## Partial Differential Equations III/IV Exercise Sheet 5: Solutions

1. Mean-value formula $\Longrightarrow$ harmonic. Fix $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$. For all $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \Omega$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\boldsymbol{x})=f_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})=: \phi(r) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can parametrise $\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|=r\right\}$ using polar coordinates by

$$
\boldsymbol{r}:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \boldsymbol{r}(\theta)=\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) .
$$

Using this parametrisation we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(r) & =f_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{\left|\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} \int_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta))|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}(\theta)| d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) r d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) d \theta \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By equation (2) and the Chain Rule

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) d \theta \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit outward-pointing normal to $\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})$ at point $\boldsymbol{y}$ is

$$
n(y)=\frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|}=\frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}}{r}
$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)$ gives

$$
\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta))=\frac{\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)-\boldsymbol{x}}{r}=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
$$

Using this, we can write equation (3) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \underbrace{r}_{=|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}(\theta)|} d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \operatorname{div} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \Delta u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \operatorname{div} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \quad \text { (Divergence Theorem) }
$$

Differentiating equation (1) with respect to $r$ gives $\phi^{\prime}(r)=0$. Therefore

$$
0=\phi^{\prime}(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \Delta u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y}
$$

for all $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \Omega$. There are two ways to reach the punchline from here: Either observe that since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \Delta u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y}=0 \quad \forall B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \Omega \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we must have $\Delta u(\boldsymbol{x})=0$. (Otherwise, by continuity of $\Delta u, \Delta u$ is either strictly positive or strictly negative in $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})$ for $r$ sufficiently small, which contradicts (4).) Alternatively, multiply equation (4) by $\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}}$ and take the limit $r \rightarrow 0$ :

$$
\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \Delta u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y}=0 \quad \stackrel{r \rightarrow 0}{\Longrightarrow} \Delta u(\boldsymbol{x})=0
$$

since the average of a continuous function over a ball of radius $r$ tends to the value of the function at the centre of the ball as $r \rightarrow 0$.
2. Subharmonic functions.
(i) First we prove the mean-value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq f_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})=: \phi(r) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can parametrise $\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|=r\right\}$ using polar coordinates by

$$
\boldsymbol{r}:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}), \quad \boldsymbol{r}(\theta)=\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
$$

Using this parametrisation we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi(r) & =f_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})=\frac{1}{\left|\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} \int_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta))|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}(\theta)| d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) r d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) d \theta \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

By equation (6) and the Chain Rule

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{d}{d r} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \cdot(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) d \theta \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The unit outward-pointing normal to $\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})$ at point $\boldsymbol{y}$ is

$$
n(\boldsymbol{y})=\frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}}{|\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}|}=\frac{\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}}{r}
$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)$ gives

$$
\boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta))=\frac{\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)-\boldsymbol{x}}{r}=(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
$$

Using this, we can write equation (7) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}(r) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{r}(\theta)) \underbrace{r}_{=|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}(\theta)|} d \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{y}) \cdot \boldsymbol{n}(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \operatorname{div} \nabla u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi r} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} \underbrace{\Delta u(\boldsymbol{y})}_{\geq 0} d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

(Divergence Theorem)
since $u$ is subharmonic. Therefore $\phi^{\prime}(r) \geq 0$ and hence $\phi(r) \geq \phi(0)$ if $r \geq 0$. The mean-value formula (5) follows almost immediately from this:

$$
\phi(r) \geq \phi(0)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \phi(r)=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} f_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})=u(\boldsymbol{x})
$$

since the average of a continuous function over a sphere of radius $r$ tends to the value of the function at the centre of the sphere as $r \rightarrow 0$.
Now we prove the second mean-value formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using polar coordinates we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} & =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{\rho=0}^{r} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+\rho(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \rho d \theta d \rho \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $\partial B_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is parametrised by $\boldsymbol{r}_{\rho}:[0,2 \pi] \rightarrow \partial B_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x}), \boldsymbol{r}_{\rho}(\theta)=\boldsymbol{x}+\rho(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. This parametrisation satisfies $\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\rho}\right|=\rho$. Therefore we can write equation (9) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} & =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{\rho=0}^{r} \int_{\theta=0}^{2 \pi} u\left(\boldsymbol{r}_{\rho}(\theta)\right)\left|\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_{\rho}\right| d \theta d \rho \\
& =\frac{1}{\pi r^{2}} \int_{\rho=0}^{r} \underbrace{\left(\int_{\partial B_{\rho}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})\right)}_{\geq 2 \pi \rho u(\boldsymbol{x}) \text { by }(5)} d \rho \\
& \geq \frac{u(\boldsymbol{x})}{r^{2}} \int_{\rho=0}^{r} 2 \rho d \rho \\
& =\left.\frac{u(\boldsymbol{x})}{r^{2}} \rho^{2}\right|_{0} ^{r} \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x})
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
(ii) We prove the strong maximum principle. Let $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in \Omega$ and

$$
M=u\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u
$$

Define $S$ to be the set of points in $\Omega$ where $u$ attains its maximum:

$$
S=\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega: u(\boldsymbol{x})=M\}=u^{-1}(\{M\}) \cap \Omega
$$

Note that $S$ is nonempty since $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in S$.
Let $\boldsymbol{x} \in S$ and $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset \Omega$, i.e, $0<r<\operatorname{dist}(\boldsymbol{x}, \partial \Omega)$. By part (i)

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=u(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \leq f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} M d \boldsymbol{y}=M . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the inequality in (10) is an equality,

$$
f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y}=f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} M d \boldsymbol{y},
$$

which means that $u(\boldsymbol{y})=M$ for all $\boldsymbol{y} \in B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Hence $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset S$ and so $S$ is an open subset of $\Omega$. The set $u^{-1}(\{M\})$ is the preimage of the closed set $\{M\}$ under the continuous map $u$ and so is closed. Therefore $S=u^{-1}(\{M\}) \cap \Omega$ is a closed subset of $\Omega$.
We have shown that $S$ is a nonempty open and closed subset of the connected set $\Omega$. Therefore $S=\Omega$, which implies that $u=M=$ constant in $\Omega$, as required. The weak maximum principle follows easily from this (see Q3).
(iii) Subharmonic functions do not satisfy the minimum principle

$$
\min _{\bar{\Omega}} u=\min _{\partial \Omega} u .
$$

For example, take $\Omega=(-1,1), u:[-1,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, u(x)=x^{2}$. Then $-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=-2<0$ and so $u$ is subharmonic. But the minimum value of $u$ is 0 , which is attained at $x=0 \in \Omega$, not on the boundary of $\Omega$.
3. Strong maximum principle $\Longrightarrow$ weak maximum principle. By the strong maximum principle: Either: $u$ is constant, in which case it is trivial that

$$
\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u=\max _{\partial \Omega} u .
$$

Or: $u$ is not constant, in which case the strong maximum principle implies that, for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$,

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x})<\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u
$$

i.e., the maximum of $u$ over $\bar{\Omega}$ is not attained in $\Omega$. Since $\bar{\Omega}=\Omega \cup \partial \Omega$, it follows that

$$
\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u=\max _{\partial \Omega} u
$$

as required.
4. The strong maximum principle is false if $\Omega$ is not connected. Simply take $\Omega_{1}=B_{1}((2,0)), \Omega_{2}=$ $B_{1}((-2,0)), \Omega=\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$, and define $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x})= \begin{cases}3 & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \bar{\Omega}_{1}, \\ 4 & \text { if } \boldsymbol{x} \in \bar{\Omega}_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

Clearly, $u \in C^{2}\left(\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}\right)$ and $u \in C\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{2}\right)$, while $\Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$ is clearly disconnected. Finally, $\max _{\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cup \bar{\Omega}_{2}} u=$ $\max _{\bar{\Omega}_{2}}=u(-2,0)$, which is an interior point. Yet, the function is not constant.
5. Minimum principles and an application: Positivity of solutions.
(i) First we state the minimum principles: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open, bounded and connected. Let $u: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $u \in C^{2}(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ be harmonic in $\Omega$.
(a) Weak minimum principle: $u$ attains its minimum on the boundary of $\Omega$, i.e.,

$$
\min _{\bar{\Omega}} u=\min _{\partial \Omega} u .
$$

(b) Strong minimum principle: If $u$ attains its minimum in the interior of $\Omega$, then $u$ is constant, i.e., if there exists $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in \Omega$ such that

$$
u\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\min _{\bar{\Omega}} u
$$

then $u$ is constant in $\Omega$.
These can be proved as follows:
(a) Weak minimum principle: Let $\tilde{u}=-u$. Then $\tilde{u}$ is harmonic since $u$ is harmonic. Therefore by the weak maximum principle

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\bar{\Omega}} u & =-\max _{\bar{\Omega}}(-u) \\
& =-\max _{\bar{\Omega}} \tilde{u} \\
& =-\max _{\partial \Omega} \tilde{u} \\
& =-\max _{\partial \Omega}(-u) \\
& =\min _{\partial \Omega} u
\end{aligned}
$$

as required.
(b) Strong minimum principle: If $u$ attains its minimum at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in \Omega$, then the harmonic function $\tilde{u}=-u$ attains its maximum at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$. By the strong maximum principle, $\tilde{u}$ is constant. Therefore $u$ is constant.
(ii) Since $u$ is harmonic it satisfies the strong minimum principle. Therefore:

Either: $u$ is constant, in which case for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x})=u\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=g\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)>0,
$$

as the function is continuous up to the boundary.
Or: $u$ is not constant, in which case the strong minimum principle implies that, for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$,

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x})>\min _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \partial \Omega} u(\boldsymbol{y})=\min _{\boldsymbol{y} \in \partial \Omega} g(\boldsymbol{y}) \geq 0 .
$$

In either case $u(\boldsymbol{x})>0$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega$, as required.
6. Another application of the maximum principle: Bounds on solutions.
(a) Let $\Omega=(-1,1) \times(-1,1)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{\partial \Omega} u & =\min _{(x, y) \in \partial \Omega}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=1, \\
\max _{\partial \Omega} u & =\max _{(x, y) \in \partial \Omega}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)=2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We know that $u$ is not constant (since $u(x, y)=x^{2}+y^{2}$ on $\partial \Omega$ ). Therefore the strong maximum principle for harmonic functions implies that

$$
\min _{\partial \Omega} u<u(0,0)<\max _{\partial \Omega} u \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad 1<u(0,0)<2
$$

(b) Let

$$
v=\frac{47}{40}-\frac{1}{5}\left(x^{4}-6 x^{2} y^{2}+y^{4}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{x}=-\frac{4}{5} x^{3}+\frac{12}{5} x y^{2}, & v_{x x}=-\frac{12}{5} x^{2}+\frac{12}{5} y^{2}, \\
v_{y}=-\frac{4}{5} y^{3}+\frac{12}{5} x^{2} y, & v_{y y}=-\frac{12}{5} y^{2}+\frac{12}{5} x^{2},
\end{array}
$$

and therefore $v$ is harmonic.
Let $\Gamma_{1}=\{(x, y):|x|<1,|y|=1\}$. If $(x, y) \in \Gamma_{1}$, then

$$
v(x, y)-1-x^{2}=\frac{7}{40}-\frac{1}{5} x^{4}+\frac{6}{5} x^{2}-\frac{1}{5}-x^{2}=-\frac{1}{40}-\frac{1}{5} x^{4}+\frac{1}{5} x^{2}=: f(x) .
$$

Let's find the infimum and supremum of $f$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. We have

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad-\frac{4}{5} x^{3}+\frac{2}{5} x=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{2}{5} x\left(-2 x^{2}+1\right)=0 .
$$

Therefore the critical points of $f$ are

$$
0, \quad \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} .
$$

The maximum and minimum points of $f$ on $\overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ are attained at the critical points of $f$ or at the end points $x= \pm 1$. We have

$$
f( \pm 1)=-\frac{1}{40}=-0.025, \quad f(0)=-\frac{1}{40}=-0.025, \quad f\left( \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)=\frac{1}{40}=0.025
$$

Therefore

$$
-0.025 \leq v(x, y)-1-x^{2} \leq 0.025 \text { for all }(x, y) \in \Gamma_{1}
$$

as required.
Let $\Gamma_{2}=\{(x, y):|x|=1,|y|<1\}$. By symmetry of $v$ in $x$ and $y$,

$$
-0.025 \leq v(x, y)-1-y^{2} \leq 0.025 \quad \text { for all }(x, y) \in \Gamma_{2} .
$$

Let $w=v-u$. Then $w$ is harmonic and $w=v-x^{2}-y^{2}$ on $\partial \Omega$. We can decompose $\partial \Omega$ as

$$
\partial \Omega=\Gamma_{1} \cup \Gamma_{2} \cup\{(-1,-1),(-1,1),(1,-1),(1,1)\} .
$$

For $(x, y) \in \partial \Omega$,

$$
w(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
v-1-x^{2} & \text { if }(x, y) \in \Gamma_{1} \\
v-1-y^{2} & \text { if }(x, y) \in \Gamma_{2} \\
-\frac{1}{40} & \text { if }|x|=|y|=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly $w$ is not constant. Therefore by the strong maximum principle

$$
-\frac{1}{40}=\min _{\partial \Omega} w<w(0,0)<\max _{\partial \Omega} w=\frac{1}{40}
$$

Therefore

$$
-\frac{1}{40}<\underbrace{v(0,0)}_{=\frac{47}{40}}-u(0,0)<\frac{1}{40} \Longleftrightarrow \underbrace{\frac{46}{40}}_{1.15}<u(0,0)<\underbrace{\frac{48}{40}}_{1.2}
$$

as desired. We have an estimate of $u(0,0)$ correct to two significant figures!
7. Application of the maximum principle for subharmonic functions: Comparison theorems. Let $v=u_{1}-u_{2}$. Then $v$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta v=f_{1}-f_{2} & \text { in } \Omega \\
v=g_{1}-g_{2} & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

By assumption, $f_{1}-f_{2} \leq 0$ and so $v$ is subharmonic. Therefore it satisfies the maximum principle

$$
\max _{\bar{\Omega}} v=\max _{\partial \Omega} v=\max _{\partial \Omega}\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) \leq 0
$$

Therefore $v \leq 0$ and $u_{1} \leq u_{2}$, as required.
8. Maximum principles for more general elliptic problems.
(i) Consider the one-dimensional steady convection-diffusion equation

$$
-\alpha u^{\prime \prime}+\beta u^{\prime}=0 \quad \text { in }(a, b)
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are constants, $\alpha>0$. Let $v=u^{\prime}$. Then

$$
-\alpha v^{\prime}+\beta v=0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad\left(e^{-\frac{\beta}{\alpha} x} v\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

Therefore

$$
v(x)=c e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} x}
$$

for some constant $c$. Hence

$$
u(x)=\frac{c \alpha}{\beta} e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} x}+d
$$

for some constant $d$. If $c=0$, then $u$ is constant. Otherwise

$$
u^{\prime}(x)=v(x)=c e^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha} x}
$$

and so $u$ is strictly increasing if $c>0$ and strictly decreasing if $c<0$. Therefore $u$ attains its maximum and minimum on the boundary of $(a, b)$.
(ii) Let $u$ satisfy Poisson's equation

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}=f \quad \text { in }(a, b)
$$

where $f$ is a constant. Then $u$ is a quadratic polynomial. It is easy to see that if $f<0$, then $u$ satisfies a weak maximum principle, and if $f>0$, then $u$ satisfies a weak minimum principle. See Q2 for the two-dimensional case.
(iii) Consider the equation

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}+c u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega
$$

with $c>0, \Omega=(a, b)$. The solution has the form

$$
u(x)=A \exp (\sqrt{c} x)+B \exp (-\sqrt{c} x)
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are constants. We can assume that $A \neq 0$ and $B \neq 0$, otherwise the result is obvious. If $B / A<0$, then $u$ is either increasing (if $A>0, B<0$ ) or decreasing (if $A<0, B>0$ ) and hence $u$ attains its maximum and minimum on the boundary of $\Omega$. It follows that $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|u|=\max _{\partial \Omega}|u|$. If $B / A>0$, then $u$ has a unique critical point:

$$
u^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exp \left(2 \sqrt{c} x_{0}\right)=\frac{B}{A} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x_{0}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}} \ln \left(\frac{B}{A}\right)
$$

and the critical value of $u$ is

$$
u\left(x_{0}\right)=A\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{1 / 2}+B\left(\frac{B}{A}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

If $x_{0} \notin \Omega$, then $u$ is increasing or decreasing on $\Omega$ and so $\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|u|=\max _{\partial \Omega}|u|$ as before. Assume that $x_{0} \in \Omega$. We consider two case: $A, B>0$ and $A, B<0$.
If $A, B>0$, then $u(x)>0$ for all $x \in(a, b)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=c u\left(x_{0}\right)>0$, which implies that $x_{0}$ is a local minimum point of $u$. Therefore $u=|u|$ attains its maximum on the boundary of $\Omega$, as required.
If $A, B<0$, then $u(x)<0$ for all $x \in(a, b)$ and $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=c u\left(x_{0}\right)<0$, which implies that $u_{0}$ is a local maximum point of $u$. Therefore

$$
\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|u|=-\min _{\bar{\Omega}} u=-\min _{\partial \Omega} u=\max _{\partial \Omega}|u|
$$

as required.
If $c<0$, then the maximum principle does not hold since

$$
u(x)=A \sin (\sqrt{-c} x)+B \cos (\sqrt{-c} x)
$$

for some constants $A$ and $B$. For example, take $a=0, b=2 \pi, c=-1, A=1, B=0$. Then

$$
\max _{\bar{\Omega}}|u|=1, \quad \max _{\partial \Omega}|u|=0 .
$$

9. Maximum principles for 4 th-order elliptic PDEs? In general, 4th-order elliptic PDEs do not satisfy a maximum principle. For example, if $u^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=0$ on $(a, b)$, then $u$ is a cubic polynomial, which need not attain is maximum or minimum on the boundary of $(a, b)$. If $-u^{\prime \prime \prime \prime}=f$ on $(a, b)$, where $f<0$ is a constant, then $u$ is a quartic polynomial, which again need not attain its maximum on the boundary of ( $a, b$ ).
10. Regularity Theorem: Harmonic functions are $C^{\infty}$.
(i) Observe that $\eta=0$ outside the disc $B_{1}(\mathbf{0})$. Therefore $\operatorname{supp}(\eta)=\overline{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})}$ and so $\operatorname{supp}\left(\eta_{\varepsilon}\right)=\overline{B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})}$. For the rest of the problem it is convenient to write $\eta(\boldsymbol{x})=\phi(|\boldsymbol{x}|)$ where $\phi:[0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\phi(r)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
C \exp \left(-\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}\right) & \text { if } r<1 \\
0 & \text { if } r \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\eta_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}|}{\varepsilon}\right)$. Observe that

$$
\int_{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})} \phi(|\boldsymbol{x}|) d \boldsymbol{x}=\int_{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})} \eta(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x}=C \int_{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})} e^{-\frac{1}{1-|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2}}} d \boldsymbol{x}=1
$$

by definition of $C$. We compute

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x} & =\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} \eta_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x}) d \boldsymbol{x} \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) r d r d \theta & \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi(s) s \varepsilon \varepsilon d s d \theta & \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \phi(s) s d s d \theta & \\
& =\int_{B_{1}(\mathbf{0})} \phi(|\boldsymbol{x}|) d \boldsymbol{x} & \\
& =1 & \text { (change of variables: } s=\frac{r}{\varepsilon} \text { ) } \\
\text { (back to Cartesian coordiates) }
\end{array}
$$

(ii) Take $\boldsymbol{x} \in \Omega_{\varepsilon}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})} \eta_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{x})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}{\varepsilon}\right) u(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) r d r d \theta \quad(\boldsymbol{y}=\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} u(\boldsymbol{x}+r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)) r d \theta\right) d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) \underbrace{\left(\int_{\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{y}) d L(\boldsymbol{y})\right)}_{=\left|\partial B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| u(\boldsymbol{x})} d r \\
& =\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) 2 \pi r u(\boldsymbol{x}) d r \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x}) 2 \pi \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) r d r \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}\right) r d r d \theta \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}} \phi\left(\frac{|\boldsymbol{y}|}{\varepsilon}\right) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x}) \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} \eta_{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{y}) d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =u(\boldsymbol{x}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

11. $C^{\infty} \nRightarrow$ analytic. Consider the function $\eta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\eta(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\exp \left(-\frac{1}{1-|x|^{2}}\right) & \text { if }|x|<1 \\
0 & \text { if }|x| \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\eta$ is infinitely differentiable but it is not analytic since it does not have a convergent Taylor series expansion about the point $x=1$ :

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\eta^{(k)}(1)}{k!}(x-1)^{k}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{0}{k!}(x-1)^{k}=0
$$

but $\eta$ is nonzero in any neighbourhood of $x=1$. In general, nonzero analytic functions cannot have compact support.
12. Non-negative harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are constant.
(i) We have

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
u(\boldsymbol{x}) & =f_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{z}) d \boldsymbol{z} & \text { (mean-value formula) } \\
& =\frac{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|}{\left|B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} \frac{1}{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|} \int_{B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})} u(\boldsymbol{z}) d \boldsymbol{z} \\
& \leq \frac{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|}{\left|B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} \frac{1}{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|} \int_{B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})} u(\boldsymbol{z}) d \boldsymbol{z} & \text { (since } \left.u>0 \text { and } B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right) \\
& =\frac{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|}{\left|B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} u(\boldsymbol{y}) & \text { (mean-value formula) }
\end{array}
$$

as required.
(ii) Let $\boldsymbol{z} \in B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Then

$$
|\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{y}|=|\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}| \leq|\boldsymbol{z}-\boldsymbol{x}|+|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|<r+|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|=R .
$$

Therefore $\boldsymbol{z} \in B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})$ and hence $B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \subset B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})$. We have

$$
\frac{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|}{\left|B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|}=\frac{R^{n} \alpha(n)}{r^{n} \alpha(n)}=\frac{R^{n}}{(R-|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|)^{n}}=\frac{1}{1-\frac{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}{R}} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty .
$$

(iii) If $r=R-|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|$, then by parts (i) and (ii),

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq \frac{\left|B_{R}(\boldsymbol{y})\right|}{\left|B_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})\right|} u(\boldsymbol{y}) \rightarrow u(\boldsymbol{y}) \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty .
$$

Therefore

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq u(\boldsymbol{y})
$$

Interchanging the roles of $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ gives

$$
u(\boldsymbol{y}) \leq u(\boldsymbol{x}) .
$$

Therefore $u(\boldsymbol{x})=u(\boldsymbol{y})$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and hence $u$ is a constant function.
13. Proof of Liouville's Theorem. Since $u$ is bounded, then there exists $M>0$ such that $u(\boldsymbol{x})>-M$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Therefore the harmonic function $v=u+M>0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. But positive harmonic functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are constant by Q12. Therefore $v$, and hence $u$, are constant.
14. An application of Liouville's Theorem: 'Uniqueness' for Poisson's equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $u_{1}=\Phi * f$ where $\Phi$ is the fundamental solution of Poisson's equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $n=3$ :

$$
\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})=\frac{1}{n(n-2) \alpha(n)} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|^{n-2}}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}|}
$$

(Recall that $\alpha(n)$ is the volume of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and hence $\alpha(3)=\frac{4}{3} \pi$.) Let $u_{2}$ be any bounded solution of Poisson's equation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then $w=u_{2}-u_{1}$ is a harmonic function since $-\Delta u_{1}=f$ and $-\Delta u_{2}=f$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We show that $u_{1}$ is bounded: Since $f \in C_{c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}\right)$ has compact support, there exists $R>0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}(f) \subset B_{R}(\mathbf{0})$. In particular, $f=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash B_{R}(\mathbf{0})$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| & =|(\Phi * f)(\boldsymbol{x})| \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{f(\boldsymbol{y})}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y}\right| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3}} \frac{|f(\boldsymbol{y})|}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{|f(\boldsymbol{y})|}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \max _{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})}|f| \int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We just need to show that

$$
\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y}
$$

is uniformly bounded in $\boldsymbol{x}$. This is more fiddly than you would expect. We consider two cases: $|\boldsymbol{x}| \leq 2 R$ and $|x|>2 R$.
If $|\boldsymbol{x}| \leq 2 R$, then $B_{R}(\mathbf{0}) \subset B_{3 R}(\boldsymbol{x})$ (draw a sketch to convince yourself of this) and so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y} & <\int_{B_{3 R}(\boldsymbol{x})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y} \\
& =\int_{B_{3 R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{z}|} d \boldsymbol{z} \\
& =\int_{\phi=0}^{2 \pi} \int_{\theta=0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{3 R} \frac{1}{r} r^{2} \sin \theta d r d \theta d \phi \quad(\boldsymbol{z}=\boldsymbol{y}-\boldsymbol{x}) \\
& =\left.\left.2 \pi \frac{1}{2} r^{2}\right|_{r=0} ^{3 R}(-\cos \theta)\right|_{\theta=0} ^{\pi} \quad \text { (spherical polar coordinates) } \\
& =18 \pi R^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|\boldsymbol{x}|>2 R$, then for all $\boldsymbol{y} \in B_{R}(\mathbf{0})$

$$
|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|>R \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|}<\frac{1}{R}
$$

and so

$$
\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y}<\int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{R} d \boldsymbol{y}=\frac{1}{R}\left|B_{R}(\mathbf{0})\right|=\frac{1}{R} \frac{4}{3} \pi R^{3}=\frac{4}{3} \pi R^{2}<18 \pi R^{2} .
$$

Therefore, for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$,

$$
\left|u_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})\right| \leq \frac{1}{4 \pi} \max _{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})}|f| \int_{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})} \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|} d \boldsymbol{y}<\frac{1}{4 \pi} \max _{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})}|f| 18 \pi R^{2}=\frac{18}{4} R^{2} \max _{B_{R}(\mathbf{0})}|f| .
$$

Hence $u_{1}$ is bounded. Since $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are bounded, then $w$ is a bounded harmonic function on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. By Liouville's Theorem $w=c=$ constant. Therefore

$$
u_{2}-u_{1}=c \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad u_{2}=u_{1}+c=\Phi * f+c
$$

as required.
This argument can be extended to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for any $n \geq 3$. It does not work for $n=2$ since $u_{1}=\Phi * f$ is not necessarily bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ since $\Phi(\boldsymbol{x})=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log |\boldsymbol{x}|$ blows up as $|\boldsymbol{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. For the case $n \geq 3, \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow 0$ as $|\boldsymbol{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, and it converges to 0 sufficiently fast in order for $\Phi * f$ to be bounded.
15. An obstacle to uniqueness for Laplace's equation: Unbounded domains.
(i) We can build a nontrivial solution using the fundamental solution of Poisson's equation:

$$
u(\boldsymbol{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\log |\boldsymbol{x}| & \text { if } n=2, \\
|\boldsymbol{x}|^{2-n}-1 & \text { if } n \geq 3
\end{array}\right.
$$

(ii) Simply take $u(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{n}$.
16. Eigenvalues of the negative Laplacian. By Exercise Sheet 4, Q11, the eigenvalues are positive, $\lambda>0$. Therefore we can write each eigenvalue as $\lambda=\omega^{2}$ for some $\omega \in(0, \infty)$. Then

$$
-u^{\prime \prime}(x)=\omega^{2} u(x), \quad x \in(0,2 \pi) .
$$

Recall from ODE theory (see page 21 of the lecture notes) that solutions of this ODE have the form

$$
u(x)=A \cos (\omega x)+B \sin (\omega x)
$$

for some constants $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. The boundary condition $u(0)=0$ implies that $A=0$. The boundary condition $u(2 \pi)=0$ gives

$$
B \sin (2 \pi \omega)=0 .
$$

Since $u \neq 0$, then $B \neq 0$. Since $\omega>0$, it follows that

$$
2 \pi \omega \in\{n \pi: n \in \mathbb{N}\}
$$

Therefore $\omega=\frac{n}{2}$ and the eigenfunction-eigenvalue pairs are

$$
\left(u_{n}(x), \lambda_{n}\right)=\left(B \sin \left(\frac{n x}{2}\right), \frac{n^{2}}{4}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad B \in \mathbb{R}
$$

In particular, there are countably many eigenvalues.
17. Connection between holomorphic functions and harmonic functions.

Let $f: \Omega \subset \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a holomorphic (complex analytic) function with real and imaginary parts $u$ and $v$ :

$$
f(x+i y)=u(x, y)+i v(x, y) .
$$

The Cauchy-Riemann equations are

$$
u_{x}=v_{y}, \quad u_{y}=-v_{x} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\Delta u=u_{x x}+u_{y y}=\left(v_{y}\right)_{x}+\left(-v_{x}\right)_{y}=v_{y x}-v_{x y}=0
$$

and

$$
\Delta v=v_{x x}+v_{y y}=\left(-u_{y}\right)_{x}+\left(u_{x}\right)_{y}=-u_{y x}+u_{x y}=0 .
$$

Completing the table gives

| Harmonic Functions | Holomorphic Functions |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mean-Value Formula | Cauchy Integral Formula |
| Maximum Principle | Maximum Modulus Principle |
| Liouville's Theorem | Liouville's Theorem |

See Remark 5.3 in the lecture notes for an explanation of why the Cauchy integral formula implies the mean-value formula.

