

TWO-TWISTOR DESCRIPTION OF TENSIONFUL STRINGS AND P-BRANES

DURHAM 08/2007

J. LUKIERSKI

1. Introduction

- topological versus standard strings
- from 1-twistor to 2-twistor geometry

2. Twistorial description of particles

- massless ($m=0$) \leftarrow 1-twistor
- massive, with spin \leftarrow 2-twistor

3. Bosonic strings from 2-twistors

- Hamiltonian description
- mixed and purely twistorial description
- remarks about quantization

4. Membranes and p-branes from 2-twistors

5. Superextensions

- supertwistors, massless superparticles
- $N = (p, q)$ twistorial superstrings
- BPS preons : Penrose idea in $D=11$

6. Final remarks

↑
M-theory

Our aim:

To introduce purely twistorial actions classically equivalent to the known space-time actions for standard strings and p-branes

Framework:

- only Minkowski metric and Minkowski twistors
- main tool: two-twistor geometry

For Euclidean metric one can use single twistors

$$T = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}(1) \text{ fibre over } S^4$$

For Minkowski metric similar bundle construction requires $T \otimes T$

- in Sect. 1-4: $D=4$, no SUSY
- in Sect. 5: GS superstrings, $D=11$

We look for the interplay of
three formulations:

- (A) - "Conventional" space-time / phase space geometry
- (B) - intermediate space-time / spinor geometry
- (C) - purely twistorial geometry

Goal: to show that $\textcircled{A} \simeq \textcircled{B} \simeq \textcircled{C}$

REFERENCES:

- particles ($m \neq 0, s \neq 0$)
 A. Betti, J. de Azcarraga
 A. Frydryszak, S. Fedoruk
 J.L.
- strings
 S. Fedoruk, J.L.
 hep-th/0405166
- membranes, p-branes
 S. Fedoruk, J.L.
 hep-th/0510266
 hep-th/0510161
 arXiv: 0706.2129

1. INTRODUCTION

a) Topological versus standard strings:

Topological (with $N=2, N=4$ SUSY):

- string field theory \Rightarrow finite multiplets of fields
- string oscillations absent from quantum-mechanical spectrum
- Classically topological B-model string similar to point-like structure (constant maps from world-sheet to target space)

Standard:

- string field theory \Rightarrow infinite higher spin fields multiplets, Regge towers
- classically string is an extended linear object
- classically appears dimensionfull parameters

Our aim: apply twistor framework to standard (super)strings, standard (super) p-branes.

b) Elements of twistor theory:

primary geometry described by conformal
SU(2,2) spinors \equiv twistors $Z_A \in T$ ($A=1,2,3,4$)

$$T: Z_A = (\pi_\alpha, \omega^\dot{\alpha}) \\ (\bar{Z}_A = (\bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\omega}^{\alpha}))$$

$$\langle Z, \bar{Z} \rangle = \pi_\alpha \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \omega^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\pi}_\alpha$$

\uparrow
 SU(2,2) norm - special
 choice

$$T^n = T \otimes \dots \otimes T \quad Z_{A;i} = (\pi_{\alpha;i}, \omega^{\dot{\alpha};i}) \quad (\pi_{\alpha;i})^* = \bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha};i} \\ (\omega^{\dot{\alpha};i})^* = \bar{\omega}^{\alpha;i}$$

Two basic formulae of twistor theory:

i) Incidence relation $T \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{3;1}$

twistors complex Minkowski
 space

(Penrose
1962)

$$\bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha};i} = i Z^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\pi}_{\beta;i}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$Z^{\alpha\beta} \leftrightarrow z_\mu = x_\mu + iy_\mu$$

$$\omega^{\dot{\alpha};i} = -i Z^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \bar{\pi}_{\beta;i}^{\alpha}$$

$$Z^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \leftrightarrow \bar{z}_\mu = x_\mu - iy_\mu$$

If $i = 1, 2$ ($n=2$):

$$Z^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{f} \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha};i} \bar{\pi}_{\beta;i}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$f = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha};i} \bar{\pi}^{\dot{\alpha};i}$$

(metric $a_i b^i = a_i \epsilon^{ij} b_j$)

ii) Composite formulae for momenta:

$$n=1: P_{\alpha\beta} = \pi_\alpha \bar{\pi}_\beta \quad (P_{\alpha\beta} P^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \Leftrightarrow P^2 = 0)$$

$$n > 1: P_{\alpha\beta} = \pi_{\alpha;i} \bar{\pi}_{\beta;i}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$(P^2 \geq 0)$$

Canonical Liouville one-form (symplectic potential) on tristor space \mathbb{T}^n :

$$\Theta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{Z}^{\alpha;i} dZ_{\alpha;i} - d\bar{Z}^{\alpha;i} Z_{\alpha;i})$$

(Corresponds to $\sum_{i=1}^n p_{\alpha;i} dx^{\alpha;i}$)

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha};i} d\pi_{\alpha;i} + \bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha};i}^i d\omega^{\alpha;i} - H.C.)$$

Poisson brackets: (for $n=1$)

$$\{\pi_\alpha, \bar{\omega}^\beta\} = i \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad \{\bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \omega^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -i \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}$$

↓ Quantization ↓

$$[\hat{\pi}_\alpha, \hat{\bar{\omega}}^\beta] = -i \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad [\hat{\bar{\pi}}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \hat{\omega}^{\dot{\beta}}] = i \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}$$

Two polarizations \Rightarrow two different realizations
 \Rightarrow two tristor quantizations

a) conformal-covariant quantization with
complex holomorphic phase space (Penrose 1968)

coordinate space: $Z_A = (\pi_\alpha, \omega^\alpha)$

momentum space: $\bar{Z}_A = (\bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}})$

b) quantization with real spinorial phase space

coordinate space: $(\pi_\alpha, \bar{\pi}_{\dot{\alpha}})$

momentum space: $(\omega^\alpha, \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}})$

(Woodhouse 1975)

a) \Rightarrow provides space-time fields ($Z_A = (\pi_\alpha, x^\alpha)$)

b) \Rightarrow provides four-momentum space fields

Advantage of two-tensor space: one can map

$$(T\alpha_i, \omega^\alpha_i, \bar{T}\dot{\alpha}_i, \bar{\omega}^{\dot{\alpha}}_i) \rightarrow (x_\mu, \dots)$$

real
space-time

↑
12 additional degrees of freedom (mass, spin, electric charge...)

But surprise: x_μ defined by standard Penrose incidence relation do not commute:

$$\{x_\mu, x_\nu\} = -\frac{1}{M^2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} W^\rho P^\sigma$$

calculated from tensor PB

where

$$P^\mu = (\delta^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} T^\alpha_i \bar{T}^\beta_i = P_\mu^{(1)} + P_\mu^{(2)} - \frac{2}{M^2} \text{- 2-tensor momentum}$$

$$W^\mu = (\delta^\mu)_{\alpha\beta} T^\alpha_i \bar{T}^\beta_i \delta(\sigma^r)^i_j t^r - \underbrace{\text{Pauli-Lubanski fourvector}}_{\text{traceless part of } t^i_j = \langle z^i, z^j \rangle}$$

where

$$M^2 = P_\mu P^\mu = 2|f|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |\bar{T}^\alpha_i \bar{T}^\beta_i|^2$$

One can calculate from tensor PB

$$r, s = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\text{nonvanishing norms} \Rightarrow \{t^r, t^s\} = \epsilon^{rsu} t^u \quad \text{SU(2) PB algebra (spin)}$$

$$\text{Fourth generator } t^0 = t^1_1 + t^1_2 \quad t^r = \frac{1}{2} (\delta^r)^i_j t^i_j$$

$$\{t^0, t^r\} = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{extension of SU(2)} \\ \text{to U(2)} \end{array}$$

electric charge

2. TWISTORIAL DESCRIPTION OF PARTICLES

a) Massless \leftrightarrow one-twistor description

Three equivalent Liouville one-forms $\theta^{(1)}$:

$$\theta^{(1)} = P_{\alpha\beta} dx^{\alpha\beta} \simeq T_{\alpha} \bar{T}_{\beta} dx^{\alpha\beta} \simeq \frac{i}{2} (\bar{w}^{\alpha} d\bar{n}_{\alpha} + \bar{n}_{\alpha} dw^{\alpha})$$

↑
 P_{αβ} composite ↑
 incidence relation $\sum A^A dz_A$

We get three classically equivalent models of massless relativistic particles with $h=0$:

- (A) $S_1^{d=1} = \int d\tau (p_u \dot{x}^u + \lambda p_u p^u)$ ← relativistic phase space (x^u, p^u)
- (B) $S_2^{d=1} = \int T_{\alpha} \bar{T}_{\beta} \dot{x}^{\alpha\beta}$ ← intermediate space-time / spinor description
- (C) $S_3^{d=1} = \frac{i}{2} \int d\tau \{ (\bar{z}_A \dot{\bar{z}}^A - z_A \dot{\bar{z}}^A) + \lambda \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle \}$
 ↓ ↑
free null twistor-particle model

If helicity $h \neq 0$:

$$\lambda \langle z, \bar{z} \rangle \Rightarrow \lambda (\langle z, \bar{z} \rangle - 2h)$$

$SU(2,2|1)$ Super twistors: $h = \bar{\xi} \xi$ ξ fermionic

$SU(2,3)$ "atomic" super-
twistors: $h = \bar{u} u$ u bosonic

(S. Fedoruk + JL
 hep-th/0506086)

b) Two-twistor description of massive charged particles with spin

Our derivation: $C \rightarrow B \rightarrow A$

$$C \quad \Theta^{(1)} = \frac{i}{2} (Z_{A;j} d\bar{Z}^A{}_{i\dot{\beta}} - \bar{Z}^A{}_{i\dot{\beta}} dZ_{A;j}) + \lambda_a R_a$$

$i,j = 1, 2$
 $a = 1 \dots 4$

Free 2-twistor model with four physical constraints:

$$R_1 = 4|f|^2 - m^2 = 0 \quad \leftarrow \text{mass}$$

$$R_2 = \vec{t}^2 - s(s+1) = 0 \quad \leftarrow \text{spin}$$

$$R_3 = t_3 - m_3 = 0$$

$$R_4 = t_0 - q = 0 \quad \leftarrow \text{Abelian charge}$$

$s \neq 0, q \neq 0 \Rightarrow \underline{\text{non-null twistors}}$

We insert composite $Z_\mu = x_\mu + i y_\mu$:

$$B \quad \Theta^{(1)} = \Pi_{\alpha;i} \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};i}^j dx^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + i y^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} (\Pi_{\alpha;i}^j d\bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};i} - \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};i}^j d\Pi_{\alpha;i}) + \lambda_a R_a$$

where

$$y^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2|f|^2} t_i^j \Pi_{\alpha;i}^j \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};j}^i$$

\uparrow
 $\langle z_i, z_j \rangle$

\nearrow
 $\text{Imaginary part of } CM_4$

One gets one-form defining "intermediate" action:

$$\Theta^{(1)} = \Pi_{\alpha;i} \bar{\Pi}_{\beta;j}^i dx^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{i}{2} t_i \delta \left(\frac{1}{f} \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{\beta}}_j \right) d\bar{\Pi}_{\beta;j}^i + \frac{1}{f} \bar{\Pi}^{\beta;j} d\Pi_{\beta;j}^i + \lambda_a R_a$$

$x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}$ noncommutative!

Two-twistor generalization of Shirafuji model:

one twistor: two twistors:
 $(x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \Pi_\alpha, \Pi_{\dot{\beta}}) \Rightarrow (x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, t_i \delta, \Pi_{\alpha;i}, \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};j}^i)$

Quantization:

Doubly infinite spin/charge multiplets:

$$s = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots ; q = \dots -1, 0, 1, \dots \quad (\text{hep-th/0510266})$$

In order to obtain standard composite phase space (x_μ, p_μ) needed modification of Penrose incidence formula: (hep-th/0405166)

$$\tilde{\omega}^{\alpha;i} = i \left(\tilde{z}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta};j}^i + \frac{t_1 - it_2}{f} \Pi^{\alpha;i} \right)$$

One gets $(\tilde{z}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \tilde{x}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + (\tilde{y}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}))$

$$\tilde{x}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2|f|^2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \Pi^{\gamma\delta} (\tilde{\sigma}_s)^i_j \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{\beta};j}_i$$

Properties:

- 1) $\{\tilde{x}^{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \tilde{x}^{\gamma\dot{\delta}}\} = 0 \quad \leftarrow \text{commuting}$
- 2) "internal" $SU(2)$ broken to $O(2)$

Substituting $\tilde{X}^{\alpha\beta}$ in $\Theta^{(1)}$ one gets

$$\Theta^{(1)} = p_m dx^\mu - i \underbrace{(\bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha};1} d\bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\alpha};2} - \sigma^{\dot{\alpha};1} d\Pi_{\alpha;2})}_{\text{Spin sector}} + e d\varphi + \lambda_A R_A$$

standard phase space

electric sector

$A = 1, 2, \dots, 6$

Variables $\Pi_\alpha, \bar{\Pi}_\dot{\alpha}$ primary, remaining composite:

$$\sigma^{\alpha;i} = \frac{1}{f} t_\alpha(\sigma_r)^i_j \Pi^{\alpha;j} \quad \bar{\sigma}^{\dot{\alpha};i} = -\frac{1}{f} t_\alpha(\bar{\sigma}_r)^i_j \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{\alpha};j}$$

$$e = t_0 + t_3 \quad \varphi = \frac{i}{2} \ln \frac{f}{f}$$

We have 18 variables:

$$x_\mu, p_m, \sigma_\alpha, \bar{\sigma}_\dot{\alpha}, \Pi_\alpha, \bar{\Pi}_\dot{\alpha}, e, \varphi \quad (\begin{array}{l} \sigma_\alpha \equiv \sigma_{\alpha;1} \\ \Pi_\alpha \equiv \Pi_{\alpha;1} \end{array})$$

Two identities encoding composite structure:

$$R_5 = \Pi_\alpha p^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} p^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = 0$$

$$R_6 = \Pi^\alpha \sigma_\alpha - \bar{\Pi}^\dot{\alpha} \bar{\sigma}_\dot{\alpha} = 0$$

R_5, R_6 second class \Rightarrow Dirac brackets

Quantization:

General solution (hep-th/0510161)

$$\Psi_{m,s,s_3,e}(p_m, \bar{\Pi}_\dot{\alpha}, \Pi_\alpha, \varphi) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{(d_1 \dots d_n) \\ (\beta_1 \dots \beta_m)}} \Pi_{d_1} \dots \Pi_{d_n} \cdot \bar{\Pi}_{\beta_1} \dots \bar{\Pi}_{\beta_m} \cdot \psi^{(d_1 \dots d_n)(\beta_1 \dots \beta_m)}(p_m, \varphi)$$

$\cdot \bar{\Pi}_{\beta_1} \dots \bar{\Pi}_{\beta_m} \cdot \psi^{(d_1 \dots d_n)(\beta_1 \dots \beta_m)}(p_m, \varphi)$

satisfies Bargman-Wigner eq.
for free higher spin fields

↑ phase dependence
explieop

3. BOSONIC STRINGS FROM 2-TWISTORS

Order of derivation: C → B → A

a) Hamiltonian description

World-sheet momentum one-form (Siegel, 1996)

$$\Theta^{(1)} = P_\mu dx^\mu \Rightarrow \Theta^{(2)} = \underbrace{P_\mu^m}_{\text{one-form}} d\xi_m \wedge dx^\mu \quad m=0,1$$

P_μ^0, P_μ^1 - generalized string momenta $\leftarrow [P_\mu^m] = M^2$

The action:

$$(C) S_1^{d=2} = \int d^2\xi \left[P_\mu^m \partial_m X^\mu + \frac{1}{2T} (-g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{mn} P_\mu^m P^\mu_n \right]$$

worldsheet metric $[T] = M^2$

Equations of motions:

$$(1) \quad \partial_m P_\mu^m = 0 \quad \leftarrow \delta X$$

$$(2) \quad P_\mu^m = -T(-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{mn} \partial_n X_\mu \quad \leftarrow \delta P$$

$$(3) \quad P_\mu^m P^\mu_n = \frac{1}{2} g^{mn} g_{kl} P_\mu^k P^\mu_l \quad \leftarrow \delta g$$

Inserting P_μ^1 one gets $(P_\mu^0 \equiv P_\mu)$

$$S_1^{d=2} = \int d^2\xi \left[P_\mu \dot{X}^\mu - \frac{\lambda}{2} \underbrace{(T^{-1} P_\mu^2 + T X_\mu^{12})}_{\sqrt{-g}} - g P_\mu X^\mu \right]$$

This is Hamiltonian formulation of Nambu-Goto string (with two Virasoro constraints):

$$(C) S^{d=2} = -T \int d^2\xi \sqrt{-\det G^{(2)}} \quad G_{mn}^{(2)} = \partial_m X^\mu \partial_n X_\mu$$

b) From space-time to torsorial formulation using Siegel formulation:

String generalization of composite momentum

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^m = \sqrt{-g} e_a^m \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^i (\gamma^a)_{ij} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^j$$

↑
zweibein ↑
D=2 Dirac
matrices (a=0,1) ↙ D=2 fields
 ↙ i,j=1,2

One gets the action (Soroka, Sorokin, Tkach, Volkov 1989)

$$S_2^{d=2} = \int d^2\zeta \sqrt{-g} \left[\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^i (\gamma^a)_{ij} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^j \partial_a X^{d\beta} + \frac{1}{2T} (\lambda^{di} \lambda_{di}) (\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i) \right]$$

B

Phase space description ($\lambda_{\alpha i}^* = \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{i}}^i$ etc.):

$$(X_{\alpha\beta}, \lambda_{\alpha i}, \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{i}}^i, e_m^a) + (P_{\alpha\beta}, \Pi^{di}, \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{a}i}, p^{(e)a})$$

"Coordinates" "momenta"

One can derive two first class constraints

$$F = \lambda_{di} \Pi^{di} + \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{a}i} - 2 e_m^a p^{(e)a} = 0$$

$$G = i(\lambda_{di} \Pi^{di} - \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i \bar{\Pi}^{\dot{a}i})$$

generating two local gauge invariances of SSTV model:

$$\lambda_{di}^t = e^{i(bt+ic)} \lambda_{di} \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i = e^{-i(b-ic)-i} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{a}}^i \quad e_m^{ta} = e^{2c} e_m^a$$

One can fix the gauges (b, c) as follows:

$$A = \lambda_{\alpha i} \lambda^{\alpha i} - T = 0 \quad \bar{A} = \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha} i} - T = 0 \quad T \text{ real}$$

i.e. the last term in SSTV action is like a $D=2$ cosmological term

$$\underbrace{T^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2T} \sqrt{-g}}_{\text{wavy line}} \quad \sqrt{-g} = \det e \equiv e$$

We use world-sheet Penrose incidence relations

$$\mu_i^\alpha = \lambda_{\alpha i} X^{\alpha i} \quad \bar{\mu}_i^\alpha = X^{\alpha i} \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha i} \quad [u_\alpha^\alpha] = M^0$$

and observe that the reality of X_μ requires

$$t^i_j = \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \mu_j^\alpha - \bar{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha} i} \lambda_{\dot{\alpha} j} = 0 \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{two} \\ \text{null} \\ \text{factors!} \end{array}$$

We get after eliminating $X^{\alpha i}$

$$\text{B'} \quad S_3^{d=2} = \int d^2 \xi \left\{ \frac{1}{2} e e^m_a \left[\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} i} (\beta^a)_{ij} \partial_m \mu^{\dot{\alpha} j} - \mu^{\dot{\alpha} i} (\beta^a)_{ij} \partial_m \lambda_{\dot{\alpha} j} + \text{c.c.} \right] + \frac{T}{2} e + \Lambda_i^j t^i_j + \Lambda A + \bar{\Lambda} \bar{A} \right\}$$

Further step: we solve algebraic eq. for zweibein Lagrange multipliers

$$e^a_m = -\frac{1}{T} \left[\partial_m \bar{Z}^{Ai} (\beta^a)_{ij} Z_A^j - \bar{Z}^{Ai} (\beta^a)_{ij} \partial_m Z_A^j \right]$$

where $Z_A^i = (\lambda_{\alpha i}^i, \mu^{\dot{\alpha} i})$, $\bar{Z}^{Ai} = (\bar{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha} i}, -\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha} i})$

Further we recall that

$$t_i \dot{z} = Z_{Ai} \bar{Z}^A \dot{z}$$

After eliminating zweibein ϵ_a^m one gets

Indices i, j suppressed
↓ ↓

$$S_3^{d=2} = \int d^2 \xi \left\{ \frac{1}{4T} \epsilon_{ab} [\partial_m \bar{Z}^A g^{ab} Z_A - \bar{Z}^A g^{ab} \partial_m Z_A] \right.$$

purely
tristorial!

$$\cdot [\partial_m \bar{Z}^A g^{ab} Z_A - \bar{Z}^A g^{ab} \partial_m Z_A] + \Lambda_j^i (Z_{Ai} \bar{Z}^A \dot{z}) + \Lambda_A + \bar{\Lambda} \bar{A} \left. \right\}$$

We get basic fourlinear tristor string action.

The action can be derived from the following Liouville two-form:

$$\underline{\theta^{(2)} = \theta_1^{(1)} \wedge \theta_2^{(1)}}$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} \theta_1^{(1)} & \leftrightarrow & Z_A^1 \\ \theta_2^{(1)} & \leftrightarrow & Z_A^2 \end{array} \right)$$

by introducing the world sheet embedding

$$(\sigma, \tau) \rightarrow Z_A^i(\sigma, \tau)$$

Extension to bosonic tristor p-brane as

composite of $p+1$ tristor fields $Z_A^i(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p, \tau)$

$$\underline{\theta^{(p+1)} = \theta_1^{(1)} \wedge \theta_2^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta_{p+1}^{(1)}}$$

M
($p+1$)-dim.
fields

$p=2$ - membrane \Rightarrow Sect. 4

c) Quantization:

The four linear string action is
linear in time derivative:

$$\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{mn} (\bar{Z}^{A_1} \partial_m Z_{A_1} - \partial_m \bar{Z}^{A_1} Z_{A_1}).$$

$m, n = 0, 1$
 $A, B = 1 \dots 4$

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot (\bar{Z}^{B_2} \partial_m Z_{B_2} - \partial_m \bar{Z}^{B_2} Z_{B_2}) = \\ & = Q_2 (\bar{Z}^{A_1} \dot{Z}_{A_1} - \dot{\bar{Z}}^{A_1} Z_{A_1}) - \\ & - Q_1 (\bar{Z}^{A_2} \dot{Z}_{A_2} - \dot{\bar{Z}}^{A_2} Z_{A_2}) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_i = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{Z}^{B_i} Z'_i - \bar{Z}'^{B_i} Z_{B_i})$$

(no summation over i!)

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \dot{Z} = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \tau} \\ Z' = \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \sigma} \end{array} \right)$$

Constraints:

a) $D^{Ai} = P^{Ai} - \epsilon^{ij} Q_j \bar{Z}^{Ai} \approx 0$

$$Q_j = Q_j(Z)$$

b) $\bar{D}_{Ai} = \bar{P}_{Ai} + \epsilon^{ij} \bar{Q}_j Z_{Ai} \approx 0$

c) $V_{ij} = Z_{Ai} \bar{Z}^{Aj} \approx 0$

null string fisters

d) $I_{AB} Z^{A_1} Z^{B_2} = \frac{T}{2} \quad (\leftarrow \lambda_{Ai} \lambda'^{Ai} = T)$

e) $I_{AB} \bar{Z}^{A_1} \bar{Z}^{B_2} = \frac{T}{2} \quad (\leftarrow \lambda_{Ai} \lambda'^{Ai} = T)$

I_{AB}-
projectors
infinity
fisters)

DIRAC QUANTIZATION of a)-e) \Rightarrow under consideration

4. TWO-TWISTOR DESCRIPTION OF MEMBRANE AND P-BRANES

The presented scheme for strings can be generalized in two-twistor space to membranes.

For p-branes — one needs $2^{\left[\frac{p+1}{2}\right]}$ twistors

a) Extension of Siegel momentum formulation to arbitrary p

$$\theta^{(1)} = p_\mu dx^\mu \rightarrow \theta^{(p+1)} = P_\mu^{(p)} \wedge dx^\mu$$

\downarrow p-form

$$P_\mu^{(p)} = P_\mu^m \epsilon_{mn\ldots np} d\xi_1^{n_1} \ldots d\xi_p^{n_p}$$

Siegel action

$$(A) S = \int d^{p+1} \xi (P_\mu^m \partial_m X^\mu + \frac{1}{2T} (-g)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_{mn} P_\mu^m P^\mu_n + \frac{T}{2} (p-1) (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$\Downarrow P_\mu^m = -T (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{mn} \partial_n X_\mu$$

$$\Rightarrow S = -\frac{T}{2} \int d^{p+1} \xi (-g)^{\frac{1}{2}} [g^{mn} \partial_m X^\mu \partial_n X_\mu - (p-1)]$$

Polyakov-type action

Hamiltonian \Downarrow Putting $P_\mu^0 = P_\mu$, eliminating P_μ^m

$$S = P_\mu \dot{X}^\mu - \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2} \det(g^{mn}) \left[\frac{1}{2} P_\mu P^\mu + T \det g^{mn} \right] - g_{0n} g^{nm} (P_\mu \partial_m X^\mu)$$

(p+1) Virasoro conditions

b) Intermediate spinor/spec-time formulation

In case of arbitrary p Cartan-Penrose relation

$$P_\mu^m = \sqrt{-g} \epsilon_a^m \bar{\lambda}^{di} (\varrho^a)_i^j \lambda_{\beta j}^l (\gamma_\mu)^{\hat{\beta}}_l$$

↑
 dete ↑
 (p+1)-bein world-volume
 Dirac matrices ↗ D-dimensional
 !number of torsors! $\Rightarrow i = 1 \dots 2^{\frac{p+1}{2}}$ Dirac matrices
 $\hat{l} = 1 \dots 2^{\frac{p}{2}}$

For $D=4$ membrane ($i, j = 1, 2, a, m = 0, 1, 2$)

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^m = \sqrt{-g} \bar{\lambda}_{\beta}^i (\varrho^a)_i^j \lambda_{\alpha j}$$

One gets

Lagrange multiplier

$$B) S = \int d^3\xi \sqrt{-g} (\bar{\lambda}_{\beta}^i \varrho^m \lambda_{\alpha}^j \partial_m X^{\beta\alpha} + 2T + \lambda A)$$

The constraint: $A = (\lambda_{\alpha i} \lambda^{\alpha i})(\bar{\lambda}_{\beta i} \bar{\lambda}^{\beta i}) - 2T^2 = 0$

This "mem shell condition" can be derived in Siegel formulation as expressing the following constraint:

$$g_{mn} P_\mu^m P^{\mu n} = -\sqrt{-g} T^2$$

Difference with string case: for string $A=0$ can be only achieved as local gauge fixing
 - for membrane $A=0$ follows from field eq.

c) Purely twistorial membrane action ($D=4$)

$$\mu_i^\alpha = X^\alpha \beta^\lambda \lambda_{\beta i} \quad \bar{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha} i} = \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i X^\beta \beta^\lambda$$

$$\begin{matrix} X^\alpha \beta^\lambda \\ \text{Hermiteen} \end{matrix} \iff V_i^\delta = \lambda_{\alpha i} \bar{\mu}^{\alpha \delta} - \mu_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}^\delta \stackrel{\text{null torsors}}{=} 0$$

If

$$Z_{Ai} = (\lambda_{\alpha i}, \mu_i^\alpha), \quad \tilde{Z}^{Ai} = (\bar{\mu}^{\dot{\alpha} i}, -\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$$

one gets

$\xrightarrow{\text{dote}}$

$$\textcircled{B'} S = \int d^3\xi \left[\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} e_a^m (\partial_m \tilde{Z}^A g^a Z_A - \tilde{Z}^A g^a \partial_m Z_A) + 2 \sqrt{-g} T + \Lambda A + \Lambda_i^\delta V_j^i \right]$$

Eliminating e_a^m (dreibein) one gets
purely twistorial action:

$$\textcircled{C} \quad S = -\frac{1}{48T^2} \int d^2\xi (\epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{mnk} \theta_{(1)m}^a \theta_{(1)n}^b \theta_{(1)k}^c + \Lambda A + \Lambda_i^\delta V_j^i)$$

where

$$\theta_{(1)m}^a = \frac{\partial \sum A_i}{\partial \xi_m} (\beta^a)_i{}^\delta Z_{Aj} - \sum A_i (\beta^a)_i{}^\delta \frac{\partial Z_{Aj}}{\partial \xi_m}$$

Action induced on world-volume by 3-form:

$$\theta_{(3)} = \epsilon_{abc} \theta_{(1)}^a \wedge \theta_{(1)}^b \wedge \theta_{(1)}^c$$

5. SUPER EXTENSIONS

twistors \rightarrow supertwistors

$$Z_A = (\Pi_\alpha, \omega^\alpha) \Rightarrow Z_R = (Z_A, \xi_1 \dots \xi_N)$$

complex
Grassmanns

repr. of $SU(2,2)$ \Rightarrow repr. of $SU(2,2|N)$

Incidence relations superextended

$$Z_R \leftrightarrow (x_\mu, \theta_\alpha^i, \bar{\theta}_\alpha^i) \quad i=1\dots N \quad (\text{Festner, 1978})$$

a) Superparticles \rightarrow Liouville one-form

(C) $\Theta_{(1)}^{\text{SUSY}} = \frac{i}{2} (Z_R d\bar{Z}^R - dZ_R \bar{Z}^R) (+ \lambda \langle Z_R, Z^R \rangle)$

If $N=1$ - helicity operator

$\stackrel{\text{SU}(2,2|N)}{\uparrow}$
norm

$$\hat{h} = \frac{1}{2} \xi^+ \xi^- \rightarrow \text{helicity values } (0, \frac{1}{2})$$

After quantization free W2 multiplet

Supercovariant extension:

$$dx^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow \omega^{\alpha\beta} = dx^{\alpha\beta} - i(\theta^\alpha d\bar{\theta}^\beta - d\theta^\alpha \bar{\theta}^\beta)$$

Inserting SUSY incidence relations one gets

(B) $\Theta_{(1)}^{\text{SUSY}} = \Pi_\alpha \tilde{\Pi}_\beta \omega^{\alpha\beta} \leftarrow \underline{\text{Shiraishi model}}$

Inserting composite pairs one gets

(A) $\Theta_{(1)}^{\text{SUSY}} = p_\mu \omega^\mu - \frac{1}{2} e p^2 \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{2e} \omega_\mu \omega^\mu$

$\stackrel{\text{Bronchi-Schwarz}}{\uparrow}$
superparticle

b) Superstrings → Liouville two-forms

C

How looks purely supertridental level?

For $N=1$ two possible extensions:

- i) Both twistors supersymmetrized →
 $N = (1,1)$ (nonchiral) SUSY

$$\theta_{(1)}^1 \wedge \theta_{(1)}^2 \rightarrow \theta_{(1)}^{1 \text{ SUSY}} \wedge \theta_{(1)}^{2 \text{ SUSY}}$$

One should add $\langle Z_i, Z^j \rangle = \hat{\epsilon}_{ij}, j=0$
 in order to obtain real (nonchiral)
 superspace

- ii) One twistor supersymmetrized

$$\theta_{(1)}^1 \wedge \theta_{(1)}^2 \rightarrow \theta_{(1)}^{1 \text{ SUSY}} \wedge \theta_{(1)}^2 \quad N = (1,0)$$

$$\rightarrow \theta_{(1)}^1 \wedge \theta_{(1)}^{2 \text{ SUSY}} \quad N = (0,1)$$

If one relates Z_A^1 (Z_A^2) with
 left (right) moving string modes,
 one obtains purely (super)tridental
 action for heterotic string

The relations with B and A level
 (e.g. GS superstring) is valid under
 particular fixing of x -transformation.

conjecture

(iii) general case

$$\Theta_{(1)}^1 \wedge \Theta_{(1)}^2 \rightarrow \Theta_{(1)}^{1(p\text{-SUSY})} \wedge \Theta_{(1)}^{2(q\text{-SUSY})}$$

Superhistorical composite $N=(p,q)$ superstring

The role of α -transformations in superghostonization procedure:

α -transformations occur in super-p-brane models on levels A, B, not C

Example: N-extended D=4 Superparticle

real degrees:

A	$(p_\mu, x_\mu; \theta_{\alpha;i}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha};i})$	8 bosonic 4N fermionic
	$4 + 4 \quad 4N$	
B	$(p_\mu, \Pi_\alpha, \bar{\Pi}_{\dot{\alpha}}; \theta_{\alpha;i}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha};i})$	8 bosonic 4N fermionic
	$4 + 4 \quad 4N$	
C	$(Z_A, \xi_1 \dots \xi_N) + C.C.$	8 bosonic 2N fermionic
	$8 \quad 2N$	

α -transformations reduce the fermionic degrees of freedom by half (by $2N$) in order that A B match with C!

c) BPS preons - Penrose approach to M-theory?

M-theory is a $D=11$ supersymmetric theory with generalized supersymmetries:

$$\{Q_K, Q_L\} = P_{KL} = (\Gamma_\mu c)_{KL} P^\mu + \begin{matrix} K, L = 1 \dots 32 \\ \mu = 0, 1 \dots 10 \end{matrix}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{"M-algebra"}} + (\Gamma_{\mu\nu} c)_{KL} P^{[\mu\nu]} + (\Gamma_{[u_1 \dots u_5]} c)_{KL} P^{[u_1 \dots u_5]}$$

$$P_{KL} = P_{LK} \leftarrow 528 \text{ generalized momenta}$$

BPS preons ansatz ($D=11$ generalized Cartan-Penrose relation)

$$P_{KL} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_K^i \lambda_L^i \quad i = 1 \dots n \leq 32$$

(Bandos, de Azcarraga, Izquierdo, JL., PRL 2001)

BPS states:

$$\det P_{KL} = 0 \iff n < 32$$

Number of BPS preons determine breaking of $N=1 D=11$ SUSY:

$$n \text{ preons} \iff \frac{32-n}{32} \text{ fractional SUSY}$$

"
 $0 \leq n \leq 1$

In particular for standard M-superbranes

$$v = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow n = 16 \quad (\text{x-transformation have 16 param.})$$

Question: How looks dynamics permitting $v = \frac{31}{32}$ fractional SUSY corresponding to single preon?

It appears that D=11 SUGRA does not provide preonic soliton solutions

Conjecture: one-preon theory impossible in space-time framework?

Completing of superhistorical picture:

SUSY phase
space for BPS preon: $(\lambda_k, \omega_k, \xi)$
 $\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 $32 \quad 32$

Fundamental representation of generalized
D=11 superconformal group $OSp(1|64)$

Application of supersymmetric incidence relations:

- without constraints leads to 528 coordinates
- similarly constrained D=11 generalized superhistors can reduce 528 \rightarrow 11.

6. FINAL REMARKS

- recalling main difference with Witten twistor string:
 - in our approach we use incidence relations in classical string theory ("classical mechanics of string")
 - Witten uses incidence relations on the level of string field theory ("second-quantized string")
- in presented approach important further studies
 - symmetries → quantization
 - anomalies → spectrum
 - strings in curved (super)space
- microscopic dynamics of M-theory
not known \Rightarrow is the twistorial philosophy (primary spinorial geometry) applicable?
Twistorial "Theory of Everything"?