

Kusuoka-Stroock gradient bounds for the filtering equation

Christian Litterer,
joint work with D. Crisan and T. Lyons

University of York

Durham, July 2017

Kusuoka-Stroock estimates for diffusion semigroups

Kusuoka and Stroock analysed the smoothness properties of the (perturbed) semigroup associated to a diffusion process:

$$(P_t^c \varphi)(x) = \mathbb{E} \left[\varphi(X_t^x) \exp \left(\int_0^t c(X_s^x) ds \right) \right], \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1},$$

where

$$X_t^x = x + \int_0^t V_0(X_s^x) ds + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t V_i(X_s^x) \circ dB_s^i, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

- $\{V_i \mid i = 0, \dots, N\}$ are C_b^∞ satisfying Kusuoka's UFG condition.
- B be a N -dimensional standard Brownian motion
- $c \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$

The UFG condition

Notation:



$$V_{[i]} = V_i, \quad V_{[\alpha \star i]} = [V_{[\alpha]}, V_i], \quad i \in \{0, \dots, N\},$$

- “lengths” of a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ are used:

$$|\alpha| = |(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| = n, \quad \|\alpha\| = \|(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)\| = n + \#\{i : \alpha_i = 0\}$$

- $\mathcal{A}_1(m)$ = the set of multi-indices α different from (0) for which $\|\alpha\| \leq m$.

Definition

The vector fields $\{V_i, 0 \leq i \leq N\}$ satisfy *the UFG condition of order m* if for any $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_1$ there exist $\varphi_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathcal{C}_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ such that

$$V_{[\alpha]} = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_1(m)} \varphi_{\alpha, \beta} V_{[\beta]}.$$

The Kusuoka Stroock gradient estimate

Theorem (Kusuoka and Stroock, 1987; Kusuoka 2003)

Suppose the V_i , $i = 0, \dots, N$ satisfy the UFG condition. For any $j, m > 0$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{A}_1$ there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(V_{[\alpha_1]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_j]} P_t^c \left(V_{[\alpha_{j+1}]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_m]} \varphi \right) \right) \right\|_{L^p(dx)} \\ & \leq ct^{-(\|\alpha_1\| + \cdots + \|\alpha_m\|)/2} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(dx)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $t \in (0, 1]$.

A randomly perturbed semigroup

Define the randomly perturbed semigroup

$$\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}(\varphi)(x) = \mathbb{E} [\varphi(X_t^x) Z_t(X^x, Y) | \mathcal{Y}_t](\omega), \quad t \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$Z_t(X^x, Y) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d_2} \int_0^t h^i(X_s^x) dY_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_2} \int_0^t h^i(X_s^x)^2 ds \right).$$

- $Y = \left\{ (Y_t^i)_{i=1}^{d_2}, t \geq 0 \right\}$ is a d_2 -dim Bm independent of X ,
 $\mathcal{Y}_t = \sigma\{Y_s, s \in [0, t]\}$.
- $h^i \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_2})$, $i = 1, \dots, d_2$

Application to the filtering equation

- Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be the probability space on which we have defined Y .
- Y drives the following linear parabolic stochastic PDE

$$d\rho_t^x(\varphi) = \rho_t^x(A\varphi)dt + \sum_{k=1}^{d_2} \rho_t^x(h_k\varphi)dY_t^k, \quad (3)$$

$$\rho_0^x = \delta_x.$$

- here ρ_t^x is a measure valued process, A is the following differential operator

$$A\varphi = V_0\varphi + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N V_i^2\varphi \quad (4)$$

and φ is a suitably chosen test function.

- equation (3) is called the Duncan-Mortensen-Zakai equation.
- plays a central role in non-linear filtering: The normalized solution of gives the conditional distribution of a partially observed stochastic process.

The non-linear filtering problem

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}})$ probability space, $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the usual conditions.

- the *signal* process:

$$dX_t = V_0(X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^N V_i(X_t) \circ dB_t^i, \quad X_0 = x, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

W an \mathcal{F}_t -adapted d_2 -dimensional Brownian motion independent of X .

- the *observation* process:

$$Y_t = \int_0^t h(X_s)ds + W_t, \quad (6)$$

$\mathcal{Y}_t = \sigma(Y_s, s \in [0, t]) \vee \mathcal{N}$, \mathcal{N} comprises all $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -null sets.

The filtering problem. Determine π_t , the conditional distribution of the signal X at time t given Y in the interval $[0, t]$.

The filtering problem continued

Let \mathbb{P} be absolutely continuous with respect to $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ such that

$$\left. \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}{d\mathbb{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t(X, Y).$$

$$Z_t(X, Y) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d_2} \int_0^t h^i(X_s) dY_s^i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d_2} \int_0^t h^i(X_s)^2 ds \right).$$

By Girsanov's theorem, under \mathbb{P} , Y is a Brownian motion independent of X ; additionally the law of X under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is the same as its law under \mathbb{P} .

Kallianpur-Striebel formula

$$\pi_t = \frac{\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}}{\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}(\mathbf{1})} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\mathbb{P}) - \text{a.s.}, \quad (8)$$

$$\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}(\varphi) = \mathbb{E}[\varphi(X_t) Z_t(X, Y) | \mathcal{Y}_t](\omega), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

- $\mathbf{1}$ is the constant function $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1}$.
- $\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}$ the *unnormalised* conditional distribution the signal.

Main Results

- Suppose the V_i , $i = 0, \dots, N$ satisfy the UFG condition

Theorem

Let $h \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Then there exists $c(\omega)$ a.s. finite such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(V_{[\alpha_1]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_j]} \rho_t^{Y(\omega)} \left(V_{[\alpha_{j+1}]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_m]} \varphi \right) \right) \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq c(\omega) t^{-(\|\alpha_1\| + \cdots + \|\alpha_m\|)/2} \|\varphi\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.

If $h \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$. There exists $c(\omega)$ a.s. finite such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(V_{[\alpha_1]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_j]} \rho_t^{Y(\omega)} \left(V_{[\alpha_{j+1}]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_m]} \varphi \right) \right) \right\|_p \\ & \leq c(\omega) t^{-(\|\alpha_1\| + \cdots + \|\alpha_m\|)/2} \|\varphi\|_p \end{aligned}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1})$, $p \in [1, \infty]$, $t \in (0, 1]$.

Results continued

Corollary

Let $h \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{d_1}, \mathbb{R}^{d_2})$. There exists $c(\omega)$ a.s. finite such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(V_{[\alpha_1]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_j]} \pi_t \left(V_{[\alpha_{j+1}]} \cdots V_{[\alpha_m]} \varphi \right) \right) \right\|_\infty \\ & \leq c(\omega) t^{-(\|\alpha_1\| + \cdots + \|\alpha_m\|)/2} \|\varphi\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.

- $V_i, i = 0, \dots, N$ satisfy the Hörmander condition: an estimate for the product of the likelihood function and the density of the signal follows

Step 1. Expand $\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}$

- Let $S(k)$ the set of all multi-indices \bar{q} with k entries in the set $\{1, \dots, d_2\}$.
- Introduce operators $R_{q, \bar{q}}$ where $q = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ is a non-empty multi-index with entries $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < 1$ and $\bar{q} = (i_1, \dots, i_{k-1})$ is a multi-index in $S(k-1)$

-

$$R_{(s,t), \emptyset}(\varphi) = P_{t-s}(\varphi)$$

and, inductively, for $k > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{(s,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_k), (i_1,\dots,i_{k-1})}(\varphi) &= R_{(s,t_1,t_2,\dots,t_{k-1})}(h_{i_{k-1}} P_{t_k-t_{k-1}}(\varphi)) \\ &= P_{t_1-s}(h_{i_1} P_{t_2-t_1} \dots (h_{i_{k-1}} P_{t_k-t_{k-1}}(\varphi))) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma

We have almost surely that

$$\rho_t^x(\varphi) = P_t(\varphi)(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\bar{q} \in S(m)} R_{0,t}^{m,\bar{q}}(\varphi) \quad (10)$$

where, for $\bar{q} = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$,

$$R_{0,t}^{m,\bar{q}}(\varphi) = \underbrace{\int_0^t \int_0^{t_m} \dots \int_0^{t_2}}_{m \text{ times}} R_{(0,t_1,\dots,t_m,t),\bar{q}}(\varphi)(x) dY_{t_1}^{i_1} \dots dY_{t_m}^{i_m}.$$

Step 2. Pathwise representation of the $R_t^m(\varphi)$

$$q_{s,t}^k(Y) = \underbrace{\int_s^t \int_s^{t_k} \dots \int_s^{t_2}}_{k \text{ times}} dY_{t_1} \dots dY_{t_k}^i$$

and $q_{s,\bar{t}}^{\bar{k}}(Y)$, $\bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ $t = (t_1, \dots, t_r)$ be the products of iterated integrals

$$q_{s,\bar{t}}^{\bar{k}}(Y) = \prod_{i=1}^r q_{s,t_i}^{k_i}(Y).$$

We define a formal degree on these products of iterated integrals by letting

$$\deg(q_{s,\bar{t}}^{\bar{k}}(Y)) = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i.$$

Next define the sets Θ_k

$$\Theta_k = \text{sp} \left\{ q_{s,\bar{t}}^{\bar{k}}(Y), \bar{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r), \sum_{i=1}^r k_i \leq k \right\}.$$

Also for $\bar{q} \in S(k)$ let $\bar{q} \in (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ define $\Phi_{\bar{q}}, \Psi_{\bar{q}}$, be the following operators

$$\Phi_{\bar{q}}\varphi = h^{i_1} \dots h^{i_k} \varphi$$

$$\Psi_{\bar{q}}\varphi = A(h^{i_1} \dots h^{i_k})\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^d V_i(h^{i_1} \dots h^{i_k})V_i\varphi.$$

and Γ be the set of operators

$$\Gamma = \{\Phi_{\bar{q}_1}, \Psi_{\bar{q}_2}, \Psi_{\bar{q}_1} \Phi_{\bar{q}_2}, \quad \bar{q}_1, \bar{q}_2 \in S(k), k \geq 1\}.$$

Theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{s,t}^m(\varphi) &= P_{t-s}(h^m\varphi)(x) \underbrace{\int_s^t \int_s^{t_m} \dots \int_s^{t_2}}_{m \text{ times}} dY_{t_1} \dots dY_{t_m} \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} q_{s,t}^{k,m}(Y) \underbrace{\int_s^t \int_s^{t_k} \dots \int_s^{t_2}}_{k \text{ times}} q_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k)}^{k,m}(Y) \bar{R}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k,t)}^k(\varphi)(x) dt_1 \dots dt_k \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^m \underbrace{\int_s^t \int_s^{t_k} \dots \int_s^{t_2}}_{k \text{ times}} \bar{q}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k)}^{k,m}(Y) \hat{R}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k,t)}^k(\varphi)(x) dt_1 \dots dt_k, \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

and $q_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k)}^{k,m}(Y)$, $\bar{q}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k)}^{k,m}(Y) \in \Theta_m$ are linear combinations of (products of) iterated integrals of Y and $\bar{R}_{(t_1,\dots,t_k,t)}^k(\varphi)$ are given by

$$\bar{R}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k,t)}^k(\varphi) = P_{t_1-s}(\bar{\Phi}_1 P_{t_2-t_1} \dots (\bar{\Phi}_k P_{t-t_k}(\varphi)))$$

and $\bar{\Phi}_i \in \Gamma$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

A first a priori estimate

Proposition

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3 let $\alpha, \beta \in A_1(\ell)$, $\gamma \in (1/3, 1/2)$ then there exists a r.v. $C(\omega, m, \gamma) > 0$ a.s. finite such that

$$\|V_{[\alpha]} R_{0,t}^m V_{[\beta]} \varphi\|_{\infty} \leq C(\omega, m, \gamma) t^{-(\|\alpha\| + \|\beta\|)/2 + m\gamma} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$$

for all $\varphi \in C_b^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof ideas

- We have Hölder type controls on the iterated integrals

$$\left| q_{s,t}^k(Y) \right| \leq \frac{(c(\omega, \gamma) |s - t|)^{k\gamma}}{\theta(k\gamma)!}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1$,

- estimate the regularity of the integral kernels $\bar{R}_{(s,t_1,\dots,t_k,t)}^k$ using the Kusuoka-Stroock techniques
- the kernels roughly have the form

$$P_{t_1-t_0} W_1 P_{t_2-t_1} W_2 \cdots W_k P_{t-t_k},$$

where $W_j = u_j V_j + v_j$ for some $u_j, v_j \in C_b^\infty$

Proof ideas continued

In spirit we have two kinds of regularity estimates for the heat kernel

- first:

$$\|\nabla P_t \varphi\|_\infty \leq C (\|\varphi\|_\infty + \|\nabla \varphi\|_\infty)$$

- second:

$$\|\nabla P_t \varphi\|_\infty \leq C t^{-\ell/2} \|\varphi\|_\infty$$

- kernels are integrated over a simplex
- use the second estimate on the largest interval of the partition
- this interval is always at least T/k

Proof of the main theorem ct'ed

- the estimate for the R^m follows
- Back to the perturbation expansion: the asymptotics of the series are determined by the lower order terms
- Are we done?
- **no** : the estimates are not summable!

take a step back:

- define Sobolev and distribution type spaces H^1 and H^{-1} :
encode the effect of $V_{[\alpha]}$ and $V_{[\beta]}$
- regard the $R_{s,t}^m$ as operators from H^{-1} to H^1
- The $R_{s,t}^m$ satisfy for $0 \leq s < u < t \leq T$

$$R_{s,t}^m = \sum_{j=0}^m R_{s,u}^j R_{u,t}^{m-j}$$

an algebraic relation known as the multiplicative property in the rough path context

- such functionals also arise in the work of Deya, Gubinelli, Tindel et al when analysing the rough heat equation
- the a priori estimates provide us with bounds for the first few R^m
- Can we use the arguments of the extension theorem to get factorial decay?

Rough paths

- introduced by T. Lyons in the 90s to model and analyse the interaction of highly oscillatory and potentially non-differentiable systems

$$dY_t = f(Y_t)dX_t$$

- A rough path \mathbf{X} of order $p \in [2, 3)$ with values in a Banach space W is a pair of functions

$$\mathbf{X}_{s,t} := (x_{s,t}, \mathbb{X}_{s,t}) \in W \oplus W \otimes W,$$

where $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

- think of $x_{s,t}$ as the increment of the path x itself and $\mathbb{X}_{s,t}$ as an area term.
- satisfy an analytic p -variation type constraint on increments and area
- satisfy an algebraic constraint

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t} - \mathbb{X}_{u,t} - \mathbb{X}_{s,u} = x_{s,u} \otimes x_{u,t}$$

Stochastic processes lifted to rough paths

Motivating this definition: The (truncated) signature

$$S_{s,t}(\varphi) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \int_{s < t_1 < \dots < t_j < t} d\varphi_{t_1} \otimes \dots \otimes d\varphi_{t_j}$$

- A great variety of stochastic processes lift to rough paths e.g. every \mathbb{R}^d valued continuous semi-martingale, a great number of Gaussian processes, etc. If x_t is a semi-martingale we may define

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t} = \int_{s \leq \tau \leq t} x_{\tau} \otimes dx_{\tau}$$

- the choice of the stochastic integration matters!
- obtain a pathwise approach to stochastic calculus

The rough path extension theorem

Theorem (Lyons)

Let $p \geq 1$ and $n \geq \lfloor p \rfloor$ and suppose $X : \Delta_T \rightarrow T^n(V)$ is a multiplicative function with finite p -variation controlled by ω . Then for every $m \geq \lfloor p \rfloor + 1$ there exists a unique continuous function $X^m : \Delta_T \rightarrow V^{\otimes m}$ such that

$$(s, t) \rightarrow X_{s,t} = \left(1, X_{s,t}^1, \dots, X_{s,t}^{\lfloor p \rfloor}, \dots, X_{s,t}^m, \dots\right) \in T((V))$$

is a multiplicative functional with

$$\|X_{s,t}^i\| \leq \frac{\omega(s, t)^{i/p}}{\theta(i/p)!}$$

for all $i \geq 1$, $(s, t) \in \Delta_T$.

The neo-classical inequality

Theorem (Neo-classical inequality, Lyons 98)

For any $q \in [1, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s, t \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{s^{\frac{i}{q}} t^{\frac{n-i}{q}}}{\left(\frac{i}{q}\right)! \left(\frac{n-i}{q}\right)!} \leq \frac{(s+t)^{n/q}}{(n/q)!}.$$

Consequences of the a priori estimates

Let ℓ be the smallest number for which we can satisfy UFG.

Lemma

For any $0 < \gamma < 1/2$, $m \geq 1$ there exist random variables $c(\gamma, m, \omega)$ such that, almost surely

$$\|R_{s,t}^m\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^{-1}} \leq c(\gamma, m, \omega) |t - s|^{m\gamma}. \quad (12)$$

$$\|R_{s,t}^m\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \leq c(\gamma, m, \omega) |t - s|^{m\gamma}. \quad (13)$$

and finally

$$\|R_{s,t}^m\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1} \leq c(\gamma, m, \omega) |t - s|^{m\gamma - 2\ell}. \quad (14)$$

for all $0 < s < t < 1$.

Preliminary factorial decay estimates

Lemma

For any $1/3 < \gamma < 1/2$ there exist a constant $\theta > 0$ and random variables $c(\gamma, \omega)$, almost surely finite, such that

$$\|R_{s,t}^n\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \leq \frac{(c(\gamma, \omega) |t - s|)^{n\gamma}}{\theta (n\gamma)!}. \quad (15)$$

and

$$\|R_{s,t}^n\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^{-1}} \leq \frac{(c(\gamma, \omega) |t - s|)^{n\gamma}}{\theta (n\gamma)!} \quad (16)$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < s < t \leq 1$.

The main decay estimate

Proposition

Under the assumptions of Theorem 3 there exist constants $\theta > 0$, $\gamma' \in (1/3, 1/2)$, $m_0(\gamma', \ell) \in \mathbb{N}$ and a random variable $c(\gamma', \omega)$, almost surely finite, such that

$$\|R_{0,t}^m\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1} \leq \frac{(c(\gamma', \omega) t)^{m\gamma'}}{\theta (m\gamma')!} \quad (17)$$

for all $m \geq m_0$ and $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof ideas

- pick $\gamma' \in (1/3, \gamma)$
- for $m \geq m_0$ sufficiently large $m\gamma - 2\ell \geq m\gamma'$ and

$$\|R_{s,t}^m\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1} \leq c(\gamma, m, \omega) |t - s|^{m\gamma'}. \quad (18)$$

- m_0 depends on γ' and ℓ
- the estimate in (18) with $m \in [m_0, 2m_0]$ serves as inductive hypothesis
- construct the extension for $n > 2m_0$

Proof ideas continued

- Two ways to estimate the operator norm $H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1$ of the composition $R_{s,u}^m R_{u,t}^m$
- Suppose $m \geq 2m_0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|R_{s,u}^j R_{u,t}^{m-j}\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1} \\ & \leq \min \left(\|R_{s,u}^j\|_{H^1 \rightarrow H^1} \|R_{u,t}^{m-j}\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1}, \|R_{s,u}^j\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1} \|R_{u,t}^{m-j}\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^{-1}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- consequence: for $m \geq 2m_0$ we can always find a Hölder type bound for $\|R_{s,u}^j R_{u,t}^{m-j}\|_{H^{-1} \rightarrow H^1}$
- use the preliminary factorial decay estimates and the inductive hypothesis
- the missing ingredient to apply the arguments of the extension theorem

Putting it all together

- recall

$$\rho_t^{Y(\omega)}(\varphi) = P_t(\varphi) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} R_{0,t}^m(\varphi) \quad (19)$$

- the second, factorially decaying, estimate holds for $m \geq m_0$
- m_0 depends on γ' and the geometry of the problem
- the small time asymptotics of these estimates are not sharp
- consider a mix of the a priori and the factorially decaying estimates
- cut off depends in an explicit way on the number of derivatives we are considering
- the resulting estimate has sharp small time asymptotics

Proof of the L^p estimate

- follow the arguments of Kusuoka and Stroock
- prove an L^1 estimate by duality arguments and deduce the claim using Riesz-Thorin interpolation

-

$$\|\varphi\|_1 = \sup_{\substack{\|g\|_\infty \leq 1 \\ g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \left| \int \varphi g \right|. \quad (20)$$

- identify the (formal) adjoint of the semi-group P_t

Proof of the L^p estimate continued

Let

$$\tilde{c} = \operatorname{div}(V_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d V_j (\operatorname{div}(V_j)) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d (\operatorname{div}(V_j))^2$$

and

$$\tilde{V}_0 = -V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d V_j (\operatorname{div}(V_j)).$$

Let \tilde{X}_t be the diffusion associated to the vector fields $(\tilde{V}_0, V_1, \dots, V_d)$. Set

$$P_t^* \varphi(x) := E \left(\exp \left(\int_0^t \tilde{c}(\tilde{X}_s^x) ds \right) \varphi(\tilde{X}_t^x) \right).$$

Theorem (Kusuoka-Stroock)

Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then we have

$$\int P_t \varphi(x) g(x) dx = \int \varphi(x) P_t^* g(x) dx,$$

i.e. the semi group P_t^ is the (formal) adjoint to P_t .*

- iteratively use the adjoint relation on each term R^m in the perturbation expansion
- conclude the estimate by applying (appropriately modified versions of) the forward estimates