

10) Consider the following action

$$S = \int dt \operatorname{Tr} (\dot{q}^2 - \omega q^2) \quad (0.1)$$

for $q \in \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. we can write $q(t) = \sum_a q_a(t) \sigma_a$ with σ_a the Pauli matrices and $q_a(t)$ real.

- (a) Find the equations of motion by writing down the Euler-Lagrange equations which follow from S .
- (b) Show that this action is invariant under $SU(n)$ acting as

$$q \rightarrow UqU^\dagger \quad \dot{q} \rightarrow U\dot{q}U^\dagger \quad (0.2)$$

for $U \in SU(n)$.

- (c) Find the conserved quantities under the $SU(n)$ action.

solution:

- (a) First notice that $\operatorname{Tr} \sigma_a \sigma_b = 2\delta_{ab}$. We can hence write (using summation convention)

$$S = 2 \int dt \dot{q}_a \dot{q}_a - \omega q_a q_a \quad (0.3)$$

and the equations of motion for each of the q_a are

$$\ddot{q}_a - \omega q_a = 0 \quad (0.4)$$

i.e. we can equivalently write

$$\ddot{q} - \omega q = 0. \quad (0.5)$$

- (b) We have

$$\operatorname{Tr} q^2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr} UqU^\dagger UqU^\dagger = \operatorname{Tr} Uq^2U^\dagger = \operatorname{Tr} q^2 \quad (0.6)$$

using the cyclical property of the trace. Similarly

$$\operatorname{Tr} \dot{q}^2 \rightarrow \operatorname{Tr} U\dot{q}U^\dagger U\dot{q}U^\dagger = \operatorname{Tr} U\dot{q}^2U^\dagger = \operatorname{Tr} \dot{q}^2 \quad (0.7)$$

so L and hence S are invariant.

- (c) We need to work out the formula for the Noether charge:

$$Q(\gamma) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} (\rho(\gamma) \mathbf{q})_i - F(q, \dot{q}, \gamma) \quad (0.8)$$

note that part (b) shows that $F = 0$. Let us try to find the associated Lie algebra representation of the adjoint action. We have that a path in $SU(2)$ acts as

$$q \rightarrow e^{i\sigma_b\alpha_b t} q e^{-i\sigma_b\alpha_b t} \quad (0.9)$$

the associated Lie algebra action of which (= infinitesimal transformation) is

$$q \rightarrow \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{i\sigma_b\alpha_b t} q e^{-i\sigma_b\alpha_b t} \right|_{t=0} \quad (0.10)$$

i.e.

$$q_a \sigma_a \rightarrow q_a + i[\sigma_b, \sigma_a] \alpha_b q_a = -2\epsilon_{abc} \alpha_b q_a \sigma_c = (\rho(i\sigma_b \alpha_b) q)_c \sigma_c. \quad (0.11)$$

As

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_c} L = 2\dot{q}_c \quad (0.12)$$

we find the Noether charge

$$Q = -4\epsilon_{abc} \alpha_b q_a \dot{q}_c \quad (0.13)$$

Note that letting $\alpha = \alpha_a \sigma_a$ we can rewrite this more elegantly as follows:

$$Q = \text{Tr}([g, \alpha] \dot{q}) = -2q_a \alpha_b \dot{q}_c \epsilon_{abc} \text{Tr}(\sigma_c \sigma_d) = -4\alpha_a q_b \dot{q}_c \epsilon_{abc} \quad (0.14)$$

Note that we find a conserved charge for any Lie algebra element, i.e. for any α . In total there are hence 3 independent conserved quantities. Note further that writing things in terms of the q_a things look like we just talking about $SO(3)$ again, so we get the same conserved things: collecting the q_a in a column vector \mathbf{q} we can write the conserved charges as a linear combination of $\mathbf{q} \times \dot{\mathbf{q}}$, so this is like angular momentum in disguise :)

11) Consider the following action of a real scalar field $\phi(x^\mu)$

$$S = \int d^4x \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^2.$$

Show that the equations of motion are

$$(-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \phi = 0.$$

solution:

We have

$$\partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \phi = 2m^2 \phi \quad (0.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \mathcal{L} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi \partial^\rho \phi = \eta^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi \partial_\sigma \phi \\ &= \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\sigma \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\rho \phi + \eta^{\rho\sigma} \partial_\rho \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\mu \phi)} \partial_\sigma \phi \\ &= \eta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_\rho^\mu \partial_\sigma \phi + \eta^{\rho\sigma} \delta_\sigma^\mu \partial_\rho \phi = 2\partial^\mu \phi \end{aligned} \quad (0.16)$$

The equation of motion for ϕ is hence

$$(-\partial_\mu \partial^\mu + m^2) \phi = 0 \quad (0.17)$$

Note that we can write the Lagrangian density as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi\right)^2 + (\nabla \phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2 \quad (0.18)$$

so this is really the same as example 4.2. You can check that the equations of motion are also the same in both cases.

12) Consider the action

$$S = \int d^4x \bar{\Psi} (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m) \Psi.$$

for a Dirac spinor field $\Psi(x^\mu)$.

- (a) Find the equations of motion. [**hint:** $\Psi(x^\mu)$ has four complex components Ψ_I . Treat the Ψ_I and $\bar{\Psi}_J$ as eight independent fields.]
- (b) The equations of motions have the form $D(m)\Psi = 0$. Show that $D(m)D(-m) = \mathbb{1}_{4 \times 4} \Delta$ for a Δ that you should find.

solution:

- (a) To find the field equation for $\bar{\Psi}$, let us write out the Lagrangian in terms of the components Ψ_I of the spinors:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Psi_I^* \gamma_{IJ}^0 (\gamma_{JK}^\mu \partial_\mu + \delta_{JK} m) \Psi_K \quad (0.19)$$

where γ_{IJ}^0 and γ_{JK}^μ are the components of these matrices. The Euler-Lagrange equation for Ψ^* is simply

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \Psi_I^*} = 0 \quad (0.20)$$

as there are no derivatives w.r.t Ψ^* in \mathcal{L} . We hence find

$$\gamma_{IJ}^0 (\gamma_{JK}^\mu \partial_\mu + \delta_{JK} m) \Psi_K = 0. \quad (0.21)$$

Multiplying by $(\gamma^0)^{-1}$ gives

$$(\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m) \Psi = 0. \quad (0.22)$$

This is the famous Dirac equation.

(b) We work out

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) (\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu + m) &= (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu - m^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu - m^2 \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \{ \gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu \} - m^2 \right) = (\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \eta^{\mu\nu} - m^2) \\ &= (\partial_\mu \partial^\mu - m^2) \end{aligned} \quad (0.23)$$

Note that we have simply relabelled μ and ν for the second term in the 4th line. The same result can be found by writing out the sums $\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ and $\gamma^\nu \partial_\nu$ and collecting all the terms. It is in the sense of the above equation that the Dirac equation is the square root of the Klein-Gordon equation. The above computation is what prompted Dirac to invent the Dirac matrices.

Here are some things to ponder:

1. What is an action?
2. What is a symmetry of an action?