}-\mathfrak{u}^n\right)=\mathsf{f}-\mathsf{F}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n).$$

#### Big fat note (repeated)

Equation in nondivergence form, standard FEM's will not apply.



#### The need for Hessian recovery Detailed in Lakkis and Pryer, 2013

Fixed point iteration

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n):\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^{n+1}=g$$

and Newton's iteration

$$\mathsf{F}'(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n):\mathrm{D}^2\left(\mathfrak{u}^{n+1}-\mathfrak{u}^n\right)=\mathsf{f}-\mathsf{F}(\mathrm{D}^2\,\mathfrak{u}^n).$$

besides being nonvariational, like fixed-point, requires the suitable approximation of a Hessian's function.

#### Big fat note (a variation)

Hence the use of the **recovered Hessian** introduced by Lakkis and Pryer, 2011.

### Hessian recovery

Introduce Galerkin finite element spaces

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}_h &:= \left\{ \Phi \in \mathrm{H}^1(\Omega): \ \Phi|_K \in \mathbb{P}^p \ \forall \ K \in \mathfrak{T} \ \text{and} \ \Phi \in \mathrm{C}^0(\Omega) \right\}, \\ \mathbb{V}_0 &:= \mathbb{V} \cap \mathrm{H}^1_0(\Omega), \end{split}$$

#### Unbalanced mixed problem:

Find  $(\boldsymbol{U},\boldsymbol{H})\in\mathbb{V}_{0}\times\mathbb{V}^{d\times d}$  satisfying

$$\begin{split} \langle \mathbf{H}, \Phi \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \nabla \mathbf{U} \otimes \nabla \Phi - \int_{\partial \Omega} \nabla \mathbf{U} \otimes \mathbf{n} \ \Phi = \mathbf{0} \\ \langle \mathbf{A} : \mathbf{H}, \Psi \rangle = \langle \mathbf{f}, \Psi \rangle \quad \forall \ (\Phi, \Psi) \in \mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}_{\mathbf{0}}. \end{split}$$

Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

discretization are often possible (e.g., when the nonlinearity is algebraic in the Hessian):



# Convergence analysis

Available for the linear nondivergence case so far

A priori estimates for the error

$$\left\| \mathbf{A} : (\mathbf{D}^2 \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{u}_h]) \right\|_{\mathbf{H}^{-1}(\Omega)}$$

#### A posteriori error estimate for the error

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{u}-\boldsymbol{u}_{h}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\leq\sum_{K\in\mathfrak{T}}\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{K}^{2}\|\boldsymbol{f}-\boldsymbol{A}{:}\mathrm{D}^{2}\,\boldsymbol{U}\|_{L_{2}(K)}^{2}+\boldsymbol{h}_{K}\,\|\boldsymbol{A}{:}[\![\nabla\boldsymbol{U}\otimes]\!]\|_{L_{2}(\vartheta K)}^{2}\right)$$

where the tensor jump of a field v across an edge  $E = \overline{K} \cap \overline{K'}$  is given by

$$\llbracket \mathbf{\nu} \otimes \rrbracket_{\mathsf{E}} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left( \mathbf{\nu} (\mathbf{x} + \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{K}}) \otimes \mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{K}} + \mathbf{\nu} (\mathbf{x} - \varepsilon \mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{K}'}) \otimes \mathbf{n}_{\mathsf{K}'} \right)$$

Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

### A nonlinear function of $\Delta u$

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{N}[\mathfrak{u}] &:= \sin\left(\Delta\mathfrak{u}\right) + 2\Delta\mathfrak{u} - \mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{0} \text{ in } \Omega, \\ \mathfrak{u} &= \mathfrak{0} \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{split}$$

#### P1 elements (left) and P2 elements (right)



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

Durham 2014-07-15 23 / 37

# Krylov's equation

#### P1 elements (left) and P2 elements (right)





118

Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

### Pucci's equation

$$0 = (\alpha + 1) \Delta u + (\alpha - 1) \left( (\Delta u)^2 - 4 \det D^2 u \right)^{1/2}$$

$$\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha = 2$$
 (left) and  $\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha = 5$  (right)



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

Durham 2014-07-15 25 / 37

### Some MAD stuff reminder: MAD = Monge-Ampère-Dirichlet

FE-convexity check inspired from Aguilera and Morin, 2009.

#### Exact solution and EOC's for $\mathbb{P}^2$ elements (suboptimal for $\mathbb{P}^1$ )



Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

Durham 2014-07-15 26 / 37

### Some MAD stuff reminder: MAD = Monge-Ampère-Dirichlet

FE-convexity check inspired from Aguilera and Morin, 2009.

#### principal minor and determinant instances





Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

### Nonclassical solutions

Viscosity or Alexandrov

# Singular solution $u(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|^{2\alpha}$





Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

### Nonclassical solutions

Viscosity or Alexandrov

#### More singular, $\alpha = 0.6$ , $\alpha = 0.55$ ,...





Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

#### Adaptive approximation of nonclassical solutions Viscosity or Alexandrov

# Singular solution $u(\mathbf{x}) = |\mathbf{x}|^{1.1}$ (empirical ZZ-estimators)







Omar Lakkis (Sussex, GB)

NVFEM for fully nonlinear equations

Durham 2014-07-15 28 / 37

• Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.



- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.

118

29 / 37

- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.
- A posteriori error esimates for very weak norms in the linear problem, provide an elementary way to do adaptivity.

- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.
- A posteriori error esimates for very weak norms in the linear problem, provide an elementary way to do adaptivity.
- In progress: prove apriori convergence for stronger norms in linear problems.

- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.
- A posteriori error esimates for very weak norms in the linear problem, provide an elementary way to do adaptivity.
- In progress: prove apriori convergence for stronger norms in linear problems.
- In progress: embed second boundary condition ( $\nabla u(\Omega) = \Upsilon$  with prescribed  $\Upsilon$ ). (This was achieved for wide-stencils but on structured grids by Benamou, Froese, and Oberman, 2012.)

- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.
- A posteriori error esimates for very weak norms in the linear problem, provide an elementary way to do adaptivity.
- In progress: prove apriori convergence for stronger norms in linear problems.
- In progress: embed second boundary condition ( $\nabla u(\Omega) = \Upsilon$  with prescribed  $\Upsilon$ ). (This was achieved for wide-stencils but on structured grids by Benamou, Froese, and Oberman, 2012.)
- Open problem: prove conservation of conotonicity for MAD/MAS.

- Obtained and tested a practical and "easy" Netwon scheme based on nonvariational FEM (NVFEM) via Hessian recovery.
- Convergence rates optimal in all examples.
- A posteriori error esimates for very weak norms in the linear problem, provide an elementary way to do adaptivity.
- In progress: prove apriori convergence for stronger norms in linear problems.
- In progress: embed second boundary condition ( $\nabla u(\Omega) = \Upsilon$  with prescribed  $\Upsilon$ ). (This was achieved for wide-stencils but on structured grids by Benamou, Froese, and Oberman, 2012.)
- Open problem: prove conservation of conotonicity for MAD/MAS.
- Open problem: apriori and aposteriori analysis for nonlinear problem.

Aguilera, Néstor E. and Pedro Morin (2009). "On convex functions and the finite element method". In: SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 47.4, pp. 3139–3157. ISSN: 0036-1429. DOI: 10.1137/080720917. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/080720917.

Awanou, Gerard (2011). Pseudo time continuation and time marching methods for Monge-Ampère type equations. online preprint. URL: http://www.math.niu.edu/~awanou/MongePseudo05.pdf.
Barron, E. N., L. C. Evans, and R. Jensen (2008). "The infinity Laplacian, Aronsson's equation and their generalizations". In: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 360.1, pp. 77–101. ISSN: 0002-9947. DOI: 10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04338-3. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-07-04338-3.

# References II

Benamou, Jean-David and Yann Brenier (2000). "A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem".
In: Numer. Math. 84.3, pp. 375–393. ISSN: 0029-599X. DOI: 10.1007/s002110050002. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002110050002.

- Benamou, Jean-David, Brittany D. Froese, and Adam M. Oberman (Aug. 2012). A viscosity solution approach to the Monge-Ampere formulation of the Optimal Transportation Problem. Tech. rep. eprint: 1208.4873. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1208.4873.
- Böhmer, Klaus (2010). Numerical methods for nonlinear elliptic differential equations. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. A synopsis. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. xxviii–746. ISBN: 978-0-19-957704-0.

Brenner, Susanne C. et al. (2011). "C<sup>1</sup> penalty methods for the fully nonlinear Monge-Ampère equation". In: Math. Comp. 80, pp. 1979–1995. DOI: 10.1090/S0025-5718-2011-02487-7.



# References III

Caffarelli, L. A. (1990a). "A localization property of viscosity solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation and their strict convexity". In: Ann. of Math. (2) 131.1, pp. 129–134. ISSN: 0003-486X. DOI: 10.2307/1971509. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1971509. Caffarelli, Luis A. (1990b). "Interior regularity of solutions to Monge-Ampère equations". In: Harmonic analysis and partial differential equations (Boca Raton, FL, 1988). Vol. 107. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, pp. 13-17. DOI: 10.1090/conm/107/1066467. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/107/1066467. - (1990c). "Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation". In: Ann. of Math. (2) 131.1, pp. 135–150. ISSN: 0003-486X.

DOI: 10.2307/1971510. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1971510.

### References IV

Caffarelli, Luis A. and Xavier Cabré (1995). Fully nonlinear elliptic equations. Vol. 43. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, pp. vi+104. ISBN: 0-8218-0437-5.

Davydov, Oleg and Abid Saeed (2013). "Numerical solution of fully nonlinear elliptic equations by Böhmer's method". In: J. Comput. Appl. Math. 254, pp. 43–54. ISSN: 0377-0427. DOI:

10.1016/j.cam.2013.03.009. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2013.03.009.

Dean, Edward J. and Roland Glowinski (2006). "Numerical methods for fully nonlinear elliptic equations of the Monge-Ampère type". In: Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 195.13-16, pp. 1344–1386. ISSN: 0045-7825. DOI: 10.1016/j.cma.2005.05.023. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2005.05.023.

### References V

Evans, Lawrence C. (2001). Partial Differential Equations and Monge-Kantorovich Mass Transfer. online lecture notes. University of California, Berkley, CA USA. URL: http:

//math.berkeley.edu/~evans/Monge-Kantorovich.survey.pdf. Feng, Xiaobing and Michael Neilan (2009). "Vanishing moment method and moment solutions for fully nonlinear second order partial differential equations". In: J. Sci. Comput. 38.1, pp. 74–98. ISSN: 0885-7474. DOI: 10.1007/s10915-008-9221-9. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10915-008-9221-9.

Froese, Brittany D. (Jan. 2011). A numerical method for the elliptic Monge-Ampère equation with transport boundary conditions. Tech. rep. eprint: 1101.4981v1. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1101.4981v1.
Jensen, Max and Iain Smears (Jan. 2012). Finite Element Methods with Artificial Diffusion for Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations. Tech. rep. eprint: 1201.3581v2. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1201.3581v2us

### References VI

- Kuo, Hung Ju and Neil S. Trudinger (1992). "Discrete methods for fully nonlinear elliptic equations". In: SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 29.1, pp. 123–135. ISSN: 0036-1429. DOI: 10.1137/0729008. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0729008.
- Lakkis, O. and T. Pryer (2013). "A finite element method for nonlinear elliptic problems". In: SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing 35.4, A2025–A2045. DOI: 10.1137/120887655. eprint:
  - http://epubs.siam.org/doi/pdf/10.1137/120887655.URL: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/120887655.
- Lakkis, Omar and Tristan Pryer (2011). "A finite element method for second order nonvariational elliptic problems". In: SIAM J. Sci. Comput. 33.2, pp. 786–801. ISSN: 1064-8275. DOI: 10.1137/100787672. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/100787672.

# References VII

- Lio, Francesca Da and Olivier Ley (Feb. 2010). Uniqueness Results for Second Order Bellman-Isaacs Equations under Quadratic Growth Assumptions and Applications. Tech. rep. eprint: 1002.2373v1. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1002.2373v1. Neilan, Michael J. (2012). Finite element methods for fully nonlinear second order PDEs based on the discrete Hessian. online preprint. University of Pittsburgh. URL: https: //dl.dropbox.com/u/48847074/Publications/MA\_Mixed.pdf. Oberman, Adam M. (2008). "Wide stencil finite difference schemes for the elliptic Monge-Ampère equation and functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian". In: Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B 10.1, pp. 221–238. ISSN: 1531-3492, DOI: 10.3934/dcdsb.2008.10.221, URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.3934/dcdsb.2008.10.221. Oliker, V. I. and L. D. Prussner (1988). "On the numerical solution of the
  - equation  $\partial_x^2 z \partial_y^2 z \partial^2 z_x y$  and its discretizations, I". In: Numerische Mathematik 54.3, pp. 271–293.

# References VIII

Smears, Iain and Endre Süli (2013). "Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of nondivergence form elliptic equations with Cordès coefficients". In: SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 51.4, pp. 2088–2106. ISSN: 0036-1429. DOI: 10.1137/120899613. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/120899613.

 - (2014). "Discontinuous Galerkin finite element approximation of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equations with Cordes coefficients". In: SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 52.2, pp. 993–1016. ISSN: 0036-1429. DOI: 10.1137/130909536. URL:

http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/130909536.

Urbas, John (1997). "On the second boundary value problem for equations of Monge-Ampère type". In: J. Reine Angew. Math. 487, pp. 115–124. ISSN: 0075-4102. DOI: 10.1515/crll.1997.487.115. URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/crll.1997.487.115.