

On the limitations of magneto-frictional relations



Anthony Yeates

National Astronomy Meeting Warwick, Jul-2022

Motivation

Magneto-friction: ideal induction equation

with artificial "frictional" velocity $\mathbf{u} = \nu^{-1} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$

Leads to monotonic relaxation towards a force-free equilibrium:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{V} \frac{B^{2}}{2\mu_{0}} \,\mathrm{d}V = -\int_{V} \nu^{-1} |\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}V - \oint_{\partial V} \nu^{-1} B^{2} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}$$

dates at least back to Chodura & Schlüter, J Comp Phys [1981]

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$

- Motivation for this study:
 - 1. Recent comparison of relaxation methods for coronal loop footpoint shearing experiment Goldstraw et al., A&A [2018]
 - MF gives excellent match to full MHD (Lare2D) for low plasma-beta.



Motivation

Magneto-friction: ideal induction equation

with artificial "frictional" velocity $\mathbf{u} = \nu^{-1} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$

Leads to monotonic relaxation towards a force-free equilibrium:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{V} \frac{B^{2}}{2\mu_{0}} \,\mathrm{d}V = -\int_{V} \nu^{-1} |\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}V - \oint_{\partial V} \nu^{-1} B^{2} \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathrm{d}\mathbf{S}$$

dates at least back to Chodura & Schlüter, J Comp Phys [1981]

 $\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = \nabla \times \left(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{B} \right)$

- Motivation for this study:
 - 1. Recent comparison of relaxation methods for coronal loop footpoint shearing experiment Goldstraw et al., A&A [2018]
 - MF gives excellent match to full MHD (Lare2D) for low plasma-beta.
 - 2. Some objections to the method Low, ApJ [2013]
 - (i) Null points cannot move.
 - (ii) Discontinuous current sheets will form at null points in finite time.

Aim – test the MF method in a simple case that includes null points.

Test design

▶ 1D magnetic field [known "target" solution; sure of controlling numerical diffusion]: $\mathbf{B} = B_y(x, t)\mathbf{e}_y$ on periodic domain $-1 \le x \le 1$



Finding 1 – current sheets *should* form

$$\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{B_y^2}{2\mu_0} \right) \mathbf{e}_x$$

- For our 1D field,
- So force-free equilibria satisfy $B_y = \pm B_0$ cf. Bajer & Moffatt, ApJ [2013]
- Ideal relaxation would imply conservation of fluxes between the nulls. Can show that

$$B_0 = \frac{1}{6} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \quad \text{with nulls} \quad x_0(T) = \frac{-1 + \Delta}{2}, \quad x'_0(T) = \frac{-1 - \Delta}{2}, \quad \Delta = \frac{6\sqrt{3} - 2\pi}{6\sqrt{3} + \pi}$$



MF solution in 1D

In 1D the MF equation reduces to the nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\frac{\partial B_y}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{B_y^2}{\nu} \frac{\partial B_y}{\partial x} \right)$$

(i) linear diffusion $\nu = B_y^2$ (ii) "ambipolar diffusion" $\nu = 1$ (iii) linear diffusion with limiting $\nu = B_y^2 + \varepsilon e^{-B_y^2/\varepsilon}$

MF solution in 1D

In 1D the MF equation reduces to the nonlinear diffusion equation



Numerics: Crank-Nicolson method with Picard iteration for nonlinearity.

Finding 2 – flux is not conserved! Linear case: diffusion a ways gives flux cancellation at nuls.

Nonlinear cases: flux is conserved only until the solution becomes discontinuous.



cf. Hoyos et al, MNRAS [2010]

Finding 3 – nulls *can* move

- Linear case: diffusion causes nulls to move in general.
- Nonlinear cases: "nulls" (current sheets) move once the solution becomes discontinuous.
 - e.g. ambipolar diffusion case



 dashed curves show predictions from Rankine-Hugoniot/jump conditions with estimated jumps [see paper]

Possible solution: viscous relaxation

An alternative "viscous relaxation" scheme converges neatly.



Also ensures monotonic energy decay:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \int_{V} \frac{B^{2}}{2\mu_{0}} \,\mathrm{d}V = -\mu \int_{V} \left| \frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x} \right|^{2} \,\mathrm{d}V$$

The elephant in the room...





The relaxed state under ideal-MHD would not be force-free.

Ideal-MHD relaxed state

 Now the relaxed state is a total pressure balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(p + \frac{B_y^2}{2\mu_0} \right) = 0$$

 Gas pressure builds up at the nulls to counter the low magnetic pressure.

Ideal-MHD relaxed state

 Now the relaxed state is a total pressure balance

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(p + \frac{B_y^2}{2\mu_0} \right) = 0$$

- Gas pressure builds up at the nulls to counter the low magnetic pressure.
- Solution computed with ATHENA code https://princetonuniversity.github.io/Athena-Cversion/

[shown with some viscosity but similar conclusion without it]



More details: A.R. Yeates, "On the limitations of magneto-frictional relaxation", *GAFD*, 2022. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03091929.2021.2021197</u>