

# Density functional theory and optimal transportation with Coulomb cost.

Codina Cotar

(joint works with G. Friesecke, C. Klueppelberg, C. Mendl and B. Pass)

April 02, 2014

# Outline

- 1 Informal introduction to Quantum mechanics- Density Functional Theory (DFT)
  - What do physicists do?
  - Our approach
- 2 Informal Introduction to Optimal Transport
- 3 Connection to exchangeable processes

# Informal introduction to Quantum mechanics

- All materials systems we study essentially consist of electrons and nuclear charge.
- Mechanical, electronic, magnetic etc. properties are due to electrons and their interaction with other electrons.
- In order to define electrons and their interaction we use **Schrodinger equation**.
- It allows to predict, e.g., binding energies, equilibrium geometries, intermolecular forces
- Quantum mechanics for electrons reduces to a PDE (the Schroedinger equation)

# Density Functional Theory (DFT)

- If Schrodinger equation for the many electrons problem **could** be solved accurately and efficiently then almost any property of the materials **could** be determined accurately.
- Unfortunately, there is neither an accurate nor an efficient method to solve these problems.
- DFT is a simplified version of quantum mechanics (QM), widely used in molecular simulations in chemistry, physics, materials science
- Introduced by Hohenberg-Kohn-Sham in the 1960s
- Feasible system size: up to a million atoms
- 1998 Nobel Prize for ‘founding father’ Walter Kohn

## Quantum mechanics-Formal definition

- The solution for this PDE is the **wave function**  
 $\Psi(x_1, s_1, \dots, x_N, s_N) \in L^2((\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^N; \mathbb{C})$
- $N$  - number of electrons,  $x_i$  position of electron  $i$ ,  $s_i$  spin of electron  $i$

- 

$$|\Psi(x_1, s_1, \dots, x_N, s_N)|^2$$

= probability density that the electrons are  
at positions  $x_i$  with spins  $s_i$ .

$\Psi$  is an anti-symmetric function, which makes  $|\Psi|^2$  a **symmetric** ( **$N$ -exchangeable**) probability measure.



$$\mathcal{A}_N = \{\Psi \in L^2((\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)^N; \mathbb{C}) \mid \nabla \Psi \in L^2, \Psi \text{ antisymmetric, } \|\Psi\|_{L^2} = 1\}$$

- Key quantum mechanics quantity is the **ground state energy**  $E_0$

$$E_0 = \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N} E[\Psi]$$

where

$$E[\Psi] = T_h[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] + V_{ne}[\Psi]$$

■ Kinetic energy:

$$T_h[\Psi] = \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \int |\nabla \Psi(x_1, s_1, \dots, x_N, s_N)|^2 dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_N$$

■ Electron-electron energy:

$$V_{ee}[\Psi] = \int \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} |\Psi|^2 dz_1 \dots dz_N$$

■ Nuclei-electron energy:

$$V_{ne}[\Psi] = \int v(x_i) |\Psi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_N)|^2 dz_1 \dots dz_N$$

## ■ N-electrons density

$$\rho_N^\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N \in \mathbb{Z}_2} |\Psi(x_1, s_1, \dots, x_N, s_N)|^2.$$

## ■ Pair electrons density

$$\rho_2^\Psi(x_1, x_2) = \binom{N}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-2)}} \rho_N^\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_3 \dots dx_N$$

## ■ Single electron density

$$\rho^\Psi(x_1) = N \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}} \rho_N^\Psi(x_1, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N.$$

## ■ $\mathcal{R}_N := \{\rho : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \rho \text{ is the density of some } \Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N\}$

Full Scrod. eqn. can be reformulated as a hierarchy of eqn: for  $\rho$  in terms of the pair electrons density  $\rho_2$ , for  $\rho_2$  in terms of  $\rho_3$  etc.

# Variational formulation of density functional theory

(Hohenberg/Kohn 1964, M. Levy 1979, E. Lieb 1983)

For any external potential  $v$ , the **exact** Schroedinger eqn. satisfies



$$E_0 = \inf_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_N} \left\{ F_h[\rho] + N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} v(x) \rho(x) dx \right\}$$

with



$$\begin{aligned} F_h[\rho] : &= \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N, \Psi \mapsto \rho} \left\{ T_h[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] \right\} \\ &= \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N, \Psi \mapsto \rho} \left\{ T_h[\Psi] + \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho_2^\Psi(dx, dy) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$F_h[\rho]$  is the famous **Hohenberg-Kohn functional**.

- Not useful for computations (definitely still contains the **big** space of  $\Psi(x_1, s_1, \dots, x_N, s_N)$ ). But useful starting point for model reduction in asymptotic limits.

# Correlations in DFT

- Mathematical structure: Minimize an approximate energy functional  $F[\rho]$  which depends on the electron density  $\rho(x)$ , a function on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Catch: exact QM energy requires knowledge of electron-pair density  $\rho_2(x, y)$ , a function on  $\mathbb{R}^6$ , which entails **correlations**.
- Standard way out: start by assuming independence, add semi-empirical corrections to  $F[\rho]$  accounting for correlations. Often but not always accurate/reliable.

# Popular functionals

- The mean field approximation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho_2^\Psi(dx, dy) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho(dx) \rho(dy) =: J[\rho].$$

- Local Density Approximation approximation:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho_2^\Psi(dx, dy) = J[\rho] - \frac{4}{3} (3/\pi)^{1/3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(x)^{4/3} dx.$$

- Quantum mechanics is becoming so unbelievably complex that it is taking longer and longer to train a quantum theorist.
- It is taking so long, in fact, to train him to the point where he understands the nature of physical problems that he is already too old to solve them. (*Eugene Wigner*)

# DFT Results-Our approach

Let

- $$E_0 = \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N} \left\{ T_h[\Psi] + V_{ne}[\rho^\Psi] + V_{ee}[\rho_2^\Psi] \right\}$$

and

- $$E_0^{OT} = \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N} \left\{ T[\Psi] + V_{ne}[\rho^\Psi] + E_{OT}^N[\rho^\Psi] \right\},$$

where

$$E_{OT}^N[\rho^\Psi] = \frac{1}{\binom{N}{2}} \inf_{\gamma} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \int \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} d\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N),$$

subject to equal marginals  $\rho^\Psi$ .

## Theorem

**(C, Friesecke, Klueppelberg - CPAM 2013)** Fix  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_N$ . Let  $N \geq 2$ .  
Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} F_h[\rho] = E_{OT}^N[\rho]$$

for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{R}_N$ , where recall that

$$F_h[\rho] := \inf_{\Psi \in \mathcal{A}_N, \Psi \mapsto \rho} \left\{ T_h[\Psi] + V_{ee}[\Psi] \right\}.$$

## Theorem

**(C, Friesecke, Klueppelberg - CPAM 2013)** For every  $N$  and every  $v$

$$E_0 \geq E_0^{OT}.$$

We are the first to link electronic structure to optimal transportation.

Seidl'99. Seidl/Perdew/Levy'99. Seidl/Gori-Giorgi/Savin'07

# Optimal transportation

- $\gamma$  measure in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ ,  $\rho, \rho'$  measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- **The Cost Function**  $c : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$
- Prototype problem: transport **mass** from a given pile  $\rho$  into a given hole  $\rho'$  so as to minimize the transportation cost

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x, y) dy = \rho(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x, y) dx = \rho'(y).$$

- $\gamma(x, y)$  = amount of mass transported from  $x$  to  $y$
- $c(x, y)$  = cost of transporting one unit of mass from  $x$  to  $y$ , e.g.  $|x - y|, |x - y|^2$

# Issues

- Can we find **an optimal measure**  $\gamma$  which minimizes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y)?$$

- Under what conditions will the solution  $\gamma$  be unique?
- Can the optimal measure  $\gamma$  be characterized geometrically?
- Can we find  $\gamma$  explicitly?

## Some known results

Optimal transport goes back to Monge (1781), Kantorovich (1942) and has recently become a very active area of mathematics, e.g. Villani (2009).

- $c(x, y) = |x - y|^2$ : an optimal measure exist which is unique and it is characterized through the gradient of a convex function (Brenier, Knott and Smith, Cuesta-Albertos, Rüschendorf and Rachev)
- $c(x, y) = h(x - y)$  with  $h$  strictly convex, or  $c(x, y) = l(|x - y|)$  with  $l \geq 0$  strictly concave and increasing (Gangbo and McCann-1996)

# Many-marginals Optimal Transportation

- $\gamma$  measure in  $\mathbb{R}^{Nd}$ ,  $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_N$  measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- **The Cost Function**  $c : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \dots \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$
- We want to transport **mass** from a given pile  $\rho_1$  into a number of given holes  $\rho_2, \rho_3, \dots, \rho_N$ , so as to minimize the transportation cost

$$\int c(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) d\gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N).$$

subject to the constraints

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)d}} \gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N = \rho(x_1), \dots$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)d}} \gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_1 \dots dx_{N-1} = \rho(x_N),$$

- Results by Carlier, Gangbo and Swiatch, Pass

# The 2-marginal Optimal Transport Problem with Coulomb Cost

- $\rho_2$  measure in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ ,  $\rho$  measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$
- Minimize the transportation cost

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \frac{1}{|x - y|} d\rho_2(x, y)$$

subject to the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_2(x, y) dy = \rho(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rho_2(x, y) dx = \rho(y).$$

- General pattern:  $c : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , with  $c(x, y) := l(|x - y|)$ , such that  $l \geq 0$  is strictly convex, strictly decreasing and  $C^1$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $l(0) = +\infty$ .

# Optimal Transport Results

## Theorem

**(C, Friesecke, Klueppelberg - CPAM 2013)** Let  $c(x, y) := l(|x - y|)$ , such that  $l \geq 0$  is strictly convex, strictly decreasing and  $C^1$  on  $(0, \infty)$ ,  $l(0) = +\infty$ ,  $\rho$  absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Then

- There exists a **unique** optimizing measure  $\rho_2$  with

$$\rho_2(x, y) = \rho(x)\delta_{T(x)}(y),$$

where the optimal map  $T : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **unique**. Moreover  $\rho \circ T^{-1} = \rho$ .

- Physical meaning 1:  $T(x)$  = position of the 2nd electron if the first electron is at  $x$ .
- Physical meaning 2: the graph of  $T$  is the support of the electron

# The Method

- Adaptation of **W. Gangbo, R. McCann**: The geometry of optimal transportation, *Acta Math.* **177**, 113-161 (1996).
- Explicit Solution:  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  densities of  $\mu, \nu$ , with  $\rho_1(x) = \lambda_1(|x|)$  and  $\rho_2(x) = \lambda_2(|x|), x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Then  $T$  is of form:  
 $T(x) = x \frac{g(|x|)}{|x|}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , with  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover  $g \leq 0$ , and  $g$  is an increasing function with  $g(0_+) = -\infty$  and  $g(+\infty) = 0$ .
- Physical interpretation: 2nd electron is in the opposite direction of first.

## Theorem

*(C, Frieescke, Klueppelberg - CPAM 2013) Suppose that  $\mu = \nu$ . Let  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and let*

$$F_1(t) = |S^{d-1}| \int_0^t \lambda(s) s^{d-1} ds$$

*and*

$$F_2(-t) = |S^{d-1}| \int_t^\infty \lambda(s) s^{d-1} ds.$$

*Then*

$$g(t) = F_2^{-1}(F_1(t)).$$

# The infinite Optimal Transportation marginal problem

Let  $\gamma$  be an infinite dimensional measure,  $\gamma$  symmetric (exchangeable),  $\rho$  probability measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

$$F_{OT}^{\infty}[\rho] = \inf_{\gamma} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\binom{N}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{|x_i - x_j|} d\gamma(x_1, \dots, x_N),$$

subject to the constraint

$$\int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots} \gamma(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N, \dots) dx_2 dx_3 \dots = \rho(x_1).$$

Then by de Finetti's Theorem and new results on Fourier transforms

## Theorem

(C, Friesecke, Pass - 2013)

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} F_{OT}^N[\rho] = F_{OT}^{\infty}[\rho] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{1}{|x - y|} \rho(x) \rho(y) dx dy.$$

# Recap-Our Current Project

Fresh look at the DFT correlation problem from the point of view of recent optimal transport/exchangeable processes methods

- C.C., G. Friesecke, C. Klueppelberg CPAM (2013): **Exact**  $F_h[\rho]$  in semi-classical limit ( $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ ) for  $N = 2$ 
  - Novel functional form, complete anticorrelation
  - Opposite starting point for designing approximations than usual
- C.C., G. Friesecke, C. Klueppelberg, B. Pass, J. Chem. Phys. (2013)
- C.C., G. Friesecke, B. Pass Calc. Var. and PDEs., under revision (2013): Limit of the  $F_h[\rho]$  for large  $N$  and small  $\hbar$

THANK YOU!