# Math 164: Optimization – Homework 6

Due: November 4, 2016

## Exercise 1.

Exercises 8.18 and 8.20 from the book of Chong and Zak.

## Exercise 2.

Exercises 8.22 and 9.1 (without (d-e)) from the book of Chong and Zak.

#### Exercise 3.

Exercises 9.3 and 10.5 from the book of Chong and Zak.

#### Exercise 4.

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Qx$ , where  $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We aim to construct some algorithms that approximate some local minimizers of f.

- (1) Find all the local minimizers of f. Are they global? Why?
- (2) Explain why one cannot use the usual Newton algorithm to find some of the minimizers from (1).
- (3) Can we use the steepest descent algorithm with *optimal step size* to approximate some one of the local minimizers of f? Explain why or why not. If yes, compute 2 iterations using this algorithm. Here one supposes that  $x^0$  is not a local minimizer of f.
- (4) Can we use the steepest descent algorithm with fixed step size  $\alpha > 0$  to approximate some one of the local minimizers of f? Explain why or why not. Is there some  $\alpha > 0$  such that this algorithm would converge in one step to some of the local minimizers globally? If yes, what is the geometric relation between  $x^0$  and  $x^1$ ? Here one supposes that  $x^0$  is not a local minimizer of f.
- (5) In (2) one has seen that one cannot use the usual Newton method in this situation. So, let us consider the following modification of if: define the sequence of possible approximations as

$$x^{k+1} = x^{k} - \left(D^{2}f(x^{k}) + \alpha_{k}I_{2}\right)^{-1}\nabla f(x^{k}),$$

for some sequence of positive real numbers  $\alpha_k$ , that we have to construct as well. Why is this sequence now well-defined? Write down explicitly  $x^{k+1}$  in terms of  $x^k$  and  $\alpha_k$ . What is happening if we use a sequence such that  $\lim_{k\to+\infty} \alpha_k = 0$ ? Can we use a constant sequence  $\alpha_k = \alpha > 0$  if one wishes to have convergence in one step? Here also, one supposes that  $x^0$  is not a local minimizer of f. What is happening if  $\alpha_k$  is very large?