

10) Consider the following action of a real scalar field

$$S = \int d^4x \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2.$$

Show that the equations of motion are

$$(-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\phi = 0.$$

**solution:**

We have

$$\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \phi = 2m^2 \phi \tag{0.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi = \eta^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi \\ &= \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi + \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\sigma \phi \\ &= \eta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu \partial_\sigma \phi + \eta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_\sigma^\mu \partial_\rho \phi = 2\partial^\mu \phi \end{aligned} \tag{0.2}$$

The equation of motion for  $\phi$  is hence

$$(-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2)\phi = 0 \tag{0.3}$$

Note that we can write the Lagrangian density as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 \tag{0.4}$$

so this is really the same as example 4.2. You can check that the equations of motion are also the same in both cases.

11) Consider the action

$$S = \int d^4x \bar{\Psi} (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m) \Psi.$$

for a Dirac spinor  $\Psi$ .

- a) Show that  $S$  is Lorentz invariant.
- b) Find the equations of motion.
- c) Find the conserved charge associated to the  $U(1)$  symmetry  $\Psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \Psi$ .
- d) Show that

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) (\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m) = \partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m^2$$

**solution:**

- (a) We have already seen the transformation behavior of all of the terms in this action when we replace  $\partial_\mu$  by a constant Lorentz covector  $a_\mu$  in the third problem class, where we found that they are all invariant. Transforming the argument of the spinor field  $\Psi$  effectively makes  $\partial_\mu$  transform as a Lorentz covector as well, so that the above action is Lorentz invariant.

Let's translate the above into equations. We let  $x^\mu \rightarrow \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu x^\nu$  and  $\mathbf{y} = \Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{x}$ , so that a Lorentz transformation maps

$$\Psi(\mathbf{x}) \rightarrow \Lambda_{1/2}\Psi(\mathbf{y}). \quad (0.5)$$

Note that  $\Lambda_{1/2}$  is the 'spinor representation' matrix associated to  $\Lambda$ , i.e. if  $\Lambda = e^{l^{\rho\sigma}\theta_{\rho\sigma}}$  then  $\Lambda_{1/2} = e^{S^{\rho\sigma}\theta_{\rho\sigma}}$ .

Using the transformation of  $\bar{\Psi}$  studied before,  $\bar{\Psi} \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}\Lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} S \rightarrow S' &= \int d^4x \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \left( \gamma^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} + m \right) \Lambda_{1/2} \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \\ &= \int d^4y \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \left( \gamma^\mu (\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\rho} + m \right) \Lambda_{1/2} \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that the derivative behaves like a covector (via the product rule) and that  $d^4x = d^4y$  for proper Lorentz transformations. Now we use the magical formula  $\Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \gamma^\mu \Lambda_{1/2} = \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu \gamma^\nu$ . We then have

$$S' = \int d^4y \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \left( \gamma^\nu (\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_\nu \Lambda^\mu{}_\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\mu} + \Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \Lambda_{1/2} m \right) \Psi(\mathbf{y}) \quad (0.6)$$

I have rearranged some factors (which are just numbers as we are using indices) and you can see that  $(\Lambda^{-1})^\rho{}_\mu \Lambda^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\rho{}_\nu$ . As also  $\Lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \Lambda_{1/2} = \mathbb{1}$  we end up with

$$S' = \int d^4y \bar{\Psi}(\mathbf{y}) \left( \gamma^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu} + m \right) \Psi(\mathbf{y}) = S. \quad (0.7)$$

as it is now evident that all that has happened is that  $\mathbf{x}$  has been relabelled as  $\mathbf{y}$  everywhere.

- (b) To find the field equation for  $\bar{\Psi}$ , let us write out the Lagrangian in terms of the components  $\Psi_I$  of the spinors:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Psi_I^* \gamma_{IJ}^0 (\gamma_{JK}^\mu \partial_\mu + \delta_{JK} m) \Psi_K \quad (0.8)$$

where  $\gamma_{IJ}^0$  and  $\gamma_{JK}^\mu$  are the components of these matrices. The Euler-Lagrange equation for  $\Psi^*$  is simply

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_I^*} = 0 \tag{0.9}$$

as there are no derivatives w.r.t  $\Psi^*$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . We hence find

$$\gamma_{IJ}^0 (\gamma_{JK}^\mu \partial_\mu + \delta_{JK} m) \Psi_K = 0. \tag{0.10}$$

Multiplying by  $(\gamma^0)^{-1}$  gives

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m) \Psi = 0. \tag{0.11}$$

This is the celebrated Dirac equation.

- (c) Under the  $U(1)$  symmetry acting on  $\Psi$  as  $\Psi \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \Psi$ , or in components  $\Psi_I \rightarrow e^{i\theta} \Psi_I$ .  $\Psi$  has 4 components  $\Psi_I$ , each of which is complex, so we need to treat  $\Psi_I$  and  $\bar{\Psi}_I$  as 8 independent fields. The infinitesimal transformation are found by expanding to linear order in  $\theta$ :

$$\delta \Psi_I = i\theta \Psi_I \quad \delta \bar{\Psi}_I = -i\theta \bar{\Psi}_I \tag{0.12}$$

and the conserved current is (note we are using summation convention below, i.e. summing over  $I$ )

$$\begin{aligned} j^\mu &= \delta \Psi_I \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \Psi_I)} + \delta \bar{\Psi}_I \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}_I)} \\ &= i\theta \Psi_I \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \Psi_I)} - i\theta \bar{\Psi}_I \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}_I)} \\ &= i\theta \Psi_I \Psi_K^* \gamma_{KJ}^0 \gamma_{JI}^\mu = i\theta \bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \Psi. \end{aligned} \tag{0.13}$$

Again this is conserved for any  $\theta$ , and we don't lose anything rescaling the current to get rid of the  $i\theta$  in the factor.

Rescaling this we get the conserved charge density  $j^0 = -\bar{\Psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^0 \Psi = \bar{\Psi} \Psi$ , i.e. the conserved charge is

$$Q_V = \int_V d^3x |\Psi|^2 \tag{0.14}$$

which is positive definite. Hence one can use  $\Psi$  as a wave-function just as one does for the Schroedinger equation.

(d) We work out

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)(\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m) &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2 \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2 \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu - m^2 \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} - m^2 \right) = (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu} - m^2) \\
 &= (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m^2)
 \end{aligned} \tag{0.15}$$

Note that we have simply relabelled  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  for the second term in the 4th line. The same result can be found by writing out the sums  $\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$  and  $\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu$  and collecting all the terms. It is in the sense of the above equation that the Dirac equation is the square root of the Klein-Gordon equation. The above computation is that prompted Dirac to introduce the Dirac matrices.

12) Consider a field  $\Phi$  transforming in the adjoint representation of the Lie group  $SU(n)$ . Show that

$$S = \int d^4x \operatorname{tr} (\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi)$$

is invariant under the action of  $SU(n)$  and find the associated conserved current.

**solution:**

We first need to think about what it means to transform in the adjoint representation. The adjoint representation acts on the vector space that is equal to the Lie algebra of  $SU(n)$ . We should hence think of  $\Phi$  as a (space-time dependent) element of the Lie algebra of  $SU(n)$ . In particular, this means  $\Phi$  is a traceless anti-hermitian  $n \times n$  matrix that transforms as

$$\Phi \rightarrow g^{-1} \Phi g \tag{0.16}$$

for  $g \in SU(n)$  and also

$$\partial_\mu \Phi \rightarrow g^{-1} (\partial_\mu \Phi) g \tag{0.17}$$

Under this map

$$\begin{aligned}
 \operatorname{tr} (\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi) &\rightarrow \operatorname{tr} (g^{-1} \partial_\mu \Phi g g^{-1} \partial^\mu \Phi g) \\
 &= \operatorname{tr} (g^{-1} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi g) = \operatorname{tr} (g g^{-1} \partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi) = \operatorname{tr} (\partial_\mu \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi)
 \end{aligned} \tag{0.18}$$

using the properties of the trace. The associated infinitesimal transformation (Lie algebra representation) is

$$\delta_\gamma \Phi = [\Phi, \gamma] \quad (0.19)$$

for  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{su}(n)$ . For a basis  $\gamma_i$  of the Lie algebra we can write

$$\Phi = \Phi_i \gamma_i \quad (0.20)$$

so that

$$\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \Phi_i \partial^\mu \Phi_j \text{tr}(\gamma_i \gamma_j) \quad (0.21)$$

and

$$\gamma_i \delta_\gamma \Phi_i = \Phi_j [\gamma_j, \gamma] \quad (0.22)$$

We can now work out

$$\begin{aligned} j^\mu &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_\mu \Phi_i)} \delta_\gamma \phi_i = 2(\partial^\mu \Phi_j) \text{tr}(\gamma_i \gamma_j) \delta_\gamma \Phi_i = 2 \text{tr}(\delta_\gamma \Phi \partial^\mu \Phi) \\ &= 2 \text{tr}([\Phi, \gamma] \partial^\mu \Phi) \end{aligned} \quad (0.23)$$

Here are some things to ponder:

1. What is an action?
2. What is a symmetry of an action?
3. When do we consider a physical system to be Lorentz invariant?