## PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS III & V PROBLEM CLASS 8 SOLUTION

Exercise 1 (Properties of the fundamental solution to the Heat equation). Let

$$\Phi(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4kt}}$$

where k > 0 is given, be the fundamental solution to the Heat equation.

(i) Show that for any  $p \ge 1$ 

$$\|\Phi(x,t)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = \frac{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{2p}}}{p^{\frac{n}{2p}}}$$

You may use the fact that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{2}} dy = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ . In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x,t) dx = \|\Phi(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$$

for all t > 0.

(ii) Young's convolution inequality states that if  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  are such that

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}$$

for some  $r \in [1,\infty]$  then  $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\|f * g\|_{L^{r}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \|g\|_{L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

Use this to show that for any  $g \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have that for any  $r \ge 1$  and any  $1 \le p \le r$ 

$$\left| \Phi(\cdot, t) * g \right|_{L^r} \leq \frac{C_{p,n,k} \left\| g \right\|_{L^{\frac{rp}{rp+p-r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{t^{\frac{n(p-1)}{2p}}}$$

where  $C_{p,n,k}$  is an explicit constant that depends only on p, n, and k.

Solution:

(i)

$$\begin{split} \|\Phi(x,t)\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p} &= \frac{1}{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{pn}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{p|x|^{2}}{4kt}} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{y=\sqrt{\frac{p}{2kt}}x} \frac{1}{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{pn}{2}}} \left(\frac{2kt}{p}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-\frac{|y|^{2}}{2}} dy = \frac{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{2}}}{p^{\frac{n}{2}}}, \end{split}$$

from which the result follows.

(ii) This follows from the fact that if<sup>1</sup>

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r},$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that this inequality automatically implies that  $p, q \le r$  if  $p, q, r \in [1, \infty]$ .

then  $q = \frac{rp}{rp+p-r}$ , part (i), and Young's convolution inequality. Indeed,

$$\left\| \Phi(\cdot,t) \ast g \right\|_{L^r} \le \|\Phi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| g \right\|_{L^{\frac{rp}{rp+p-r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \frac{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{n(p-1)}{2p}}}{p^{\frac{n}{2p}}} \left\| g \right\|_{L^{\frac{rp}{rp+p-r}}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

**Exercise 2** (Bonus – additional bounds on  $u = \Phi * g$ ). Consider the solution to the heat equation

$$u_t(x,t) - k\Delta u(x,t) = 0, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ t > 0$$
  
$$u(x,0) = g(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where k > 0 and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , given by

$$u(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(x-y,t) g(y) dy$$

with

$$\Phi(x,t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi kt)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4kt}}.$$

(i) Show that if  $g \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then so is *u*. Moreover

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \leq \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) If  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  one can show that  $u(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all t > 0 (follows from the previous exercise!). Show that for any t > 0

$$||u(\cdot, t)||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq ||g||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

*Hint:* You may use the following:

$$\Phi \ge 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(z, t) dz = 1, \ \forall t > 0.$$
$$\widehat{\Phi}(\xi, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{-kt|\xi|^2}.$$

Solution:

(i) We have that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and t > 0

$$|u(x,t)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\Phi(x-y,t)| |g(y)| dy \leq ||g||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(x-y,t) dy$$
  
$$= \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(z,t) dz = \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}.$$

Consequently

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty))} \leq \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

(ii) Passing to the Fourier transform in the spatial variable we find that

$$\widehat{u}(\xi,t) = \widehat{\Phi(\cdot,t) \ast g}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \widehat{\Phi}(\xi,t) \,\widehat{g}(\xi) = \widehat{g}(\xi) \, e^{-kt|\xi|^2}.$$

^

Using to Plancherel's identity we find that

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \|\widehat{u}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\widehat{u}(\xi,t)|^{2} d\xi$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(\xi)|^{2} e^{-2kt|\xi|^{2}} d\xi \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |g(\xi)|^{2} d\xi = \|\widehat{g}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2} = \|g\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{2}$$

giving us the desired result.

**Exercise 3** (*The energy method: Uniqueness for the heat equation in a time dependent domain*). Let k > 0, T > 0 be given. Let  $a, b : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$  be smooth functions such that a(t) < b(t) for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R} \times (0, T]$  be the non-cylindrical domain

$$U = \{ (x, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, T] \mid a(t) < x < b(t) \}.$$

Consider the heat equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t - ku_{xx} = f(x, t) & (x, t) \in U, \\ u(a(t), t) = g_1(t) & t \in [0, T], \\ u(b(t), t) = g_2(t) & t \in [0, T], \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in (a(0), b(0)). \end{cases}$$

Use the energy method to prove that the equation has at most one smooth solution.

## Solution:

Assuming there exist two smooth solution to the equation,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we start by defining  $w = u_1 - u_2$ . The linearity of the equation implies that w solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t - k w_{xx} = 0 \quad (x, t) \in U, \\ w(a(t), t) = 0 \quad t \in [0, T], \\ w(b(t), t) = 0 \quad t \in [0, T], \\ w(x, 0) = 0 \quad x \in (a(0), b(0)). \end{cases}$$

As is common with the energy method, we will multiply our equation by a function and integrating by parts. In this case (though not always!) it will be w. We have that

$$\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w_t(x,t) w(x,t) \, dx = k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w(x,t) \, w_{xx}(x,t) \, dx.$$

Since

$$\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w(x,t) w_{xx}(x,t) dx = \underbrace{w(b(t),t)}_{=0} w_x(b(t),t) - \underbrace{w(a(t),t)}_{=0} w_x(a(t),t) - \underbrace{w(a(t),t)}_{=0} w_$$

and  $w_t w = \frac{1}{2} \partial_t (w^2)$  we find that

$$\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \partial_t (w^2)(x,t) \, dx = -2k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w_x^2(x,t) \, dx.$$

We would like to write  $\int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \partial_t (w^2) dx$  as a full time derivative. This is not immediately clear as the boundaries of the integration also depend on *t*. We notice, however, that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w^2(x,t) \, dx = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \partial_t \left( w^2 \right)(x,t) \, dx + \underbrace{w^2(b(t),t)}_{=0} b'(t) \\ -\underbrace{w^2(a(t),t)}_{=0} a'(t) = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \partial_t \left( w^2 \right)(x,t) \, dx,$$

which is justified since all the functions are smooth. We conclude that our equation can be written as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w^2(x,t) \, dx = -2k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w_x^2(x,t) \, dx \le 0,$$

which implies that the energy

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w^2(x, t) \, dx$$

is non-increasing. Consequently

$$0 \le E(t) \le E(0) = \int_{a(0)}^{b(0)} w^2(x,0) \, dx = 0$$

from which we conclude that, since *w* is continuous, w(x, t) = 0 on *U* or  $u_1 \equiv u_2$ . **Remark:** We could have started by guessing (or being given) the energy and finding its derivative. Indeed, defining

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w^2(x, t) \, dx$$

we find that (again, all the functions are smooth)

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} \partial_t \left(w^2\right)(x,t) dx + \underbrace{\frac{w^2(b(t),t)b'(t)}{2}}_{=0}$$
$$-\underbrace{\frac{w^2(a(t),t)a'(t)}{2}}_{=0} = \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w(x,t) w_t(x,t) dx$$
$$= k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w(x,t) w_{xx}(x,t) dx = k \underbrace{w(b(t),t)}_{=0} w_x(b(t),t) - k \underbrace{w(a(t),t)}_{=0} w_x(a(t),t)$$
$$-k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w_x^2(x,t) dx = -k \int_{a(t)}^{b(t)} w_x^2(x,t) dx \le 0.$$

**Exercise 4** (*Grönwall's inequality*). Another important inequality in the study of PDEs, in particular in the study of long time behaviour of solutions, is the so-called Grönwall's inequality which state that if  $y : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and differentiable on (0, T), and if there exists  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$y'(t) \le \lambda y(t)$$

then we have that

$$y(t) \le y(0)e^{\lambda t}.$$

Prove Grönwall's inequality.

**Remark:** The above inequality can be generalised to show that if

$$y'(t) \le \lambda(t) y(t)$$

then  $y(t) \le y(0)e^{\int_0^t \lambda(s)ds}$ . There are additional important Grönwall inequalities which we won't mention at this point.

PROBLEM CLASS 8 SOLUTION

Solution:

We note that we can write our inequality as

$$y'(t) - \lambda y(t) \le 0.$$

Had we had equality, we would have used the integrating factor  $e^{\int (-\lambda)dt} = e^{-\lambda t}$ . This motivates us to define  $z(t) = e^{-\lambda t}y(t)$ . We find that

$$z'(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \left( y'(t) - \lambda y(t) \right) \le 0.$$

Since z(t) is differentiable on (0, T) and continuous on [0, T] (as y(t) is) we conclude that z(t) must be non-increasing on [0, T]. Consequently, for any  $t \in [0, T]$  we have that  $z(t) \le z(0) = y(0)$  which implies

$$y(t) = z(t)e^{\lambda t} \le y(0)e^{\lambda t}.$$

**Exercise 5**. Let T > 0 be given and define

$$\Omega_T = (a, b) \times (0, T]$$

for a given  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ .

(i) Show that there exists at most one solution  $u \in C_1^2(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  to the problem

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} u_t - u_{xx} = 1 & (x, t) \in \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 & (x, t) \in \Gamma_T, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Gamma_T = (a, b) \times \{0\} \cup \{a\} \times [0, T] \cup \{b\} \times [0, T]$ .

(ii) Assume that *u* is a  $C_1^2(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  solution to (1). Show that for any  $(x, t) \in \Omega_T$ 

$$0 \le u(x, t) \le t$$

## Solution:

(i) Assuming that there are two  $C_1^2(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  solutions,  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , we define  $w = u_1 - u_2$  which is also a function in  $C_1^2(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  and satisfies the equation

$$\begin{cases} w_t - w_{xx} = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega_T, \\ u = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Gamma_T, \end{cases}$$

Using the weak maximum and weak minimum principles for the heat equation we find that

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega_T}} w(x,t) = \max_{\Gamma_T} w(x,t) = 0$$

and

$$\min_{\overline{\Omega_T}} w(x,t) = \min_{\Gamma_T} w(x,t) = 0$$

which implies that  $w \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{\Omega_T}$ , or equivalently that  $u_1 \equiv u_2$ .

(ii) We see that *u* satisfies

$$u_t - u_{xx} = 1 > 0$$

and consequently, according to the weak minimum principle,

$$\min_{\overline{\Omega_T}} u(x,t) = \min_{\Gamma_T} u(x,t) = 0$$

showing that  $u(x, t) \ge 0$  on  $\Omega_T$ .

We can't use the weak maximum principle on *u* but we notice that the second inequality that we'd like to show,  $u(x, t) \le t$ , can be rewritten as  $u(x, t) - t \le 0$ . This motivates us to define w(x, t) = u(x, t) - t. We find that  $w \in C_1^2(\Omega_T) \cap C(\overline{\Omega_T})$  and it satisfies

$$w_t - w_{xx} = u_t - 1 - u_{xx} = 0$$

in  $\Omega_T$  and

$$w = 0 - t = -t$$

on  $\Gamma_T$ . Using the weak maximum principle principle for *w* we find that

$$\max_{\overline{\Omega_T}} w(x,t) = \max_{\Gamma_T} w(x,t) = \max_{\Gamma_T} (-t) \le 0$$

which implies that for any  $x \in \Omega_T$ 

$$u(x,t) = w(x,t) + t \le t$$