

## Riemannian Geometry IV, Homework 2 (Week 13)

**Due date** for starred problems: **Tuesday, February 12.**

- 2.1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. The goal of this exercise is to show that  $M$  is of constant sectional curvature  $K_0$  if and only if

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = K_0(\langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \langle v_2, v_4 \rangle - \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle)$$

for any  $p \in M$  and  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in M_p$ .

Denote the expression  $K_0(\langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \langle v_2, v_4 \rangle - \langle v_2, v_3 \rangle \langle v_1, v_4 \rangle)$  by  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .

- (a) Show that if

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$$

for any four tangent vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in M_p$ , then  $M$  is of constant sectional curvature  $K_0$ .

Now assume that  $M$  is of constant sectional curvature  $K_0$ . Our aim is to show that

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$$

for any four tangent vectors  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in M_p$ .

- (b) Show that the expression  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  is a tensor, i.e. it is multilinear.

- (c) Show that  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$  has the same symmetries as Riemann curvature tensor has. Namely,

- $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = -(v_2, v_1, v_3, v_4)$
- $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = -(v_1, v_2, v_4, v_3)$
- $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = (v_3, v_4, v_1, v_2)$
- $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) + (v_2, v_3, v_1, v_4) + (v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4) = 0$

- (d) Show that if  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} \subset \{v, w\}$ , i.e. no more than two distinct vectors are involved, then

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4).$$

- (e) Show that if no more than three distinct vectors are involved, then

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4).$$

- (f) Show that for any four vectors  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \langle R(v_3, v_1)v_2, v_4 \rangle - (v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4),$$

i.e. the difference above is invariant with respect to cyclic permutation of first three arguments.

- (g) Use Bianchi identity to prove the initial statement.

*Solution:*

(a) If the equality holds, we have

$$K(v, u) = \frac{\langle R(v, u)v, u \rangle}{\|v\|^2\|u\|^2 - \langle v, u \rangle^2} = \frac{K_0(\langle v, v \rangle\langle u, u \rangle - \langle v, u \rangle\langle u, v \rangle)}{\|v\|^2\|u\|^2 - \langle v, u \rangle^2} = K_0$$

(b) This can be seen from the explicit formula for  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .

(c) Straightforward calculations using the definition of  $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ .

(d) By definition of sectional curvature,

$$\langle R(v, u)v, u \rangle = K_0 \left( \|v\|^2\|u\|^2 - \langle v, u \rangle^2 \right) = (v, u, v, u).$$

For collections of vectors ordered in other way the statement follows by (c).

(e) Using linearity and (d), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(v_1, v_2 + v_3)v_1, v_2 + v_3 \rangle &= (v_1, v_2 + v_3, v_1, v_2 + v_3) = \\ &= (v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2) + (v_1, v_2, v_1, v_3) + (v_1, v_3, v_1, v_2) + (v_1, v_3, v_1, v_3), \end{aligned}$$

and on the other side

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(v_1, v_2 + v_3)v_1, v_2 + v_3 \rangle &= \\ &= \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_3 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_3)v_1, v_2 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_3)v_1, v_3 \rangle = \\ &= (v_1, v_2, v_1, v_2) + \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_3 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_3)v_1, v_2 \rangle + (v_1, v_3, v_1, v_3), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_3 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_3)v_1, v_2 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_1, v_3) + (v_1, v_3, v_1, v_2). \quad (1)$$

By the symmetries, we obtain

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_3 \rangle = \langle R(v_1, v_3)v_1, v_2 \rangle,$$

and the same holds for  $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , so (1) simplifies to

$$2 \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_1, v_3 \rangle = 2(v_1, v_2, v_1, v_3).$$

(f) Using (e), we obtain on the one side

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(v_1 + v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 + v_4 \rangle &= (v_1 + v_4, v_2, v_3, v_1 + v_4) = \\ &= (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_1) + (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) + (v_4, v_2, v_3, v_1) + (v_4, v_2, v_3, v_4), \end{aligned}$$

and on the other side

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R(v_1 + v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 + v_4 \rangle &= \\ &= \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle + \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle + \langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle + \langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle = \\ &= (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_1) + \langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle + \langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle + (v_4, v_2, v_3, v_4). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both expressions, we conclude that

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle + \langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) + (v_4, v_2, v_3, v_1).$$

This implies

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = -\langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle + (v_4, v_2, v_3, v_1).$$

Using the symmetries, we derive

$$-\langle R(v_4, v_2)v_3, v_1 \rangle = -\langle R(v_3, v_1)v_4, v_2 \rangle = \langle R(v_3, v_1)v_2, v_4 \rangle,$$

and the same identity for  $(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ , so we end up with

$$\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = \langle R(v_3, v_1)v_2, v_4 \rangle - (v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4)$$

(g) Using (f) and Bianchi identity, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} 3(\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)) &= (\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle - (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)) + \\ &+ (\langle R(v_3, v_1)v_2, v_4 \rangle - (v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4)) + (\langle R(v_3, v_3)v_1, v_4 \rangle - (v_2, v_3, v_1, v_4)) = \\ &= (\langle R(v_1, v_2)v_3, v_4 \rangle + \langle R(v_3, v_1)v_2, v_4 \rangle + \langle R(v_2, v_3)v_1, v_4 \rangle) - \\ &- ((v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) + (v_3, v_1, v_2, v_4) + (v_2, v_3, v_1, v_4)) = 0 - 0 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

**2.2.** (★) A Riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called *Einstein manifold* if there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Ric_p(v, w) = c\langle v, w \rangle$$

for every  $p \in M$ ,  $v, w \in M_p$ .

(a) Show that  $(M, g)$  is Einstein manifold if and only if there exists  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Ric_p(v) = c$$

for every  $p \in M$  and unit tangent vector  $v \in M_p$ .

(b) Show that if  $(M, g)$  is of constant sectional curvature then  $(M, g)$  is Einstein manifold.

*Solution:*

We have seen in class that  $Ric_p(v, w)$  is a symmetric bilinear form on  $M_p$ , and thus  $Ric_p(v)$  is a quadratic form.

(a) If  $M$  is Einstein manifold, then

$$Ric_p(v) = Ric_p(v, v) = c\langle v, v \rangle,$$

which is equal to  $c$  for any unit vector  $v$ .

Conversely, if  $Ric_p(v) = c$  for any unit vector  $v$ , then, by linearity,

$$Ric_p(\lambda v) = c\lambda^2 = c\langle \lambda v, \lambda v \rangle,$$

which implies

$$Ric_p(u) = c\langle u, u \rangle$$

for arbitrary vector  $u \in M_p$ . Now, reconstructing symmetric bilinear form  $Ric_p(v, w)$  by quadratic form  $Ric_p(v) = Ric_p(v, v)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Ric_p(v, w) &= \frac{1}{2}(Ric_p(v + w, v + w) - Ric_p(v) - Ric_p(w)) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(c\langle v + w, v + w \rangle - c\langle v, v \rangle - c\langle w, w \rangle) = c\langle v, w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let  $M$  be  $n$ -dimensional,  $p \in M$ , and assume  $K(\Pi) = K_0$  for all 2-dimensional subspaces  $\Pi$  of  $TM$ . Take arbitrary unit vector  $v \in M_p$ , extend it to an orthonormal basis  $\{v, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then

$$Ric_p(v) = \sum_{i=2}^n K(v, v_i) = (n-1)K_0,$$

so  $M$  is Einstein manifold.

- 2.3.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold, and  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection. Recall that a map

$$A : \Gamma(TM) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M) \text{ or } \Gamma(TM)$$

is a *tensor* if it is linear in each argument, i.e.,

$$A(X_1, \dots, fX_i + gY_i, \dots, X_r) = fA(X_1, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_r) + gA(X_1, \dots, Y_i, \dots, X_r),$$

for all  $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$  and  $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ .

(a) Let

$$T : \underbrace{\Gamma(TM) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(TM)}_{r \text{ factors}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$$

be a tensor. The *covariant derivative* of  $T$  is a map

$$\nabla T : \underbrace{\Gamma(TM) \times \cdots \times \Gamma(TM)}_{r+1 \text{ factors}} \rightarrow C^\infty(M),$$

defined by

$$\nabla T(X_1, \dots, X_r, Y) = Y(T(X_1, \dots, X_r)) - \sum_{j=1}^r T(X_1, \dots, \nabla_Y X_j, \dots, X_r).$$

Show that  $\nabla T$  is a tensor.

Tensor  $T$  is called *parallel* if  $\nabla T = 0$ .

- (b) Assume that  $T_1, T_2 : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$  are parallel tensors. Show that the tensor  $T : \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \times \Gamma(TM) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$ , defined as

$$T(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = T_1(X_1, X_2)T_2(X_3, X_4),$$

is also parallel.

(c) Use (b) to show that  $\nabla R' = 0$  for the tensor

$$R'(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle.$$

- (d) Use (c) and Problem 2.1 to show that all manifolds with constant sectional curvature have parallel Riemann curvature tensor

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) := \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle.$$

*Solution:*

(a) Linearity of  $\nabla T$  on any argument can be verified by straightforward computation.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla T(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, Y) &= Y(T_1(X_1, X_2)T_2(X_3, X_4)) - \sum_{i=1}^4 T(X_1, \dots, \nabla_Y X_i, \dots, X_4) = \\ &= T_1(X_1, X_2) \underbrace{(Y(T_2(X_3, X_4)) - T_2(\nabla_Y X_3) - T_2(\nabla_Y X_4))}_{=\nabla T_2(X_3, X_4, Y)=0} + \\ &\quad + T_2(X_3, X_4) \underbrace{(Y(T_1(X_1, X_2)) - T_1(\nabla_Y X_1) - T_1(\nabla_Y X_2))}_{=\nabla T_1(X_1, X_2, Y)=0} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let  $T(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ . Since  $\nabla$  is Riemannian, we have

$$\nabla T(X, Y, Z) = Z(\langle X, Y \rangle) - \langle \nabla_Z X, Y \rangle - \langle X, \nabla_Z Y \rangle = 0.$$

Note that  $R'(X, Y, Z, W) = T(X, Z)T(Y, W) - T(X, W)T(Y, Z)$ . Part (b) of the problem implies then that we have  $\nabla R' = 0$ .

(d) If  $(M, g)$  is a manifold with constant sectional curvature  $K_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have by Problem 2.1

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = K_0(\langle X, Z \rangle \langle Y, W \rangle - \langle X, W \rangle \langle Y, Z \rangle) = K_0 R'(X, Y, Z, W).$$

Then  $\nabla R = K_0 \nabla R' = 0$  follows from (c).