

3) Verify that

$$\begin{aligned}
 l^{01} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & l^{02} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & l^{03} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 l^{12} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & l^{13} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & l^{23} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{0.1}$$

are in the Lie algebra of L .

solution:

There are essentially two approaches here: a) finding the general form of Lie algebra elements of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1,3)$ of the Lorentz group, and verifying that the above have this form, or b) finding paths in L_+^\uparrow which give rise to these Lie algebra elements.

Let us first do approach a):

We have

$$\eta \Lambda^T \eta = \Lambda^{-1}$$

which after writing $\Lambda = e^\ell$ gives

$$\eta e^{\ell^T} \eta = e^{-\ell}.$$

This needs to hold for any real rescaling of ℓ as well, after all the set of Lie algebra elements ℓ form a vector space. We can hence write

$$\eta e^{t\ell^T} \eta = e^{-t\ell}.$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Taking a derivative w.r.t to t and setting $t = 0$ (which is equivalent to expanding to linear order) gives us

$$\eta \ell^T \eta = -\ell.$$

This says that $\ell^\mu{}_\mu = -\ell^\mu{}_\mu$ (no summation) so the diagonal is zero. For off-diagonal terms we have $\ell^i{}_j = -\ell^j{}_i$ for $i, j = 1, 2, 3$, $i \neq j$ and $\ell^0{}_i = -\ell^i{}_0$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The six matrices above are a basis of the vector space which is defined by these conditions.

Let us now do b):

As discussed in the lectures, rotations are in L , e.g. here is a path in L :

$$g^{12}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos t & \sin t & 0 \\ 0 & -\sin t & \cos t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.2)$$

which gives

$$\ell^{12} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{12}(t) \right|_{t=0} \quad (0.3)$$

and similarly for ℓ^{23} and ℓ^{13} . Then we have boosts, where we might look at

$$g^{01}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & -\sinh t & 0 & 0 \\ -\sinh t & \cosh t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.4)$$

which gives

$$\ell^{01} = \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g^{01}(t) \right|_{t=0} \quad (0.5)$$

and again similar for ℓ^{02} and ℓ^{03} .

4) The Dirac matrices are

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} \\ -\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma^i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (0.6)$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}$ is the 2×2 identity matrix and σ_i are the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.7)$$

a) Show that the Dirac matrices obey $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$.

b) Show the ‘freshers dream’:

$$(a_\mu \gamma^\mu)^2 = a_\mu a^\mu \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} \quad (0.8)$$

solution:

- (a) First note that $(\gamma^0)^2 = -\mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$ and $(\gamma^i)^2 = \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4}$ (which follows from $(\sigma_i)^2 = \mathbb{1}_{2 \times 2}$). Now we work out (for $i \neq j$)

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma^0, \gamma^i\} &= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -\sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_i \end{pmatrix} = 0 \\ \{\gamma^i, \gamma^j\} &= \begin{pmatrix} \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} & 0 \\ 0 & \{\sigma_i, \sigma_j\} \end{pmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (0.9)$$

- (b) The reason this is called the ‘freshers dream’ is that it seems to say that $(\sum a_i)^2 = \sum a_i^2$ which is of course wrong. Using the Dirac matrices we can get something similar though. Let us first rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} (a_\mu \gamma^\mu)^2 &= (a_\mu \gamma^\mu)(a_\nu \gamma^\nu) = a_\mu \gamma^\mu a_\nu \gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2} (a_\mu a_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + a_\mu a_\nu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (a_\mu a_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + a_\nu a_\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) \end{aligned} \quad (0.10)$$

where we simply relabelled $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ in the second term. We can now write

$$(a_\mu \gamma^\mu)^2 = \frac{1}{2} a_\mu a_\nu (\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} a_\mu a_\nu 2\eta^{\mu\nu} = a^\mu a_\mu \quad (0.11)$$

It is equally fine to observe that all of the cross-terms cancel due to the Clifford algebra relation of the Dirac matrices.

- 5) Using the Dirac matrices, show that $S^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$ are equal to

$$S^{0i} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad S^{jk} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{jkl} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_l & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_l \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.12)$$

where i, j, k only take values 1, 2, 3. What does this imply about the reducibility of the representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ defined by exponentiating the $S^{\mu\nu}$?

solution:

Using that $\gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu = -\gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu$ when $\mu \neq \nu$ we have

$$S^{0i} = \frac{1}{4}[\gamma^0, \gamma^i] = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^0\gamma^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma_i \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.13)$$

Furthermore

$$S^{jk} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^j\gamma^k = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j\sigma_k & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_j\sigma_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (0.14)$$

Now observe that (using $\sigma_j\sigma_k = -\sigma_k\sigma_j$ when $k \neq j$)

$$\sigma_j\sigma_k = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_j\sigma_k + \sigma_j\sigma_k) = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_j\sigma_k - \sigma_k\sigma_j) = \frac{1}{2}[\sigma_j, \sigma_k] = i\epsilon_{jkl}\sigma_l. \quad (0.15)$$

Hence

$$S^{jk} = \frac{i}{2} \epsilon_{jkl} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_l & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_l \end{pmatrix}. \quad (0.16)$$

As discussed in the lectures, all of these are block diagonal, so this is a reducible representation. It is a representation of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as we are effectively exponentiating complex linear combinations of Pauli matrices, which form the Lie algebra of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as discussed in Michaelmas term.

Here are some things to ponder:

1. What is the global structure of the Lorentz group?
2. How can we construct a representation of the Lie algebra of L using the Dirac matrices?