



EXAMINATION PAPER

| | | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Examination Session: May/June | Year: 2026 | Exam Code: MATH3301-WE01 |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|

| |
|---|
| Title: Mathematical Finance III |
|---|

| | | |
|-------------------------------|---------|---|
| Time: | 3 hours | |
| Additional Material provided: | None | |
| Materials Permitted: | None | |
| Calculators Permitted: | Yes | Models Permitted: Casio FX83 series or FX85 series. |

| | |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Instructions to Candidates: | <p>Answer all questions.</p> <p>The indicative marks shown in brackets for the main parts of each question are given as a guide to the weighting the markers expect to apply.</p> <p>Write your answer in the white-covered answer booklet with barcodes.</p> <p>Begin your answer to each question on a new page.</p> |
|-----------------------------|--|

| | |
|------------------|--|
| Revision: | |
|------------------|--|

SECTION A

1. Consider a one-period binomial market with bond process given by $B_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = 1 + r$, and stock process given by $S_0 = 1$ and

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} u & \text{with probability } p_u, \\ d & \text{with probability } p_d, \end{cases}$$

under the real-world probability measure. Here $r > 0$, $u > d > 0$ are constant parameters, and p_u and p_d are positive probabilities that sum to 1.

- (a) Show that $d < 1 + r < u$ if and only if the market is arbitrage-free. [5]
- (b) State the definition of what it means for a measure \mathbb{Q} to be a *martingale measure* on this market. [2]
- (c) Show that the market is arbitrage-free if and only if there exists a unique martingale measure \mathbb{Q} . [3]
-

2. Consider a one-period binomial market with bond process given by $B_0 = 1$ and $B_1 = 1.1$, and stock process given by $S_0 = 1$ and

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} 1.2 & \text{with probability } 1/5, \\ 0.8 & \text{with probability } 4/5, \end{cases}$$

under the real-world probability measure.

- (a) Compute the replicating portfolio consisting of bonds and stocks for a call option with exercise time 1 and strike price $K_1 \in (0.8, 1.2)$. Hence find the time 0 fair price of the call option. [5]
- (b) Using the risk-neutral evaluation formula, or otherwise, find the fair price of a put option with exercise time 1 and strike price $K_2 \in (0.8, 1.2)$. [5]
-

3. In this question, $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ denotes a standard \mathbb{R} -valued Brownian motion.

- (a) State the defining properties of Brownian motion. [3]
- (b) Explain why a Brownian motion is a *martingale* with respect to its natural filtration. [3]
- (c) Let $a > 0$ be a constant, and set

$$Y_t := \frac{1}{2}(W_{4t+a} - W_a), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Prove that $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ is a Brownian motion. [4]

4. In this question, $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ denotes a standard \mathbb{R} -valued Brownian motion. Define stochastic processes $(X_t, t \geq 0)$ and $(Y_t, t \geq 0)$ by the Itô integrals

$$X_t := \int_0^t s \, dW_s, \quad Y_t := \int_0^t (t - s) \, dW_s, \quad t \geq 0.$$

- (a) Show that $X_t + Y_t = tW_t$ for all $t > 0$. [1]
- (b) What is the distribution, for fixed $t \geq 0$, of X_t ? [4]
- (c) What is the distribution, for fixed $t \geq 0$, of Y_t ? [3]
- (d) Compute $\text{Cov}(X_t, Y_t)$ for $t \geq 0$. [2]
-

SECTION B

5. Consider a two-period recombining binomial model with initial stock price $S_0 = 8$, risk-free interest rate $1/4$, and constant factors $u = 2$ and $d = 1/2$ for the price dynamics.

(a) Calculate the martingale probabilities at each branch in the tree. [2]

A *European lookback put option* with exercise time $T = 2$ has payoff

$$\Phi_{\text{LP}}^{\text{E}}(S_0, S_1, S_2) = S_2^* - S_2,$$

where $S_t^* := \max(S_u : 0 \leq u \leq t)$ is the maximum price up to time t .

(b) Find the no-arbitrage price $\Pi_{\text{LP}}^{\text{E}}$ at time 0 for the European lookback put option with payoff function $\Phi_{\text{LP}}^{\text{E}}$. [5]

An *American lookback put option* has payoff

$$\Phi_{\text{LP}}^{\text{A}}(S_0, S_1, S_2) = S_\tau^* - S_\tau,$$

where τ is a stopping time corresponding to a strategy freely determined (among all stopping times) by the holder of the option.

(c) Compute the no-arbitrage price $\Pi_{\text{LP}}^{\text{A}}$ of this option. [8]

6. Consider an arbitrage-free multi-period binomial market with bond process $B_t = (1 + r)^t$ and stock process S_t , $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}$.

Let C_0^{E} and P_0^{E} denote the no-arbitrage prices at time 0 of European call and, respectively, put options on this stock, with the same exercise time T and strike price K .

(a) By using the absence of arbitrage, or otherwise, prove that

$$C_0^{\text{E}} - P_0^{\text{E}} = S_0 - K(1 + r)^{-T},$$

which is a version of the *put-call parity* formula. [7]

In addition to the European options already defined, let C_0^{A} and P_0^{A} denote the no-arbitrage prices at time 0 of American call and, respectively, put options, also with the same exercise time T and strike price K .

(b) Suppose you hold a portfolio with one American call option and short one American put option, each with strike price K .

For time $t \leq T$, what is the time t payoff of the portfolio if both options are exercised at time t ? [2]

(c) By using the absence of arbitrage, or otherwise, prove $C_0^{\text{A}} - P_0^{\text{A}} \geq S_0 - K$. [6]

7. Consider a Black–Scholes market with risk-free asset given by $B_t = e^{rt}$, $r > 0$, and two risky assets with evolution given by $S_0^{(1)} = S_0^{(2)} = 1$ and

$$dS_t^{(1)} = \mu_1 S_t^{(1)} dt + \sigma_1 S_t^{(1)} dW_t^{(1)}, \quad \text{and} \quad dS_t^{(2)} = \mu_2 S_t^{(2)} dt + \sigma_2 S_t^{(2)} dW_t^{(2)}.$$

Here $\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ are positive constants, and

$$W_t^{(1)} = W_t, \quad \text{and} \quad W_t^{(2)} = \rho W_t + \sqrt{1 - \rho^2} W_t',$$

where $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ is constant and $(W_t, t \geq 0)$, $(W_t', t \geq 0)$ are independent Brownian motions under the real-world measure \mathbb{P} .

Set $R_t := S_t^{(1)} / S_t^{(2)}$ for $t \geq 0$.

- (a) Using Itô's formula, derive SDEs for $L_t^{(1)} := \log S_t^{(1)}$ and $L_t^{(2)} := \log S_t^{(2)}$. [4]

- (b) Using your answer to question (7.a), or otherwise, write down an SDE for $\log R_t$. Deduce that

$$dR_t = \mu R_t dt + \sigma R_t dW_t'',$$

where $(W_t'', t \geq 0)$ is a Brownian motion, and μ and σ are constant functions of $\mu_1, \mu_2, \sigma_1, \sigma_2$ and ρ which you should determine. [6]

- (c) If \mathbb{Q} is a measure under which $(e^{-rt} R_t, t \geq 0)$ is a martingale, find an expression for $\mathbb{Q}(R_T \geq \theta)$, where $\theta > 0$, $T > 0$, in terms of the function $\bar{N}(z) := \mathbb{P}(W_1 \geq z)$. [5]

8. Consider the continuous-time Black–Scholes market, with price dynamics given by

$$dB_t = rB_t dt, \quad dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t,$$

where $r > 0$ is the risk-free interest rate, μ and σ are constant parameters, and $(W_t, t \geq 0)$ is a Brownian motion under the real-world measure \mathbb{P} .

Define contingent claims

$$X_T = S_T \mathbb{1}\{S_{T/2} \geq K\}, \quad \text{and} \quad Y_T = S_T \mathbb{1}\{S_T \geq K\},$$

for constants $T, K > 0$, where $\mathbb{1}\{\cdot\}$ denotes the indicator random variable that takes the value 1 if the indicated event occurs, and 0 if not.

- (a) Writing the expectation using the probability density function of W_1 , or otherwise, obtain a formula for

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left[e^{bW_1} \mathbb{1}\{W_1 \geq a\} \right]$$

in terms of a, b and the function $\bar{N}(z) := \mathbb{P}(W_1 \geq z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}$. [4]

- (b) Show that, if \mathbb{Q} is the risk-neutral measure for this market, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{Q}}(Y_T) = e^{rT} S_0 \bar{N} \left(\frac{\log(K/S_0) - \left(r + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) T}{\sigma \sqrt{T}} \right),$$

and hence give a formula for $\Pi_0(Y_T)$, the arbitrage-free price of Y_T at time 0, in terms of \bar{N} , K , T , and appropriate market parameters. [5]

- (c) Calculate a formula for $\Pi_0(X_T)$, the arbitrage-free price of X_T at time 0, in terms of \bar{N} , K , T , and appropriate market parameters. *Hint:* You may wish to relate X_T to $Y_{T/2}$ and make use of the expression in part (8.b). [6]