Periodicity of Markov polling systems in overflow regimes

> Stas Volkov (Lund University)

Joint work with

Iain MacPhee, Mikhail Menshikov and Serguei Popov

(Durham, Durham, São Paulo)



Durham, 3 April 2014

Source paper: http://pi.vu/B270



Iain MacPhee

8 November 1957 - 13 January 2012

K queues One server

"Relative price" of a customer in queue i vs. j is p_{ij}

Arrival rates:	$\lambda_1 \ \ldots \ \lambda_K$	Load rates:	$ ho_i = \lambda_i / \mu_i$
Service rates:	$\mu_1 \dots \mu_K$	Each	$\rho_i < 1$, but $\Sigma \rho_i > 1$

Service discipline:

- When the server is at node *i* its serves the queue $Q_i(t)$ while it is non-empty
- When the current queue (say 1) becomes empty, the server goes to the "most expensive" node, for example to 2 whenever $Q_2(t)/Q_j(t) > p_{2j}$ j=3,...,K

The system will "overflow" but not at *an individual* node!

Our main result^{*}: the service will be *periodic* from some moment of time^{**}

[·] K=3

^{**} for almost all configurations of parameters

<u>Approach</u>: to analyze the corresponding dynamical (fluid) system

K=3 from now on

The state of the system can be represented as a point on a 3D simplex, i.e. inside the equilateral triangle ABC

Points on the sides correspond to situations when one of the queues is empty.



There is a *decision point* on each side

Mapping φ : to light sources $A_0 B_0$ and C_0 , depending on the positions of decision points

If each decision point has finitely many pre-images under φ , then the corresponding dynamical system will be periodic (follows from *pigeonhole principle*)



For this configuration, the only period will be [*cbabacaba*] – with length 9.

Theorem 1

Assume each of the decision points D_{AB} , D_{BC} , D_{CA} has finitely many pre-images under \Box .

- the dynamical system is periodic. At most 4 distinct periods (up to rotations);
- the stochastic polling system is also periodic and has the same periods as the dynamical one.

Theorem 1

Assume each of the decision points D_{AB} , D_{BC} , D_{CA} has finitely many pre-images under \Box .

- the dynamical system is periodic. At most 4 distinct periods (up to rotations);
- the stochastic polling system is also periodic and has the same periods as the dynamical one.

However: decision points *may* have infinitely many pre-images and then the system is <u>chaotic</u>!!! Yet...

Theorem 1

Assume each of the decision points D_{AB} , D_{BC} , D_{CA} has finitely many pre-images under \Box .

- the dynamical system is periodic. At most 4 distinct periods (up to rotations);
- the stochastic polling system is also periodic and has the same periods as the dynamical one.

However: decision points *may* have infinitely many pre-images and then the system is <u>chaotic</u>!!! Yet...

Theorem 2

... for almost all configurations of the parameters (e.g. p_{12} has a continuous conditional distribution on some domain when the other parameters are fixed) each of the decision points D_{AB} , D_{BC} , D_{CA} has finitely many pre-images under φ .

Theorem 3

There are **uncountably many** these "bad" configurations of decision points. For them:

- some trajectories of the dynamical system are aperiodic.
- 0 < P (the polling system is aperiodic) < 1.

Key properties of the dynamical system:

LINEARITY (projection) PRINCIPLE

Second equilateral triangle PRINCIPLE

Uniform CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE



How to justify the approximation?

- The lengths of the queues increase exponentially, at least after some random time
- The *dynamical* polling system is homogeneous with respect to the loads
- Deviations of the stochastic system from the dynamical one are eventually small

How to justify the approximation?

- The lengths of the queues increase exponentially, at least after some random time
- The *dynamical* polling system is homogeneous with respect to the loads
- Deviations of the stochastic system from the dynamical one are eventually small

Let

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{Q_i(t)}{\mu_i}$$

Observe that when we serve node *j*

$$E(f(t+dt)-f(t)|\Im(t)) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{K} \lambda_i dt}{\mu_i} - \frac{\mu_j dt}{\mu_j} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{K} \rho_i - 1\right] dt = \eta dt > 0$$

Hence *f* is a sub-martingale

Suppose the server at time τ_j has just cleared out node 3. Set $f_j = f(\tau_j)$

Let $X = Q_1(\tau_j)$, $Y = Q_2(\tau_j)$, and $Z = Q_3(\tau_j) = 0$ be the queue sizes at 1, 2, and 3. Suppose w.l.o.g. $X / Y > p_{12}$ so the server ought to move to node 1.

Let τ_{j+1} be the time when the queue at 1 is emptied. Then, if the system did not have any randomness in it,

$$X \mapsto 0, \quad Y \mapsto Y + \lambda_2 \frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}, \quad Z \mapsto \lambda_3 \frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}$$

Suppose the server at time τ_j has just cleared out node 3. Set $f_j = f(\tau_j)$

Let $X = Q_1(\tau_j)$, $Y = Q_2(\tau_j)$, and $Z = Q_3(\tau_j) = 0$ be the queue sizes at 1, 2, and 3. Suppose w.l.o.g. $X / Y > p_{12}$ so the server ought to move to node 1.

Let τ_{j+1} be the time when the queue at 1 is emptied. Then, if the system did not have any randomness in it,

$$X \mapsto 0, \quad Y \mapsto Y + \lambda_2 \frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}, \quad Z \mapsto \lambda_3 \frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}$$

yielding

$$\frac{f_{j+1}}{f_j} = \frac{\frac{1}{\mu_2} \left(Y + \lambda_2 \frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1} \right) + \frac{1}{\mu_3} \frac{\lambda_3 X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}}{\frac{X}{\mu_1 - \lambda_1}} = \frac{\frac{X}{\mu_1} \left(\frac{\rho_2 + \rho_3}{1 - \rho_1} \right) + \frac{Y}{\mu_2}}{\frac{X}{\mu_1} + \frac{Y}{\mu_2}}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3 - 1}{1 - \rho_1} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \frac{Y}{X} \right)^{-1} > 1 + \frac{\eta}{1 - \rho_1} \left(1 + \frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2} \frac{1}{p_{12}} \right)^{-1} \ge 1 + v$$

Thus, for the dynamical system we would have $f_j \propto (1+v)^j$

Thus, for the dynamical system we would have $f_j \propto (1+v)^j$

(Recall:
$$f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \frac{Q_i(t)}{\mu_i}$$
)

Now since

$$f_{j} = f(\tau_{j}) = \frac{X}{\mu_{1}} + \frac{Y}{\mu_{2}} \le \max\left|1, \frac{1}{p_{12}}\right| \times \left|\frac{X}{\mu_{1}} + \frac{X}{\mu_{2}}\right| \le CX$$

where

$$C = \max \left(1, p_{12}, p_{21}, p_{13}, p_{31}, p_{23}, p_{32} \right) \\ \times \max \left(\mu_1^{(-1)} + \mu_2^{(-1)}, \mu_1^{(-1)} + \mu_3^{(-1)}, \mu_3^{(-1)} + \mu_2^{(-1)} \right)$$

the length of the most expensive queue goes to infinity, as long as $f_j \rightarrow \infty$.

Deviations of the stochastic system

Obtain exponential bounds on the probability that the *j*-th service time $\tau_{j+1}-\tau_j$ deviates by more $\left(\frac{X}{\mu_1-\lambda_1}\right)^{2/3}$ from its expected value of $\frac{X}{\mu_1-\lambda_1}$.

We obtain similar bounds for the increments of the other two queues.

There is j_0 such that for all $j > j_0$ in fact $f_{j+1} > (1 + v/2)f_j$ with probability exponentially(-*j*) close to 1.

Let $\delta > 0$ be smaller than the length of the smallest interval created by the set P={all pre-images of decision points}

After j_0 , which we might choose large enough, the "**lifetime deviation**" of the stochastic system from the fluid one is smaller than $\delta/2$ with probability also close to 1.

Let T_0 =all the sides of the triangle *ABC*; and $T_n = \phi(T_{n-1})$.



• for $n \ge 1$ every T_n consists of at most 3×2^n segments, 2^n on each side of the triangle.

total Lebesgue measure of segments in $T_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We can choose n_0 so large that

for all $n \ge n_0$ distance(T_n, P) > $\delta/2$

Let x_j be the state of the stochastic system at time τ_j , and let y_j be the closest to x_j point of T_{j-j_0} , possibly x_j itself.

Let x_j be the state of the stochastic system at time τ_j , while $y_j = \varphi(y_{j-1})$

Then as *j* grows, the distances between x_j and y_j decay exponentially (<u>contraction</u> <u>principle</u>), unless there's a decision point between them at some time *j*'.

```
However then latter is impossible (conditioned on not deviating by more than \delta).
```

As a result, y_j "drags" x_j from the same to the same side of the triangle ABC.

And the deterministic dynamical system is periodic!

Construction of "bad" decision points TRIPLES



$$\begin{split} BP_{caca} &= ``a: 000'', \ P_{caca}P_{ca} = ``a: 001'', \ P_{ca}P_{a} = ``a: 01'', \\ P_{a}P_{ba} &= ``a: 10'', \ P_{ba}C = ``a: 11''; \ CP_{b} = ``b: 0'', \ P_{b}A = ``b: 1'', \ \text{etc.} \end{split}$$

Algebraic representation of mapping ϕ .

Each point *x* on side $a \equiv BC$ can be written as an infinite sequence of 0's and 1's.



Sequence: qrqqrqrq...