Chapter 9

Time evolution of the scattering data

9.1 The idea of a Lax pair

We'll think of $\psi_{xx} + u\psi = \lambda \psi$ at some fixed time t as an eigenvalue problem:

$$L(u)\psi = \lambda\psi \tag{9.1}$$

where L(u) is the following differential operator:

$$L(u) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + u(x,t).$$
(9.2)

Notes:

1. You should think of differential operators such as L, or d/dx, or whatever, as acting on all functions sitting to their right.

2. (9.1) *does* pick out "special" values of λ (the *eigenvalues*) since we require that $\psi(x)$ is square integrable (ie $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx < \infty$) which in particular means $\psi(x) \to 0$ both as $x \to -\infty$ and as $x \to +\infty$. [Later, we will relax this a little to allow solutions ψ that are merely *bounded*, but for now we will require that the stronger condition holds.]

3. The "t" in (9.2) has nothing to do with the time in the time-dependent Schrödinger equation you might see in quantum mechanics; rather, it's the KdV time.

4. Since L depends on u, and u depends on t, the eigenfunctions ψ and (in principle) the eigenvalues λ might be different at different times.

But, we have two remarkable facts:

THEOREM:

(i) If u = u(x, t) evolves by the KdV equation, then the set of eigenvalues $\{\lambda\}$ of L(u) (the *spectrum* of L(u)) is independent of t;

(ii) There is a set of eigenfunctions ψ of L(u) which evolves in t simply, as $\psi_t = B(u)\psi$, where B(u) is another differential operator.

The result (i) is particularly striking – it says that the spectra of $d^2/dx^2 + u(x, 0)$ and $d^2/dx^2 + u(x, t)$ are the same, which is very unexpected since u(x, 0) and u(x, t) might look very different.

PROOF:

First, we'll assume that a B(u) can be found such that the time evolution of L(u(x, t)) is given by

$$L(u)_{t} = B(u)L(u) - L(u)B(u)$$

= [B(u), L(u)] (9.3)

when u evolves by KdV (we'll find B later).

Here, [B, L] := BL - BL is called the *commutator* of the two operators B and L. Since B and L can both involve x derivatives, $BL \neq LB$ is possible – see later for examples.

Now let λ and ψ be an eigenvalue and eigenfunction of L, so that $L\psi = \lambda\psi$. Taking $\partial/\partial t$ of this equation,

$$L_t \psi + L \psi_t = \lambda_t \psi + \lambda \psi_t \,.$$

Rearranging,

$$\lambda_t \psi = \lambda_t \psi + L\psi_t - \lambda \psi_t$$

= $(BL - LB)\psi + (L - \lambda)\psi_t$ (using (9.3))
= $(B\lambda - LB)\psi + (L - \lambda)\psi_t$ (using $L\psi = \lambda\psi$)
= $(L - \lambda)(\psi_t - B\psi)$

Now multiply both sides by $\psi(x)^*$ and integrate $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dx$ to find

$$\lambda_t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \psi(x)^* (L-\lambda) \big(\psi_t - B\psi\big) \psi(x) \, dx \,. \tag{*}$$

(Note, the integral on the LHS of this equation is finite since that was part of the specification of the eigenvalue problem.)

Now we'll use a key property of *L*: for *any* pair of functions ϕ and χ , both tending to zero at $x = \pm \infty$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \phi(x)^* L \,\chi(x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (L\phi(x))^* \,\chi(x) \, dx$$

Such an L is called self-adjoint; more on this in the next section.

<u>Proof</u> of the key property: Recall $L = d^2/dx^2 + u(x)$ and u(x) is real. Then compute

$$\int \phi^* L\chi \, dx = \int \phi^*(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \chi(x) + \phi^*(x) u(x) \chi(x) \, dx$$
$$= \int \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \phi^*(x)\right) \chi(x) + \left(u(x)\phi(x)\right)^* \chi(x) \, dx$$

(integrating by parts twice for the first term, and using reality of u for the second)

$$= \int (L\phi(x))^* \chi(x) \, dx$$

as required. \Box

Since λ is real (the proof of this fact is left as an exercise!) the key property also holds for $L - \lambda$. Thus the earlier result (*) can be rewritten as

$$\lambda_t \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\psi|^2 dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ((L-\lambda)\psi)^* (\psi_t - B\psi) \psi(x) dx$$

But $L\psi = \lambda\psi$, so $(L - \lambda)\psi = 0$, and the RHS of this equation is zero. Since $\int |\psi|^2 dx$ is finite and nonzero, we deduce $\lambda_t = 0$, which is result (i). \Box

For result (ii), we need to show that $(L - \lambda)\psi = 0$ continues to be true if ψ changes according to $\psi_t = B\psi$. Calculating,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} ((L - \lambda)\psi) = L_t \psi + L\psi_t - \lambda_t \psi - \lambda\psi_t$$

$$= L_t \psi + L\psi_t - \lambda\psi_t \quad \text{(since we already know } \lambda_t = 0\text{)}$$

$$= L_t \psi + LB\psi - \lambda B\psi \quad \text{(using } \psi_t = B\psi\text{)}$$

$$= L_t \psi + LB\psi - B\lambda\psi \quad \text{(since } \lambda \text{ is a number)}$$

$$= L_t \psi + LB\psi - BL\psi \quad \text{(using } L\psi = \lambda\psi\text{)}$$

$$= (L_t - [B, L])\psi$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{(using (9.3))}$$

This shows that if $\psi_t = B\psi$ and ψ starts of at t = 0 as an eigenfunction, then it stays that way, which is result (ii). \Box

L and B are called a *Lax pair*. All that remains now is to find a suitable B(u).

9.2 The Lax pair for KdV

We've already decided that $L(u) = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + u(x,t)$. For now we'll just make an inspired guess for B(u), and check that it works; in the next chapter a more systematic approach will be explained. The guess is to set

$$B(u) = -\left(4D^3 + 6uD + 3u_x\right) \tag{9.4}$$

where to save ink the notation $D \equiv \frac{d}{dx}$, $D^2 \equiv \frac{d^2}{dx^2}$, etc has been adopted.

Notice that operators like D act on *everything* to their right, and that differential operators are defined by their actions on functions. So for example [u, D] is defined by how it would act on any function f(x). Calculating,

$$[u, D]f = \left(u\frac{d}{dx} - \frac{d}{dx}u\right)f$$

= $u\frac{df}{dx} - \frac{d}{dx}(uf)$
= $u\frac{df}{dx} - \left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)f - u\frac{df}{dx}$ (using the product rule)
= $-\left(\frac{du}{dx}\right)f$

Thus the effect of [u, D] on f(x) is to multiply it by $-u_x(x)$. Since this is true for all functions f(x) we have that $[u, D] = -u_x$ as an identity between differential operators. Perhaps more usefully, this can be rephrased as

$$Du = uD + u_x$$

which shows how to "shuffle" *Ds* past other functions. This can be used to rewrite expressions in a form where all *Ds* are on the far right in all terms, making cancellations easier to spot.

Now just calculate! We have

$$L = D^2 + u$$
, $B = -(4D^3 + 6uD + 3u_x)$

so

$$LB = -D^{2}(4D^{3} + 6uD + 3u_{x}) - u(4D^{3} + 6uD + 3u_{x})$$

= ...
= -(4D^{5} + 6uD^{3} + 12u_{x}D^{2} + 6u_{xx}D + 3u_{x}D^{2} + 6u_{xx}D + 3u_{xxx} + 4uD^{3} + 6u^{2}D + 3uu_{x})

while

$$BL = -4D^{3}(D^{2} + u) - 6uD(D^{2} + u) - 3u_{x}(D^{2} + u)$$

= ...
= -(4D⁵ + 4uD³ + 12u_{x}D^{2} + 12u_{xx}D + 4u_{xxx}
+ 6uD^{3} + 6u^{2}D + 6uu_{x}
+ 3u_{x}D^{2} + 3uu_{x}).

Hence

 $LB - BL = u_{xxx} + 6uu_x$

and somewhat surprisingly all of the *D*s have gone.

Also, $L_t = u_t$ and so

$$L_t + [L, B] = u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx}$$

which is zero, as required, if u(x, t) satisfies the KdV equation.

This completes the proof of properties (i) and (ii) of the associated linear problem for solutions of the KdV equation. \Box

Notes

1. L and B were both *differential* operators, since they involved $D = \frac{d}{dx}$, but in some senses [L, B] wasn't: [L, B] acting on some function f(x) doesn't do any differentiating, but just multiplies f pointwise by $(u_{xxx} + 6uu_x)$. For this reason [L, B] is called *multiplicative*.

2. The equation for the time evolution of ψ , $\psi_t = B(u)\psi$, is linear (good news!), but since B depends on u(x,t), the thing we're trying to find, it's not yet clear we have made too much progress on step (b) (bad news). We will fix this later, once we have developed a better understanding of the scattering data. But first, a diversion to explore other options for B(u)...