# Bases for cluster algebras from orbifolds

# Anna Felikson

Durham University

# joint work with P. Tumarkin

4th workshop on combinatorics of moduli spaces, cluster algebras, and topological recursion Moscow, 2014

## Introduction

*B* - skew-symmetrizable  $n \times n$  integer matrix, *A*<sub>*B*</sub> cluster algebra  $\subseteq \mathbb{Q}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ .

As an algebra  $\mathcal{A}_B$  is generated by all cluster variables.

**Problem:** what are the "good" sets to span  $A_B$  as a vector space?

[FZ]: "good" means "containing all <u>cluster monomials</u>" (i.e. monomials in variables sitting in any given cluster).





# Plan:

- 1. Cluster algebras from surfaces and their bases
- 2. Cluster algebras from orbifolds and their bases
- 3. Why the construction works?









Quiver

cluster variables



Quiver

cluster variables





Quiver

cluster variables





Quiver

cluster variables





 $x_{\gamma} =$  " $\lambda$ -length of the arc  $\gamma$ "  $= e^{l/2}$ 

1.2. Bases for algebras from surfaces [Musiker, Schiffler, Williams'2011]

built 2 bases (Bangles and Bracelets). Bracelets:



1.2. Bases for algebras from surfaces [Musiker, Schiffler, Williams'2011]

built 2 bases (Bangles and Bracelets). Bracelets:



 $T_k(x)$  Chebyshev polynomial:

 $T_k(x) = xT_{k-1}(x) - T_{k-2}(x), \quad T_0(x) = 2, \quad T_1(x) = x.$ 

1.2. Bases for algebras from surfaces [Musiker, Schiffler, Williams'2011]

 $x_{\gamma} = x_{\gamma,1}$  $x_{\gamma,k} \in \mathcal{A}$  (skein relations).

- Set of curves  $\Sigma := \{ \text{ arcs, bracelets } \}.$
- Compatible subset  $C \subset \Sigma$  :
  - no two elements cross each other;
  - at most one  $Brac_k\gamma$  for a given  $\gamma$ ; at most one copy of it.

Bracelet basis:  $\mathcal{B} = \{\prod_{\gamma \in C} x_{\gamma} \mid C \text{ compatible}\}.$ 

1.2. Bases for algebras from surfaces [Musiker, Schiffler, Williams'2011]

Bracelet basis: 
$$\mathcal{B} = \{\prod_{\gamma \in C} x_{\gamma} \mid C \text{ compatible}\}.$$

Thm.[MSW] If S is unpunctured, with at least two marked points (on boundary) then  $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$  is a basis for  $\mathcal{A}_B$ .

- All cluster monomials are in  $\mathcal{B}$ .
- positive: each elt has a positive Laurent expansion in each cluster;
- strictly positive:  $q_1, q_2 \in \mathcal{B} \Rightarrow q_1q_2 = \sum_{q_i \in \mathcal{B}} a_i q_i$  with  $a_i \ge 0$ . [Thurston'2013]
- conj. <u>atomic</u>: if  $a \in \mathcal{A}^+$  then  $a = \sum a_i q_i$  with  $a_i \ge 0$ where  $\mathcal{A}^+$  is the set of all elts which expand positively in each cluster

Aim:

Algebras from surfaces = all but 11 mutationally finite skew-symmetric cluster algebras of rank > 2.

Orbifold construction = all but 22 mutationally finite cluster algebras of rank > 2.

 $\mathcal{O} =$  surface with marked points and orbifold points (i.e. cone points with engle  $\pi$ ).

Triangulation: includes orbifold triangles





 $\mathcal{O} =$  surface with marked points and orbifold points (i.e. cone points with engle  $\pi$ ).

Triangulation: includes orbifold triangles





Triangulation: includes orbifold triangles



Adjacency matrix:





 $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 \end{array} \right) \quad \text{Or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

 $\mathcal{O} =$  surface with marked points and orbifold points (i.e. cone points with engle  $\pi$ ).

Triangulation: includes orbifold triangles





Adjacency matrix:depends on label $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\rightarrow B =$ sum over all triangles  $\rightarrow A_B$ 

 $\mathcal{O} =$  surface with marked points and orbifold points (i.e. cone points with engle  $\pi$ ).

Triangulation: includes orbifold triangles





Adjacency matrix:depends on label $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & -2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ or $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -2 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$\rightarrow B = \mathsf{sum} \mathsf{ over} \mathsf{ all triangles} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_B$$

Cluster variables:  $\lambda$ -length of arcs.

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$$

For weight 2 orbifold points is more involved, discard for today.

#### 2.2. Bracelet basis for orbifold case

 $\mathcal{O}$ : no punctures; all orbifold pts of wight 1/2;  $\geq 2$  marked points.

<u>Curves</u>: arcs, pending arcs, bracelets, pending bracelets



Compatibility: no intersections;  $\leq 1$  (pending) bracelet for each  $\gamma$ 

Bracelet basis:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\prod_{\gamma \in C} x_{\gamma} \mid C \text{ compatible}\}.$$

#### 2.2. Bracelet basis for orbifold case

 $\mathcal{O}$ : no punctures; all orbifold pts of wight 1/2;  $\geq 2$  marked points.

<u>Curves</u>: arcs, pending arcs, bracelets, pending bracelets



Compatibility: no intersections;  $\leq 1$  (pending) bracelet for each  $\gamma$ 

Bracelet basis:

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\prod_{\gamma \in C} x_{\gamma} \mid C \text{ compatible}\}.$$

# 3. Why does it work?

Need to prove:

- 1.  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}_B$
- 2.  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $\mathcal{A}_B$
- 3.  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent

3. Why does it work?

Need to prove:

- 1.  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}_B$
- 2.  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $\mathcal{A}_B$
- 3.  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent

skein relations

**g**-vectors

3. Why does it work?

Need to prove:

1.  $\mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}_B$ }2.  $\mathcal{B}$  spans  $\mathcal{A}_B$ \$3.  $\mathcal{B}$  is linearly independent $\mathbf{g}$ -vectors

Skein relations: for a multicurve  $C = \bigcup \gamma_i$  denote  $x(C) = \prod x_{\gamma_i}$ , then

$$x\left(\swarrow\right) = x\left(\bigcirc\right) + x\left(\smile\right)$$

Pf in [MSW]: involves technique of snake graphs.

# 3.1. Skein relations for orbifolds





Pf: from the <u>double cover</u> of the orbifold by a surface.

# 3.1. Skein relations for orbifolds

How does it help:

1. 
$$\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_B$$
:  $x_{\gamma} \stackrel{?}{\subset} \mathcal{A}_B$ 





2. B spans 
$$\mathcal{A}_B$$
:  $x_1 \dots x_k \stackrel{?}{=} \sum q_i, q_i \in \mathcal{B}$   
(Yes: resolve crossings, get the sum!)

## 3.2. Linear independance: g-vectors

- [MSW]: elements with distict **g**-vectors are linear independent; Pf: modification of arguments from [FZ4].
  - elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  have distinct **g**-vectors *Pf: based on snake graphs.*

# 3.2. Linear independance: g-vectors

- [MSW]: elements with distict **g**-vectors are linear independent; Pf: modification of arguments from [FZ4].
  - elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  have distinct **g**-vectors *Pf: based on snake graphs.*

Geometric description of g-vectors: tropical duality of Nakanishi-Zelevinsky:  $(G_t^{B;t_0})^T = C_{t_0}^{B_t^T;t}$ 

**g**-vector 
$$\stackrel{NZ}{\longleftrightarrow}$$
 **c**-vector  $\stackrel{FG}{\longleftrightarrow}$  laminations

 $\begin{array}{cccc} \mbox{Elementary laminations} & \longrightarrow & \mbox{distinct} & \longrightarrow & \mbox{lin. independence} \\ \mbox{for distinct multicurves} & \longrightarrow & \mbox{shear coordinates} & \longrightarrow & \mbox{lin. independence} \end{array}$ 

3.2. Linear independance: g-vectors

More precisely,  $\mathbf{g}(x_{\gamma}) = -b_{T^*}(L^*_{\gamma})$ , where

1. to obtain  $T^*$  we take an initial triangulation T on  $\mathcal{O}$  and turn the triangulated orbifold inside-out; denote  $\mathcal{O}^*$  the <u>inside-out</u> orbifold.

2. take an elementary lamination  $L^*_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma^* \in \mathcal{O}^*$  $(L^*_{\gamma} \text{ is an image of } -L_{\gamma}, \text{ negative of the elementary lamination on } \mathcal{O}).$ 

3.  $\mathbf{g}(x_{\gamma}) = \underline{\text{shear coordinates}}$  of  $L_{\gamma}^*$  in  $T^*$ .

4. Thm.  $L \to b_{\gamma}(T, L)$  is a bijection to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . ([Fomin, Thurston] surface case; [F, Shapiro, Tumarkin] orbifold case)

