

# Convergence analysis of MCMC algorithms for Bayesian robust multivariate regression

Jim Hobert  
U. of Florida

Collaborators: Yeun-Ji Jung, Kshitij Khare & Qian Qin

- Outline:
- I. A robust Bayesian multivariate regression model
  - II. A data augmentation algorithm
  - III. The main result
  - IV. Three slides on drift & minorization calculations

## I. A robust Bayesian multivariate regression model

$$Y = X\beta + E$$

- $Y$  is an  $n \times d$  matrix of observables
- $X$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix of known covariates
- $\beta$  is an unknown  $p \times d$  matrix of regression parameters
- $E = (\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n)^T$  where  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are iid error vectors of dim  $d$

Standard model:  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  iid  $N_d(0, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is unknown

## I. A robust Bayesian multivariate regression model

$$Y = X\beta + E$$

- $Y$  is an  $n \times d$  matrix of observables
- $X$  is an  $n \times p$  matrix of known covariates
- $\beta$  is an unknown  $p \times d$  matrix of regression parameters
- $E = (\varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n)^T$  where  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are iid error vectors of dim  $d$

Standard model:  $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$  iid  $N_d(0, \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma$  is unknown

Alternative model: Use an error density of the form

$$f(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{z}{2} \varepsilon^T \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon \right\} h(z) dz$$

$$\varepsilon|Z \sim N_d\left(0, \frac{\Sigma}{Z}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad Z \sim h(\cdot)$$

$$Y = X\beta + E \quad \text{and} \quad f(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z}{2} \varepsilon^T \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon} h(z) dz$$

Joint density of the observable data (i.e. likelihood) is

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \beta^T \mathbf{x}_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \beta^T \mathbf{x}_i)} h(z) dz \right]$$

Default prior for  $(\beta, \Sigma)$ :  $\pi(\beta, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} I_{\mathcal{S}_d}(\Sigma)$

The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma|\mathbf{y}) \propto f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

$$Y = X\beta + E \quad \text{and} \quad f(\varepsilon) = \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z}{2} \varepsilon^T \Sigma^{-1} \varepsilon} h(z) dz$$

Joint density of the observable data (i.e. likelihood) is

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{\frac{d}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \beta^T \mathbf{x}_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \beta^T \mathbf{x}_i)} h(z) dz \right]$$

Default prior for  $(\beta, \Sigma)$ :  $\pi(\beta, \Sigma) \propto |\Sigma|^{-\frac{d+1}{2}} I_{\mathcal{S}_d}(\Sigma)$

The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma | \mathbf{y}) \propto f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

The Bayesian wants posterior expectations of the form:

$$E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | \mathbf{y}] = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} g(\beta, \Sigma) f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma d\beta}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} f(\mathbf{y}|\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma d\beta}$$

The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) \propto f(y | \beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

The Bayesian wants a posterior expectation

$$E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} g(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\Sigma d\beta$$

The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) \propto f(y | \beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

The Bayesian wants a posterior expectation

$$E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} g(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\Sigma d\beta$$

MCMC solution: Simulate a Markov chain  $\{(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  with invariant density  $\pi$  and estimate  $E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y]$  with:

$$\bar{g}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)$$

The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) \propto f(y | \beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

The Bayesian wants a posterior expectation

$$E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} g(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\Sigma d\beta$$

MCMC solution: Simulate a Markov chain  $\{(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  with invariant density  $\pi$  and estimate  $E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y]$  with:

$$\bar{g}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)$$

Q: How do we choose  $m$ ?



The (intractable) posterior  $\pi : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is given by:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) \propto f(y | \beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma)$$

The Bayesian wants a posterior expectation

$$E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} g(\beta, \Sigma) \pi(\beta, \Sigma | y) d\Sigma d\beta$$

MCMC solution: Simulate a Markov chain  $\{(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$  with invariant density  $\pi$  and estimate  $E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y]$  with:

$$\bar{g}_m = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} g(\beta_i, \Sigma_i)$$

Q: How do we choose  $m$ ?

Answer: As in classical Monte Carlo, we use the CLT

$$\sqrt{m} \left( \bar{g}_m - E[g(\beta, \Sigma) | y] \right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(0, \gamma^2)$$

## II. A Data augmentation algorithm (C. Liu, 1996, *JASA*)

Latent data model: Let  $\{(Y_i, U_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be independent pairs st

$$Y_i|U_i \sim N_d\left(\beta^T x_i, \frac{\Sigma}{U_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad U_i \sim h(\cdot)$$

Since  $f(y|\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(y, u|\beta, \Sigma) du$ , we have:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma|y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{\pi(\beta, \Sigma) f(y, u|\beta, \Sigma)}{m(y)} du = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta, \Sigma, u|y) du$$

## II. A Data augmentation algorithm (C. Liu, 1996, *JASA*)

Latent data model: Let  $\{(Y_i, U_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  be independent pairs st

$$Y_i | U_i \sim N_d\left(\beta^T x_i, \frac{\Sigma}{U_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad U_i \sim h(\cdot)$$

Since  $f(y|\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(y, u|\beta, \Sigma) du$ , we have:

$$\pi(\beta, \Sigma|y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \frac{\pi(\beta, \Sigma) f(y, u|\beta, \Sigma)}{m(y)} du = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta, \Sigma, u|y) du$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma'|\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma'|u, y) \pi(u|\beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

Simulating this Markov chain is easy!

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

$$r_i = r_i(\beta, \Sigma) = (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)$$

$$\pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \pi(\Sigma' | u, y) \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \text{IW} \times \text{matrix normal}$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

$$r_i = r_i(\beta, \Sigma) = (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)$$

$$\pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \pi(\Sigma' | u, y) \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \text{IW} \times \text{matrix normal}$$

Q: Do there exist  $C : \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1)$  st

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\rho \times d}} \left| k^m(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) - \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | y) \right| d\beta d\Sigma \leq C(\beta, \Sigma) \rho^m$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

$$r_i = r_i(\beta, \Sigma) = (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)$$

$$\pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \pi(\Sigma' | u, y) \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \text{IW} \times \text{matrix normal}$$

Q: Do there exist  $C : \mathbb{R}^{\rho \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1)$  st

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\rho \times d}} |k^m(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) - \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | y)| d\beta d\Sigma \leq C(\beta, \Sigma) \rho^m$$

Drift and minorization conditions yield formulas for  $C$  and  $\rho$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

$$r_i = r_i(\beta, \Sigma) = (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i)$$

$$\pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \pi(\Sigma' | u, y) \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) = \text{IW} \times \text{matrix normal}$$

Q: Do there exist  $C : \mathbb{R}^{p \times d} \times \mathcal{S}_d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\rho \in [0, 1)$  st

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}_d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \left| k^m(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) - \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | y) \right| d\beta d\Sigma \leq C(\beta, \Sigma) \rho^m$$

Drift and minorization conditions yield formulas for  $C$  and  $\rho$

$$\text{Drift: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\text{Minorization: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) \geq \epsilon f^*(\beta', \Sigma')$$

### III. The main result

$$Y_i|U_i \sim N_d\left(\beta^T x_i, \frac{\Sigma}{U_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad U_i \sim h(\cdot)$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma'|\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma'|u, y) \pi(u|\beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

Def:  $h$  is PNO( $c$ ) if  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} \in (0, \infty)$

Examples: Gamma, F, Weibull

Def:  $h$  is FPNO if for each  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists \eta_c > 0$  st  $\frac{h(u)}{u^c} \uparrow$  for  $u \in (0, \eta_c)$

Examples: IG, Log-normal, GIG, Fréchet



### III. The main result

$$Y_i|U_i \sim N_d\left(\beta^T x_i, \frac{\Sigma}{U_i}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad U_i \sim h(\cdot)$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma'|\beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma'|u, y) \pi(u|\beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

Def:  $h$  is PNO( $c$ ) if  $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(u)}{u^c} \in (0, \infty)$

Examples: Gamma, F, Weibull

Def:  $h$  is FPNO if for each  $c > 0$ ,  $\exists \eta_c > 0$  st  $\frac{h(u)}{u^c} \uparrow$  for  $u \in (0, \eta_c)$

Examples: IG, Log-normal, GIG, Fréchet

Proposition: If  $h$  is FPNO or PNO( $c$ ) with  $c > (n - p)/2$ , then the DA Markov chain is geometrically ergodic

## IV. Three slides on drift & minorization calculations

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\text{NTS: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\text{Drift fcn: } V(\beta, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$$

## IV. Three slides on drift & minorization calculations

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\text{NTS: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\text{Drift fcn: } V(\beta, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$$

Roy & H (2010, *JMVA*) showed that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq (n - p + d) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i}$$

## IV. Three slides on drift & minorization calculations

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\text{NTS: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{S_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\text{Drift fcn: } V(\beta, \Sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta^T x_i)^T \Sigma^{-1} (y_i - \beta^T x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$$

Roy & H (2010, *JMVA*) showed that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{S_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq (n - p + d) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i}$$

and that when  $h$  is gamma

$$(n - p + d) \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right] \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\text{NTS: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq (n - p + d) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i}$$

$$\text{Recall: } \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

Thus, in the general case, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right] \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i z}{2}} h(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i z}{2}} h(z) dz}$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

$$\text{NTS: } \int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq \lambda V(\beta, \Sigma) + L$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{p \times d}} \int_{\mathcal{S}_d} V(\beta', \Sigma') \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) d\Sigma' d\beta' \leq (n - p + d) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i}$$

$$\text{Recall: } \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$$

Thus, in the general case, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{u_i} \right] \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i z}{2}} h(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i z}{2}} h(z) dz}$$

It suffices to show that, for all  $s \geq 0$ ,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d-2}{2}} e^{-\frac{sz}{2}} h(z) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} z^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{sz}{2}} h(z) dz} \leq \lambda s + L$$

$$\text{Mtd for DA: } k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) du$$

Suppose we find  $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}_+^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$  st

$$\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) \geq \epsilon \tilde{f}(u) \text{ whenever } V(\beta, \Sigma) \leq t$$

Then we have minorization: For all  $(\beta, \Sigma)$  st  $V(\beta, \Sigma) \leq t$ ,

$$k(\beta', \Sigma' | \beta, \Sigma) \geq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} \pi(\beta', \Sigma' | u, y) \tilde{f}(u) du = \epsilon f^*(\beta', \Sigma')$$

Recall:  $\pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) = \prod_{i=1}^n b(r_i) u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} h(u_i)$

But  $b(r_i) \geq [\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{d}{2}} h(z) dz]^{-1}$  and  $e^{-\frac{r_i u_i}{2}} \geq e^{-\frac{t u_i}{2}}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(u | \beta, \Sigma, y) &\geq \left[ \frac{\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{tz}{2}} h(z) dz}{\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{d}{2}} h(z) dz} \right]^n \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{u_i^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{t u_i}{2}} h(u_i)}{\int_0^\infty z^{\frac{d}{2}} e^{-\frac{tz}{2}} h(z) dz} \\ &:= \epsilon \tilde{f}(u) \end{aligned}$$