

Problem class 4

Exercise 1. Prove the following statement: Let \mathcal{X} be a Banach space with Schauder basis $\mathcal{B} = \{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and denote by

$$\mathcal{X}_n = \overline{\text{span}\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}, k \neq n}}.$$

If for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $e_n \notin \mathcal{X}_n$ then there exists a unique sequence $\{f^{(n)}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{X}^*$ such that $f^{(n)}(e_j) = \delta_{n,j}$. Moreover, denoting by

$$d_n = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{X}_n} \|e_n - y\|$$

we have that $d_n > 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|f^{(n)}\| = \frac{1}{d_n}$.

Sketch: Since \mathcal{X}_n is closed

and $e_n \notin \mathcal{X}_n$ we have that

$$d_n = \inf_{y \in \mathcal{X}_n} \|e_n - y\| > 0.$$

Let's construct $f^{(n)}$. Any $x \in \mathcal{X}$

can be written uniquely as

$$x = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j(x) e_j$$

if $f^{(n)}(e_j) = \delta_{n,j}$ and $f^{(n)} \in \mathcal{X}^*$

then

$$f^{(n)}(x) = f^{(n)}\left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j(x) e_j\right)$$

$$\text{cont. } \Rightarrow \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j(x) f^{(n)}(c_j) = \alpha_n(x)$$

Define $f^{(n)}(x) = \alpha_n(x)$. We'll show that it is bounded

$$\|x\| = \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j(x) e_j \right\| = \left\| \alpha_n(x) e_n + \sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ j \neq n}} \alpha_j(x) e_j \right\|$$

$$\text{if } \alpha_n(x) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \|\alpha_n(x)\| \left\| e_n + \frac{\sum_{\substack{j \in \mathbb{N} \\ j \neq n}} \alpha_j(x) e_j}{\alpha_n(x)} \right\|$$

$$= \|\alpha_n(x)\| \left\| e_n - \frac{1}{\alpha_n(x)} \right\|$$

$$\geq \|\alpha_n(x)\| \|\alpha_n(x)\|$$

$$\Rightarrow \|f^{(n)}(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\|}{\|\alpha_n(x)\|} \quad \text{when } f^{(n)}(x) \neq 0.$$

Rest - in typed notes.

Exercise 2. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be normed spaces. A linear operator T is called *compact* if for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{X}$ there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{X}$ such that the sequence $\{Tx_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{Y}$ converges. Show that if T is compact then for any bounded set $M \subseteq X$ we have that $\overline{T(M)}$ is compact in \mathcal{Y} and conclude that if T is compact then it is bounded.

Hint: You may use the following known fact from the theory of metric spaces: A set A in a metric space satisfies that \overline{A} is compact¹ if and only if any sequence of elements in A has a subsequence that converges in the space.

Solution: Let $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset T(M)$

we'd like to show that there

exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset T(M)$ that converges (in \mathcal{Y}).

By definition $\exists \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset M$ s.t

$y_n = Tx_n$
 $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded as it is in

the bounded set M , and as T

is compact, there exists a subsequence

$\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t

$y_{n_k} = Tx_{n_k}$

converges!

$\Rightarrow \overline{T(U)}$ is compact.

To show continuity we notice that

$$M = \{x \in X \mid \|x\| = 1\}$$

is bounded.

$\Rightarrow \overline{T(U)}$ is compact

and as such bounded

$\Rightarrow \exists C > 0$ s.t. $\forall x \in M$

$$\|Tx\| \leq C$$

$$\in \overline{T(U)}$$

\Rightarrow

$$\sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\| \leq C$$

i.e. T is bounded.

Exercise 3. Show that there exists a functional in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ that is not of the form f_b for some $b \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$.

Hint: Using the fact that

$$f_{\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n e_n} = \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\alpha_n} f_{e_n}$$

conclude that if every $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ is of the form f_b for some $b \in \ell_1(\mathbb{N})$ then $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ is separable.

Solution. We know that for any $\vec{a} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$

$f_{\vec{b}}$ (defined as
 $f_{\vec{b}}(\vec{a}) = \sum a_n b_n$)

is well defined and $\|f_{\vec{b}}\| \leq \|\vec{b}\|_1$.

We claim that

$$f_{\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \vec{e}_n} = \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\alpha_n} f_{\vec{e}_n}$$

Indeed for any $\vec{a} \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{N})$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \vec{e}_n}(\vec{a}) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^N a_j \vec{e}_j \right)_n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \overline{a_n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\alpha_n} f_{\vec{e}_n}(\vec{a}) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \overline{\alpha_n} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j (\vec{e}_n)_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \alpha_n \overline{a_n} \end{aligned}$$

We know that $\{\bar{e}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Schauder basis to $l_1(\mathbb{N}) \Rightarrow \forall b \in l_1(\mathbb{N})$ and any $\varepsilon > 0 \exists N$ and $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\|b - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \bar{e}_n\|_1 < \varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow \|f_b - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n f_{\bar{e}_n}\| &= \|f_b - f_{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \bar{e}_n}\| \\ &= \|f_b - f_{\sum_{n=1}^N a_n \bar{e}_n}\| \leq \|b - \sum_{n=1}^N a_n \bar{e}_n\|_1 < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{span}\{f_{\bar{e}_n}\}$ is dense in the set $\{f_b \mid b \in l_1(\mathbb{N})\}$

Thus if $l_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ consists only of f_b 's with $b \in l_1(\mathbb{N})$ we find that $l_\infty(\mathbb{N})^* = \overline{\text{span}\{f_{\bar{e}_n}\}}$ - separable

$\rightarrow l_\infty(\mathbb{N})^*$ is separable, but according to a theorem from class this implies that $l_\infty(\mathbb{N})$ is separable, a contradiction.

Exercise 4. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Show that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} x$.
- (ii) $x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{w} x$ and $\|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \|x\|$.

Solution:

We'll show that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in a general Banach space.

If $x_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} x$ (i.e. $\|x_n - x\| \rightarrow 0$)

we know that

$$\|x_n\| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \|x\|$$

by the continuity of the norm.

Next consider $f \in X^*$

$$0 \leq |f(x_n) - f(x)| = |f(x_n - x)|$$

$$\leq \|f\| \|x_n - x\|$$

\downarrow $n \rightarrow \infty$

0

By pinching lemma $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$

$$\text{i.e. } f(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x).$$

Note: f is cont. so we could have immediately said that

$$f(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x)$$

(ii) \rightarrow (i) relies on the Hilbert structure.

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = \|x_n\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \langle x_n, x \rangle + \|x\|^2$$

We know that for any $y \in H$

$$f_y(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

is in H^* . As $x_n \xrightarrow{w} x$

$$\langle x_n, x \rangle = f_x(x_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f_x(x) = \langle x, x \rangle = \|x\|^2$$

$$\text{Also } \|x_n\|^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|^2$$

\Rightarrow

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \|x\|^2 + \|x\|^2 = 0$$