

Isotropic minimal surfaces and
holomorphic curves in flat tori
(Mario J. Micallef; joint work with E. Nedita)

LMS-Durham Symposium on Methods of Integrable Systems in Geometry

19 August 2006

Well-known fact:

Complex submanifolds of Kähler manifolds minimize volume in their homology class and, in particular, are minimal.

Questions:

Can a holomorphic curve be deformed so as to keep it minimal but not holomorphic?

If yes, is stability of the holomorphic curve as a minimal surface preserved by the deformation?

Theorem (C. Arezzo, -)

Let $f: (\Sigma_\gamma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n/\Lambda$ be a full holomorphic immersion of a Riemann surface of genus $\gamma \geq 4$. If

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1) > 3\gamma - 3 \geq 3n - 3$$

then f can be deformed as a conformal minimal immersion $f_t: (\Sigma, \mu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}/\Lambda_t$ into a flat torus $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\Lambda_t$ which is *not* holomorphic with respect to *any* orthogonal complex structure on the torus.

If the Riemann surface (Σ_γ, μ) is **hyperelliptic**, then f_t will be **unstable** for $t \neq 0$. (An old result of - .)

If (Σ_γ, μ) is **not hyperelliptic** and f is the Abel-Jacobi embedding, then f_t will be **stable** (and nonholomorphic).

Key ingredients of proof:

- (i) Weierstrass representation of conformal minimal immersions into a flat torus.
- (ii) Characterisation of Weierstrass representation of holomorphic curves.
- (iii) Dimension of the kernel of the map from the symmetric square of the space of holomorphic differentials in the Weierstrass representation to the space of holomorphic quadratic differentials.
- (iv) Complex version of the second variation formula and Birkhoff-Grothendieck decomposition of holomorphic vector bundles over the two-sphere.
- (v) An exact sequence argument to show that when the Abel-Jacobi embedding of a nonhyperelliptic Riemann surface of genus ≥ 4 is viewed as a minimal immersion then its Jacobi fields are just the translations.

What about deformations of a holomorphic curve in a flat torus of complex dimension 2 or 3?

Theorem (- , E. Nedita)

Consider the following smoothly varying 1-parameter families:

- conformal structures μ_t on a surface Σ ;
- lattices Λ_t of \mathbb{R}^4 ;
- conformal minimal immersions
 $f_t: (\Sigma, \mu_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4/\Lambda_t$.

If f_0 is holomorphic with respect to some orthogonal complex structure J_0 then, for each t , there exists an orthogonal complex structure J_t with respect to which f_t is holomorphic.

The proof is an easy consequence of the following fact:

Let K and K^\perp denote respectively the Gauss curvature and the curvature of the normal bundle of a minimal immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$. Then

$$|K^\perp| \leq (-K)$$

with equality if, and only if, f is holomorphic with respect to an orthogonal complex structure on \mathbb{R}^4 .

This proof also works for:

- a 1-parameter family of conformal minimal immersions into a hyperkähler 4-manifold. (cf. work with Wolfson on the (elaborate) construction of stable nonholomorphic two-spheres in some K3 surfaces.)
- a 1-parameter family of conformal minimal immersions of finite total curvature in \mathbb{R}^4 . (cf. old theorem of - .)

Alternative proof:

Given an immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ define J_f on $f^*(T\mathbb{R}^4)$ by anticlockwise rotation by 90° in the tangent plane and anticlockwise rotation by 90° in the normal plane.

The set of orthogonal complex structures on \mathbb{R}^4 compatible with a given orientation is a two-sphere. If f is minimal then $J_f: \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$ is holomorphic.

...

What about higher dimensions?

Recall Calabi's notion of **isotropy** for a minimal immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$:

f is isotropic to order l if

$$(\partial_z^j f \cdot \partial_z^k f) = 0 \quad \forall j, k \in \{1, \dots, l\}.$$

Thus isotropy to order 1 is equivalent to conformality.

Proposition

A minimal immersion $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is **holomorphic** with respect to some orthogonal complex structure on \mathbb{R}^{2n} if, and only if, f is **isotropic to order n** .

Theorem (- , E. Nedita)

Consider the following smoothly varying 1-parameter families:

- conformal structures μ_t on a surface Σ ;
- lattices Λ_t of \mathbb{R}^{2n} ;
- conformal minimal immersions $f_t: (\Sigma, \mu_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}/\Lambda_t$ all of which are isotropic to order $n - 1$.

If f_0 is holomorphic with respect to some orthogonal complex structure J_0 then, for each t , there exists an orthogonal complex structure J_t with respect to which f_t is holomorphic.

A similar statement holds for a family of $(n - 1)$ -isotropic minimal surfaces of finite total curvature in \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Lemma

Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be minimal and isotropic to order $n - 1$. Define

$$J_f: \Sigma \rightarrow SO(2n)/U(n)$$

by 90° anticlockwise rotation in the osculating planes and the orthogonal complement of their span. Then J_f is holomorphic.

The theorem follows easily from the lemma.

Questions

- Does the condition of $(n - 1)$ -isotropy place restrictions on the conformal structure of the minimal surface, especially for large genus?
- Does the space of $(n - 1)$ -isotropic minimal surfaces have a nice description?

Theorem (-, E. Nedita)

Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}/\Lambda$ be a **stable** minimal immersion which is $(n-1)$ **isotropic**. Then f is **holomorphic** with respect to

Similarly, if $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a **stable** minimal immersion which is $(n-1)$ **isotropic** and if Σ is **parabolic** in the conformal structure induced by f , then f is **holomorphic** with respect to

Proposition

Let $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be an immersion whose **normal bundle** ν admits a **parallel complex structure** J_ν . Let J_Σ be anticlockwise rotation by 90° on $T\Sigma$ and define

$$J := J_\Sigma \oplus J_\nu.$$

Suppose that **the second fundamental form maps** $T_\Sigma^{1,0}$ to $\nu_{\mathbb{C}}^{1,0}$. Then J is constant and f is **holomorphic with respect to** J .

Of course, the converse holds.