Diophantine Approximation

Sanju Velani

Department of Mathematics University of York

19th December 2013

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

$$|x-p/q|<\epsilon.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

$$|x-p/q|<\epsilon$$
.

UPSHOT: any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by a rational with any assigned degree of accuracy.

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

 $|x-p/q|<\epsilon.$

UPSHOT: any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by a rational with any assigned degree of accuracy. But how rapidly can we approximate to x? More precisely

 Given x ∈ ℝ and q ∈ ℕ, how small can we make ε? Trivially we can take ε = 1/q.

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

 $|x-p/q|<\epsilon.$

UPSHOT: any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by a rational with any assigned degree of accuracy. But how rapidly can we approximate to x? More precisely

 Given x ∈ ℝ and q ∈ ℕ, how small can we make ε? Trivially we can take ε = 1/q.

In the case of $\pi = 3.142...$, the following rationals all lie within $1/(\text{denominator})^2$ of π :

$$\frac{3}{1}, \frac{22}{7}, \frac{333}{106}, \frac{355}{113}, \frac{103993}{33102}.$$

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

$$|x-p/q|<\epsilon$$
 .

• Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, how small can we make ϵ ?

The answer is given by Dirchlet's fundamental theorem from 1848 – a simple consequence of the powerful *Pigeon Hole Principle*:

For any x ∈ ℝ and ε > 0 there exists a rational p/q (q > 0) such that

$$|x-p/q|<\epsilon$$
 .

• Given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, how small can we make ϵ ?

The answer is given by Dirchlet's fundamental theorem from 1848 – a simple consequence of the powerful *Pigeon Hole Principle*:

If n objects are placed in m boxes and n > m, then some box will contain at least two objects.

Theorem (1848) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and integer $N \ge 1$, there exists a rational p/q such that

 $|x-p/q| \le 1/qN$ and $1 \le q \le N$.

Theorem (1848) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and integer $N \ge 1$, there exists a rational p/q such that

 $|x-p/q| \le 1/qN$ and $1 \le q \le N$.

Dirichlet's Theorem

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist infinitely many integers p, q > 0 such that

$$|x-p/q| \le 1/q^2.$$

Theorem (1848) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and integer $N \ge 1$, there exists a rational p/q such that

 $|x-p/q| \le 1/qN$ and $1 \le q \le N$.

Dirichlet's Theorem

For any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist infinitely many integers p, q > 0 such that

$$|x-p/q| \le 1/q^2.$$

The theory of continued fraction provides a simple mechanism for finding these good 'Dirichlet' rational approximates.

From now on assume that $x \in I := [0, 1]$.

From now on assume that $x \in I := [0, 1]$.

Suppose $x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, ...]$ is irrational and consider it's *n*-th convergent:

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n}:=[a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n].$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

From now on assume that $x \in I := [0, 1]$.

Suppose $x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, ...]$ is irrational and consider it's *n*-th convergent:

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n}:=[a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n].$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{(a_{n+1}+2)q_n^2} \leq |x-\frac{p_n}{q_n}| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1}q_n^2}.$$

UPSHOT: the convergents provide explicit solutions to Dirichlet.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

From now on assume that $x \in I := [0, 1]$.

Suppose $x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, ...]$ is irrational and consider it's *n*-th convergent:

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n}:=[a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n].$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{(a_{n+1}+2)q_n^2} \leq |x-\frac{p_n}{q_n}| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1}q_n^2}$$

UPSHOT: the convergents provide explicit solutions to Dirichlet.

Regarding $\pi = 3.142...$ the rationals

$$\frac{3}{1}, \ \frac{22}{7}, \ \frac{333}{106}, \ \frac{355}{113}, \ \frac{103993}{33102}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

are the first 5 convergents of π .

From now on assume that $x \in I := [0, 1]$.

Suppose $x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, ...]$ is irrational and consider it's *n*-th convergent:

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n}:=[a_1,a_2,a_3,\ldots,a_n].$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{(a_{n+1}+2)q_n^2} \leq |x-\frac{p_n}{q_n}| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1}q_n^2}.$$

UPSHOT: the convergents provide explicit solutions to Dirichlet.

Regarding $\pi = 3.142...$ the rationals

$$\frac{3}{1}, \ \frac{22}{7}, \ \frac{333}{106}, \ \frac{355}{113}, \ \frac{103993}{33102}$$

are the first 5 convergents of π . Thus, for sure

$$|\pi - \frac{103993}{33102}| \le (33102)^{-2} < 9.1 \times 10^{-10}$$
.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $||x|| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Recall I := [0, 1]. **Dirichlet's Theorem** For any $x \in I$, there exist infinitely many integers q > 0 such that

$$q \|qx\| \le 1. \tag{1}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $||x|| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Recall I := [0, 1]. **Dirichlet's Theorem** For any $x \in I$, there exist infinitely many integers q > 0 such that

$$q \|qx\| \le 1. \tag{1}$$

Question. Can we replace r.h.s. of (1) by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$? In other words, for any x is it true that $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q ||qx|| = 0$?

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $||x|| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Recall I := [0, 1]. **Dirichlet's Theorem** For any $x \in I$, there exist infinitely many integers q > 0 such that

$$q \|qx\| \le 1. \tag{1}$$

Question. Can we replace r.h.s. of (1) by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$? In other words, for any x is it true that $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q ||qx|| = 0$? **No.** $q ||qx|| \le \epsilon = 1/\sqrt{5}$ is best possible (Hurwitz (1891))

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $||x|| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Recall I := [0, 1]. **Dirichlet's Theorem** For any $x \in I$, there exist infinitely many integers q > 0 such that

$$q \|qx\| \le 1. \tag{1}$$

Question. Can we replace r.h.s. of (1) by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$? In other words, for any x is it true that $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q ||qx|| = 0$? **No.** $q ||qx|| \le \epsilon = 1/\sqrt{5}$ is best possible (Hurwitz (1891))

UPSHOT: there exist numbers for which we can not improve Dirichlet by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0 - badly$ approximable numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists c(x) > 0 \ s.t. \ q \, \|qx\| > c(x) \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{N} \} \\ & \text{i.e.} \ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \quad \text{if} \ \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let $||x|| := \min\{|x - m| : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Recall I := [0, 1]. **Dirichlet's Theorem** For any $x \in I$, there exist infinitely many integers q > 0 such that

$$q \|qx\| \le 1. \tag{1}$$

ж.

Question. Can we replace r.h.s. of (1) by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$? In other words, for any x is it true that $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q ||qx|| = 0$? **No.** $q ||qx|| \le \epsilon = 1/\sqrt{5}$ is best possible (Hurwitz (1891))

UPSHOT: there exist numbers for which we can not improve Dirichlet by arbitrary $\epsilon > 0 - badly$ approximable numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \exists c(x) > 0 \ s.t. \ q \, \|qx\| > c(x) \quad \forall \ q \in \mathbb{N} \} \ . \\ & \text{ i.e. } x \in \mathbf{Bad} \ \text{ if } \ \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Bad has a lovely characterization via continued fractions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0 \} \ . \\ \text{Let } x &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Bad} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ & \text{Let } x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ & x \in \textbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

• quadratic irrationals are in Bad

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Bad} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ & \text{Let } x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ & x \in \textbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$\begin{aligned} & \textbf{Bad} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ & \text{Let } x = [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ & x \in \textbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• Marshall Hall: $Bad_4 + Bad_4 = \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ \text{Let } x &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{split}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad
- Marshall Hall: $Bad_4 + Bad_4 = \mathbb{R}$
- Zaremba Conjecture (1971) on expansion of rationals p/q

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ \text{Let } x &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad
- Marshall Hall: $Bad_4 + Bad_4 = \mathbb{R}$
- Zaremba Conjecture (1971) on expansion of rationals p/q

Regarding the 'size' of Bad:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ \text{Let } x &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad
- Marshall Hall: $\mathbf{Bad}_4 + \mathbf{Bad}_4 = \mathbb{R}$
- Zaremba Conjecture (1971) on expansion of rationals p/q

Regarding the 'size' of Bad:

- m(Bad) = 0 Lebesgue measure zero (Khintchine (1924))
- dim **Bad** = 1 full dimension (Jarnik (1928))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Bad} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \liminf_{q \to \infty} q \|qx\| > 0\} \ . \\ \text{Let } x &= [a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots] \text{ be irrational. Then} \\ x \in \mathbf{Bad} \iff \exists M = M(x) \text{ such that } a_i \leq M \ \forall i \end{aligned}$$

- quadratic irrationals are in Bad
- Folklore Conjecture: Cubic irrationals are not in Bad
- Marshall Hall: $\mathbf{Bad}_4 + \mathbf{Bad}_4 = \mathbb{R}$
- Zaremba Conjecture (1971) on expansion of rationals p/q

Regarding the 'size' of **Bad**:

- m(Bad) = 0 Lebesgue measure zero (Khintchine (1924))
- dim **Bad** = 1 full dimension (Jarnik (1928))

Measure theoretically we can improve on Dirichlet by a log factora

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function and let

 $W(\psi) := \{x \in \mathrm{I} : \|qx\| \le \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function and let

 $W(\psi) := \{x \in \mathrm{I} : \|qx\| \le \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Khintchine's Theorem (1924) If ψ is monotonic, then

$$m(W(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) < \infty , \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) = \infty . \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function and let

 $W(\psi) := \{x \in \mathrm{I} : \|qx\| \le \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Khintchine's Theorem (1924) If ψ is monotonic, then

$$m(W(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) < \infty , \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) = \infty . \end{cases}$$

- Convergence part easy Borel-Cantelli Lemma
- Divergence part hard requires independence

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function and let

 $W(\psi) := \{x \in \mathrm{I} : \|qx\| \le \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Khintchine's Theorem (1924) If ψ is monotonic, then

$$m(W(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) < \infty , \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) = \infty . \end{cases}$$

- Convergence part easy Borel-Cantelli Lemma
- Divergence part hard requires independence

• Put $\psi(q) = \frac{1}{q \log q}$. Divergent part implies that for almost all x there exist infinitely many q > 0 such that $q ||qx|| \le 1/\log q$.

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function and let

 $W(\psi) := \{x \in \mathrm{I} : \|qx\| \le \psi(q) \text{ for infinitely many } q \in \mathbb{N}\}$

Khintchine's Theorem (1924) If ψ is monotonic, then

$$m(W(\psi)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) < \infty , \\ \\ 1 & \text{if } \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) = \infty . \end{cases}$$

- Convergence part easy Borel-Cantelli Lemma
- Divergence part hard requires independence

• Put $\psi(q) = \frac{1}{q \log q}$. Divergent part implies that for almost all x there exist infinitely many q > 0 such that $q ||qx|| \le 1/\log q$.

• Removing monotonicity from Khintchine is a key open problem in metric number theory – the Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture (1941).

Idea is to keep using the same rational; i.e. p/q, 2p/2q,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Idea is to keep using the same rational; i.e. p/q, 2p/2q,

Overcome this by insisting that p, q are co-prime: let $W'(\psi)$ be the set of $x \in I$ such that $|qx - p| \le \psi(q)$ for infinitely many p/q with (p, q) = 1.

Idea is to keep using the same rational; i.e. p/q, 2p/2q,

Overcome this by insisting that p, q are co-prime: let $W'(\psi)$ be the set of $x \in I$ such that $|qx - p| \le \psi(q)$ for infinitely many p/q with (p, q) = 1.

The Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture (1941) Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function. Then

$$m(W'(\psi)) = 1$$
 if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(q)\phi(q)}{q} = \infty$.

Idea is to keep using the same rational; i.e. p/q, 2p/2q,

Overcome this by insisting that p, q are co-prime: let $W'(\psi)$ be the set of $x \in I$ such that $|qx - p| \le \psi(q)$ for infinitely many p/q with (p, q) = 1.

The Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture (1941) Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a real positive function. Then

$$m(W'(\psi)) = 1$$
 if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(q)\phi(q)}{q} = \infty$.

True with extra divergence (Beresnevich-Harman-Haynes-V, 2013):

$$\sum_{q=16}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(q)\psi(q)}{q \exp(c(\log\log q))(\log\log\log q))} = \infty.$$

Littlewood's Conjecture

Dirichlet \implies For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2 := [0, 1]$ there exist infinitely many q > 0 such that $q ||q\alpha|| ||q\beta|| \le 1$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Littlewood's Conjecture

Dirichlet \implies For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2 := [0, 1]$ there exist infinitely many q > 0 such that $q ||q\alpha|| ||q\beta|| \le 1$.

• Littlewood Conjecture (c. 1930): For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2$

 $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q \|q\alpha\| \|q\beta\| = 0.$

Littlewood's Conjecture

Dirichlet \implies For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2 := [0, 1]$ there exist infinitely many q > 0 such that $q ||q\alpha|| ||q\beta|| \le 1$.

• Littlewood Conjecture (c. 1930): For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2$

 $\liminf_{q\to\infty} q \|q\alpha\| \|q\beta\| = 0.$

Equivalently, \exists infinitely many (s/q, t/q) such that

$$\left| lpha - rac{s}{q} \right| \left| eta - rac{t}{q} \right| \ < \ rac{\epsilon}{q^3} \quad (\epsilon > 0 \ ext{arbitrary})$$

" every point in the plane lies in infinitely many hyperbolic regions given by $|x| \cdot |y| < \epsilon/q^3$ centred at rational points"

 $\|q\alpha\|\|q\beta\| \leq \psi(q)$ if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) \log q = \infty$.

 $\|q\alpha\|\|q\beta\| \leq \psi(q)$ if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) \log q = \infty$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Gallagher} \Longrightarrow \mbox{For almost all } (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathrm{I}^2 \\ \mbox{liminf} q(\log q)^2 \|q\alpha\| \|q\beta\| = 0 \,. \end{array}$

 $\|q\alpha\|\|q\beta\| \leq \psi(q)$ if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) \log q = \infty$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Gallagher} \Longrightarrow \mbox{For almost all } (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathrm{I}^2 \\ \mbox{liminf } q(\log q)^2 \|q\alpha\| \|q\beta\| = 0 \,. \end{array}$

Beresnevich, Haynes, Vaaler + V (201?) Gallagher true for all α and almost all β .

 $\|q\alpha\|\|q\beta\| \leq \psi(q)$ if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) \log q = \infty$.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Gallagher} \Longrightarrow \text{ For almost all } (\alpha,\beta) \in \mathrm{I}^2:\\ \liminf_{q \to \infty} q(\log q)^2 \|q\alpha\| \|q\beta\| = 0\,. \end{array}$$

Beresnevich, Haynes, Vaaler + V (201?) Gallagher true for all α and almost all β .

UPSHOT: Regarding Littlewood we have log² to play with!

 $\|q\alpha\|\|q\beta\| \leq \psi(q)$ if $\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \psi(q) \log q = \infty$.

Gallagher
$$\implies$$
 For almost all $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2$:
$$\liminf_{q \to \infty} q(\log q)^2 ||q\alpha|| ||q\beta|| = 0.$$

Beresnevich, Haynes, Vaaler + V (201?) Gallagher true for all α and almost all β .

UPSHOT: Regarding Littlewood we have \log^2 to play with! **Claim:** For every $(\alpha, \beta) \in I^2$: $\liminf q \log q ||q\alpha|| ||q\beta|| < \infty$.

Where in the UK?

• The Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture and Littlewood's Conjecture form two of the three major research challenges of the 1.6M EPSRC Programme Grant "New frameworks in metric Number Theory: foundations and application" awarded to Beresnevich and SV at York.

Where in the UK?

• The Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture and Littlewood's Conjecture form two of the three major research challenges of the 1.6M EPSRC Programme Grant "New frameworks in metric Number Theory: foundations and application" awarded to Beresnevich and SV at York.

The group at York working on Diophantine approximation and related areas consists of

- 5 permanent staff
- 7 post-docs
- 4 PhD students

Where in the UK?

• The Duffin-Schaeffer Conjecture and Littlewood's Conjecture form two of the three major research challenges of the 1.6M EPSRC Programme Grant "New frameworks in metric Number Theory: foundations and application" awarded to Beresnevich and SV at York.

The group at York working on Diophantine approximation and related areas consists of

- 5 permanent staff
- 7 post-docs
- 4 PhD students
- Other UK universities: Bristol, Durham, Liverpool, Oxford, Royal Holloway.